

Perceived psychosocial job stress and sleep bruxism among male and female workers

Akinori Nakata¹, Masaya Takahashi¹,
Tomoko Ikeda², Minoru Hojou³ and
Shunichi Araki¹

¹National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Kawasaki, Japan, ²Department of Nursing, School of Health Sciences, Ibaraki Prefectural University of Health Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan, ³Ota Regional Occupational Health Center, Tokyo, Japan

Nakata A, Takahashi M, Ikeda T, Hojou M, Araki S. Perceived psychosocial job stress and sleep bruxism among male and female workers. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2008; 36: 201–209. © 2007 The Authors. Journal compilation © 2007 Blackwell Munksgaard.

Abstract – Objective: Psychosocial job stress has been associated with sleep disturbances, but its association with sleep bruxism (SB), the stereotype movement disorder related to sleep, is not well understood. The aim of this epidemiological study was to examine the relationship between psychosocial job stress and SB. **Methods:** 1944 male and 736 female factory workers participated in this study (response rate 78.1%). Perceived job stress was evaluated with the Japanese version of the generic job stress questionnaire, which covered 13 job stress variables. SB was assessed by the question, 'Do you grind or clench your teeth during your sleep or has anyone in your family told you that you grind your teeth during your sleep?' Response options were 'never', 'seldom', 'sometimes' or 'often'. SB was considered present if the answer was 'sometimes' or 'often'. **Results:** Overall, 30.9% of males and 20.2% of females reported SB. In males, workers with low social support from supervisors [odds ratio (OR) = 1.34, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.08–1.68] or from colleagues (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.17–1.83), and high depressive symptoms (OR 1.60, 95% CI 1.26–2.03) had a significantly increased risk of SB after controlling for confounders. By contrast, no significant association was found in females. **Conclusions:** We conclude that SB is weakly associated with some aspects of job stress in men but not in women among the Japanese working population.

Key words: epidemiology; job stress; parasomnia; psychosocial aspects of oral health; sleep bruxism

Akinori Nakata, Division of Applied Research and Technology, MS-C24, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, OH 45226, USA
Tel.: +1 513 533 8628
Fax: +1 513 533 8596
e-mail: nakataa-tky@umin.ac.jp

This study was performed at the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Japan.

Submitted 23 August 2006;
accepted 13 December 2006

Sleep bruxism (SB) is a periodical, stereotype movement disorder characterized by an involuntary, parafunctional, excessive grinding or clenching of the teeth during sleep (1). The disorder is common among general population and represents the third most frequent parasomnia. The prevalence of SB among adult population has been estimated to be 3.7–22.6% depending on the definition, methodology and population used in the studies (2–7). The consequences of SB include excessive tooth wear, fractures of the teeth, muscle pain, inflammation and recession of the gums, temporomandibular joint discomfort, increased risk of periodontal problems, and overloads of dental implants (8, 9). These symptoms are also associated with headaches, facial pain, tightening

and stiffness of the shoulder, oral infection, frequent arousals with altered daytime functioning and obstructive sleep apnea (9–17). The grinding sounds are often reported to disrupt sleep of the bed partner (2).

Several previous studies demonstrated that demographic and lifestyle factors such as a young age (2, 10, 20), higher educational status (18, 19), smoking (2, 19–22), caffeine intake (2) and heavy alcohol drinking (2) are associated cofactors of SB. Psychological stress has also been discussed as a predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factor for SB (23). For example, Hicks and Conti (24) compared the number of stress-related symptoms between nocturnal bruxers and nonbruxers and observed that frequent bruxers experienced more

stress symptoms than non-bruxers. An epidemiological study conducted in three European countries (UK, Germany and Italy) including 13 057 subjects revealed that people with a highly stressful life and those with anxiety had respective 1.3 times higher prevalence of SB as compared with either low stress or non-anxiety counterparts (2). In a clinical study, Pingitore et al. (8) found that the total score of life stress events, as measured by Homes and Rahe's Life Events Perception Scale, was significantly and positively correlated with bruxism in 125 dental patients ($r = 0.27$, $P = 0.001$). These studies indicate that SB occurred more often in subjects exposed to high stress, suggesting SB as a behavioural response to stress.

There have been few epidemiological reports concerned with the effects of job-related stress on bruxism, although job stress is a well-known factor that deteriorates sleep (25–32). Ahlberg et al. (33) reported that experience of severe job stress was the most significant factor associated with frequent bruxism among 1339 multi-professional media personnel; the OR was as high as 5.0 (95% CI 2.8–8.8) as compared with the less severe counterpart. Another study by Ahlberg et al. (18) examined the effects of shift work on bruxism, and found that those workers who were dissatisfied with their current shift work schedule, but not shift work itself, was associated with 1.9 times higher prevalence of bruxism than those who felt satisfied with their schedule. These studies suggest that perceived poor work environment could be a risk factor for SB.

The effects of different sources of psychosocial job stress on SB in the working population have not yet been systematically investigated. To clarify the association of broad aspects of job stress with the prevalence of SB in male and female workers, we have conducted a cross-sectional survey among workers in small and medium-sized enterprises in Japan. Sociodemographics, lifestyle, physical/psychological conditions and occupational factors were included as confounding variables.

Materials and methods

Subjects and procedure

The study design was cross-sectional and data were collected by self-rated questionnaire from August to December 2002. Subjects were full-time workers in small and medium-sized enterprises

with 1 to 158 workers in Yashio city, Saitama and Ohta ward (Tokyo). Yashio city has the highest percentage of manufacturing plants in Saitama prefecture. The Ohta ward, which is a so-called 'industrial area', is unique for its number of SMEs. Questionnaires were distributed to 2591 workers from 248 factories in the Yashio city and 1102 workers from 52 factories in the Ohta ward by visiting each factory ($n = 3693$). Finally, responses were obtained from 2884 workers (2022 men, 862 women) from 296 enterprises, representing a response rate of 78.1%. Among 2884 participants, we excluded 204 because of missing responses in demographics.

The questionnaire elicited information on demographics, lifestyle, height and body weight, history of physical/psychological disease(s) and job type. Job stress and SB were included under the topics of lifestyle and physical and/or psychological disease(s). The questions shown in Table 1 were answered by 1944 male and 736 female workers.

The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the University of Tokyo, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Job stress questionnaire

The Japanese version of the generic job stress questionnaire (GJSQ) developed by the US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) was used to assess participants' level of job stress (34–36). Examination of the psychometric properties of the Japanese version of the questionnaire following translation showed consistently high levels of internal reliability (Cronbach's alpha, 0.68–0.95), test-retest reliability over 1 year (r , 0.44–0.71) and factor-based validity (36).

Eight scales of psychological job stressors, two scales of psychological stress reactions and three scales of social support were selected. The scale that included job control, social supports and job satisfaction was a positively oriented scale, in which higher scores indicate low stress. The remaining eight scales were negatively-oriented with higher scores indicating high stress. The psychometric properties of 13 GJSQ scales used in this study are shown in Table 2. Cronbach's alphas for each subscale were satisfactory in the range 0.66–0.95. The average scores for job stress scales by gender are presented in Table 3.

Participants were dichotomized into two categories (high/low) based on median scores on all job stress scales, with the exception of the Center for

Table 1. Characteristics of survey respondents stratified by sex^{a,b}

Characteristics	Men	Women
Number of subjects	1944	736
Age (years), mean (SD)	45.1 (13.4)	45.0 (13.9)
Age group, years		
16–29	294 (15.1)	145 (19.7)
30–39	468 (24.1)	123 (16.7)
40–49	336 (17.3)	118 (16.0)
50–59	539 (27.7)	249 (33.8)
60–83	307 (15.8)	102 (13.9)
Marital status		
Married	1314 (67.6)	484 (65.8)
Not married	630 (32.4)	252 (34.2)
Highest education		
Junior high school	434 (22.3)	143 (19.4)
High school	864 (44.4)	399 (54.2)
Vocational/college/university	646 (33.2)	194 (26.4)
Smoking status		
Current	1146 (59.0)	166 (22.6)
Former	226 (11.6)	39 (5.3)
Never	572 (29.4)	531 (72.1)
Alcohol consumption (grams of ethanol per day)		
Non-drinker	472 (24.3)	399 (54.2)
0.01–4.9	249 (12.8)	177 (24.0)
5.0–14.9	437 (22.5)	103 (14.0)
15.0–24.9	383 (19.7)	34 (4.6)
>25.0	403 (20.7)	23 (3.1)
Caffeine intake (cups of coffee or tea per day)		
Almost none	193 (9.9)	54 (7.3)
1 to 2	909 (46.8)	323 (43.9)
3 or more	842 (43.3)	359 (48.8)
Body mass index [kg/height (m) ²]		
<20.0	297 (15.3)	206 (28.0)
20.0–22.5	609 (31.3)	259 (35.2)
22.6–25.0	583 (30.0)	158 (21.5)
>25.0	455 (23.4)	113 (15.4)
Disease(s) currently under treatment (yes)	542 (27.9)	176 (23.9)
Job type		
Managerial/clerical	395 (20.3)	387 (52.6)
Sales/service	206 (10.6)	11 (1.5)
Technical	91 (4.7)	18 (2.4)
Production/manufacturing	931 (47.9)	232 (31.5)
Other	321 (16.5)	88 (12.0)

^aUnless otherwise indicated, values are expressed as n (%).

^bData may not total to 100% due to rounding.

Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale for depressive symptoms. The CES-D scale cut-off score was 16, which differentiates between those exhibiting high levels of depressive symptoms (16 or higher) from those with lower levels of depression (15 and below) (37).

Perceived sleep bruxism

A question with regard to SB during the past one-year period was developed for this study as

follows: Do you grind or clench your teeth during your sleep or has anyone in your family told you that you grind your teeth during your sleep? Response options were: 1 = never; 2 = seldom; 3 = sometimes; 4 = often. SB was considered present if the answer was 'sometimes' or 'often'.

Potential confounding variables

Other variables were age, marital status, educational level, lifestyle, physical/psychological diseases currently under treatment and job type. Lifestyle factors included smoking status (never, former, current), alcohol consumption (number of alcoholic drinks consumed per day, with one drink estimated as about 9 g of pure ethanol), caffeine intake (cups of tea or coffee per day), and body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres). Disease(s) currently under treatment included hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, menopausal syndrome, heart disease, cancer, liver disease, renal disease, peptic ulcer, gastrointestinal diseases, neurological diseases, musculoskeletal disorders and psychiatric illnesses (self-report).

Statistical analyses

All analyses were carried out separately for men and women because there were large gender differences in job stress scores (Table 3). The comparison of job stress scores between men and women was made by Student's *t* test or Welch's test. A difference in the frequency of SB by gender was calculated using the χ^2 test. The risk of SB because of job stress was estimated using univariate and multivariate logistic regression with ORs and 95% CIs as measures of association. The model adjusted for age in 10-year increments, marital status (married/not married), highest educational level (junior high school, high school, vocational/college/university), smoking status (never, former, current), alcohol consumption (0, 0.01–4.9, 5.0–14.9, 15.0–25.0, >25.0 g/day), caffeine intake (almost none, 1 to 2, 3 or more cups per day), BMI (<20.0, 20.0–22.5, 22.6–25.0, >25.0), disease(s) currently under treatment (yes/no), and job type. Subjects with missing data were excluded for each job stress scale (listwise exclusion). The significance level for all statistical analyses was $P < 0.05$ (two-tailed test). All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 11.5 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Table 2. Psychometric properties of 13 scales of the NIOSH job stress questionnaire

Job stress scale	Number of items	Mean	SD	Observed range	Possible range	α	Construct measured
Job stressors							
Quantitative workload (Quinn)	4	12.2	4.2	4–20	4–20	0.89	How much work the worker must do in the daily job.
Variance in workload	3	8.6	3.3	3–15	3–15	0.90	How often the workload varies.
Job control	16	45.3	14.1	16–80	16–80	0.95	How much the worker feels tasks, workplace setting, and decisions at work are controllable.
Skill underutilization	3	11.1	3.1	3–15	3–15	0.81	How much the worker feels that one's skills and ability are utilized in one's job.
Responsibility for people	4	9.6	4.4	4–20	4–20	0.91	How much responsibility the respondent feels for the future, job security, morale, welfare and lives of other workers.
Intragroup conflict at the workplace	8	23.0	4.6	8–40	8–40	0.66	How much the worker feels the relationships with the working group are friendly, harmonious, cooperative, and supportive.
Job future ambiguity	4	15.5	4.0	4–20	4–20	0.84	How certain the worker is about their career future such as opportunities for promotion and advancement, usefulness of one's job skills, and future responsibilities in one's job.
Employment opportunities	3	11.8	2.0	3–15	3–15	0.73	Feelings about the job in relationship to other jobs, which one might get.
Social support							
Supervisors	4	13.9	4.2	4–20	4–20	0.87	Amount of social support received from supervisors.
Coworkers	4	14.4	3.7	4–20	4–20	0.84	Amount of social support received from colleagues.
Family	4	15.0	4.1	4–20	4–20	0.84	Amount of social support received from family.
Psychological stress reactions	4	8.1	1.9	4–13	4–16	0.72	Whether the worker would accept the current job if given another choice, take a new job or recommend the job to others.
Job satisfaction	4	8.1	1.9	4–13	4–16	0.72	Whether the worker would accept the current job if given another choice, take a new job or recommend the job to others.
Depressive symptoms	20	15.2	8.3	0–52	0–60	0.83	Level of depressive symptoms experienced in the past week.

SD, standard deviation.

Table 3. Mean scores of the NIOSH-GJSQ in men and women (SD)

Job stress scales	Men	Women	<i>P</i> ^a
Quantitative workload ^b	12.6 (4.2)	11.1 (4.4)	<0.001
Variance in workload ^b	8.9 (3.3)	7.7 (3.4)	<0.001
Job control ^c	47.1 (14.4)	40.3 (12.5)	<0.001
Skill underutilization ^b	10.7 (3.1)	12.1 (3.0)	<0.001
Responsibility for people ^b	10.3 (4.4)	7.8 (4.4)	<0.001
Intragroup conflict at the workplace ^b	22.7 (4.6)	23.0 (4.8)	0.200
Job future ambiguity ^b	15.4 (4.0)	16.3 (4.0)	<0.001
Employment opportunities ^b	11.9 (2.0)	12.0 (2.1)	0.036
Social support from supervisors ^c	13.8 (4.2)	14.0 (4.2)	0.219
Social support from coworkers ^c	14.3 (3.7)	14.6 (3.8)	0.054
Social support from family ^c	14.8 (4.2)	15.7 (3.7)	<0.001
Job satisfaction ^c	8.1 (1.9)	8.0 (1.9)	0.706
Depressive symptoms (CES-D scores) ^b	15.4 (8.2)	15.3 (8.0)	0.770

SD, standard deviation.

^aCompared with the Student's *t* test or Welch's test.

^bHigher scores indicate higher stress.

^cHigher scores indicate lower stress.

Results

Subjects characteristic

Mean ages for both men and women were 45 years (Table 1). Overall, 73% of the sample was male, two-thirds were married, and one-third were college graduates. Fifty-nine percent of men and 23% of women were current smokers. Three quarters of men and less than half of women were current drinkers. One in four workers had disease(s) currently under treatment. About half of men were production/manufacturing workers and more than half of women were managerial/clerical workers.

Job stress scales

Mean scores on the NIOSH-GJSQ for men and women are shown in Table 3. Men and women's reported levels of job stress were significantly different on many scales. For example, men reported higher scores for quantitative workload, variance in workload, job control and responsibility for people than women. Women had higher scores for skill underutilization, job future ambiguity, employment opportunities and support from family and society.

Prevalence of perceived SB

Frequency distribution of perceived SB among men and women are shown in Table 4. Overall, 9.2% of men and 4.6% of women reported that SB occurred 'often', and 21.7% of men and 15.6% of women reported SB 'sometimes'. Men reported significantly more SB than women (χ^2 -test, $P < 0.001$).

Table 4. Frequency distribution of perceived sleep bruxism in the study population (95% CI)^a

Sleep bruxism	Men (<i>n</i> = 1944)	Women (<i>n</i> = 736)
Never	41.6 (39.4–43.8)	55.6 (52.0–59.2)
Seldom	27.6 (25.6–29.6)	24.2 (21.1–27.3)
Sometimes	21.7 (19.8–23.5)	15.6 (13.0–18.2)
Often	9.2 (7.9–10.5)	4.6 (3.1–6.1)

^aA significant difference in sleep bruxism was found between men and women (χ^2 -test, $P < 0.001$).

Association of psychosocial job stress with SB

The univariate logistic regression analyses indicated that four out of 13 psychosocial job stress variables were significantly associated with SB in men; in contrast, only one psychosocial job stress factor was significantly associated with SB in women (Table 5). Workers with low social support from supervisors or colleagues and high depressive symptoms had significantly increased risk of SB in the multivariate model for men. However, none of the stress variables remained significant for women.

Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between variety of sources of psychosocial job stress factors and perceived SB in male and female workers individually. The subjects were dichotomized into two equal-sized groups based on a median split of job stress scale scores and compared on the prevalence of SB

Table 5. The relationship between job stressors, social support or psychological stress reactions and sleep bruxism^a

Job stress scales ^{b,c}		Men						Women					
		Unadjusted			Adjusted ^d			Unadjusted			Adjusted ^d		
		OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P
Job stressors													
Quantitative workload	High	1.18	0.97–1.43	0.104	1.08	0.87–1.35	0.481	1.23	0.87–1.76	0.248	1.09	0.71–1.67	0.696
Variance in workload	High	1.25	1.03–1.52	0.027	1.19	0.95–1.49	0.124	1.18	0.82–1.69	0.376	0.97	0.63–1.49	0.887
Job control	Low	0.90	0.73–1.10	0.306	0.93	0.74–1.18	0.556	0.85	0.59–1.23	0.388	1.02	0.66–1.59	0.917
Skill underutilization	High	0.92	0.75–1.12	0.385	0.97	0.77–1.21	0.762	0.80	0.55–1.16	0.247	0.93	0.59–1.46	0.746
Responsibility for people	High	1.09	0.90–1.33	0.372	1.00	0.80–1.26	0.999	1.07	0.75–1.53	0.701	1.02	0.66–1.56	0.942
Intragroup conflict at the workplace	High	1.07	0.87–1.30	0.527	1.13	0.90–1.41	0.302	1.43	1.00–2.05	0.049	1.49	0.97–2.28	0.071
Job future ambiguity	High	1.05	0.86–1.28	0.619	1.18	0.94–1.47	0.160	0.90	0.62–1.29	0.554	0.95	0.61–1.49	0.836
Employment opportunities	High	0.97	0.79–1.19	0.735	1.04	0.82–1.32	0.762	0.87	0.60–1.26	0.455	0.96	0.62–1.50	0.866
Social supports													
Supervisors	Low	1.26	1.03–1.53	0.022	1.34	1.08–1.68	0.009	0.86	0.60–1.23	0.398	0.99	0.64–1.53	0.969
Coworkers	Low	1.33	1.09–1.61	0.005	1.47	1.17–1.83	0.001	1.00	0.70–1.44	0.990	1.34	0.86–2.08	0.196
Family	Low	0.85	0.70–1.04	0.106	0.94	0.74–1.18	0.583	0.99	0.68–1.43	0.946	1.04	0.66–1.64	0.858
Psychological stress reactions													
Job satisfaction	Low	1.05	0.86–1.28	0.642	1.14	0.90–1.42	0.275	0.89	0.61–1.28	0.514	0.87	0.56–1.35	0.542
Depressive symptoms (CES-D)	CES-D > 15	1.40	1.14–1.72	0.001	1.60	1.26–2.03	<0.001	1.23	0.85–1.79	0.273	1.12	0.71–1.75	0.630

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aSleep bruxism was defined as present if the answer to the question was 'sometimes' or 'often'.^bAll scales were divided into two approximately equal size groups by the median scores, with exception of CES-D scale for depressive symptoms.^cCompared to the low (or high) counterpart.^dAdjusted for 10-year increments in age, marital status, educational level, smoking status, alcohol consumption, caffeine intake, BMI, disease(s) currently under treatment and job type.

during the last 1-year period. The results revealed that male workers with low social support from supervisors or colleagues and high depressive symptoms were significant cofactors for frequent SB. Grinding or clenching teeth during sleep may be a sign of high stress symptoms (13, 23). By contrast, we could not detect any significant effects of job stress on SB in females. The results suggest that SB is weakly associated with some aspects of job stress in men but not in women among the Japanese working population.

The strength of our study is that we evaluated job stress with a well-established and validated questionnaire, i.e., the Japanese version of the GJSQ. We selected 13 scales from this questionnaire to assess various subtypes of job stress. Previous studies reporting the relationship between job stress and SB were based on a single question on job stress (33). Also, the analyses were done separately for men and women and adjusted for a broad range of potential confounders in the multivariate analyses, thereby overcoming the analytical shortcomings of some previous studies that have failed to account for unique gender differences. Finally, nonclinical subjects with a large sample size were used to avoid information bias, thus increasing the potential to generalize the results.

However, we need to keep in mind several limitations of our study. First, SB was a point estimate with self-report, which may underestimate the prevalence of SB among those without a bed partner or other household members and those of edentulous individuals, as reported in several previous studies (2, 13, 14, 20). In addition, although we tried to follow the minimal criteria for diagnosis of SB by the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (1, 2), the validity of our questionnaire assessing SB is uncertain. Thus, the study's accuracy in evaluating SB is limited. Second, we did not ask about stress levels outside their jobs. Subjects may be exposed to high stress unrelated to their job, which may affect SB. Third, because a large set of statistical tests examined here might have increased a possibility of type I error, the statistical significance of the results should be interpreted carefully. Fourth, although the response rate seems acceptable (78.1%) to represent the sample, response bias may have occurred if the nonrespondents differed from the respondents with respect to job stress and SB. Fifth, the study design was cross-sectional, making it possible to

identify only associations, but not causal relationships.

Our main finding was that social support from supervisors or colleagues was a factor associated with increased SB in men. Based on the 2002 national survey in Japan, poor human relationships at workplace was ranked first followed by high job demands, poor quality of work, less aptitude to work, greater job future ambiguity, etc. (38). Thus, it is plausible that difficulty in obtaining social support from fellow employees is a source of job stress affecting SB in Japanese men.

In the current study, high depressive symptoms as measured by CES-D scores of 16 or higher were significantly associated with SB in men. The finding is consistent with that of a study by Manfredini et al. (39) showing higher depressive symptoms in bruxers than nonbruxers. The results may also be supported by the fact that subjects with depressive symptoms are more associated with other dental problems such as periodontal diseases (40) or attachment loss (41). Depressive symptoms may associate not only with poor mental health but also with poor oral health status.

Males had 1.5 times higher prevalence of SB as compared with females in this study. Several previous studies reported that females had higher prevalence of SB than males (19, 33, 39), while other reports observed no gender differences (2–4, 6, 21) or even lower prevalence (42). The findings are open to several interpretations. First, males may be exposed to higher job stress than females as suggested in Table 3, which may lead to a higher prevalence of SB. Second, prevalence of smoking in males were 2.6 times higher than those of females (males 59.0%, females 22.6%), consequently leading to a higher prevalence of SB in males as compared with females. This speculation can be supported by the fact that smoking is a significant risk factor associated with bruxism reported in many previous studies (2, 19–22). In fact, both male and female current smokers in this study had significantly higher prevalence of SB (males 35.1%, females 30.9%) than never-smoking counterparts (males 22.9%, females 15.4%, $P < 0.05$). Third, females may be more likely to under-report their SB than males because of social desirability in the Japanese culture, or males may not be willing to point out females having SB. Alternatively, females may go to bed later than their male partners, which would increase the detectability of SB in males as compared with females (43). A time use survey in Japan reported that average sleep duration for females

were 15 min less than those of males (males 7 h 55 min, females 7 h 40 min). However, such possibilities need to be tested in future studies.

In conclusion, despite the fact that job stress and SB were assessed by self-report as well as with other limitations, these data suggested that male workers with low social support from colleagues or supervisors and high depressive symptoms are significantly associated with frequent SB. By contrast, job stress indicators had no significant relationship with SB in females. We conclude that SB is weakly associated with some aspects of job stress in men but not in women among the Japanese working population. Further investigations are needed to clarify mechanisms underlying the described association.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the volunteers who participated in this study. We would like to express our deepest appreciation to Mr Yuji Ohyama, Dr Takashi Haratani, Mr Yosei Fujioka and Dr Satoe Fukui for their help in the study. This research was supported in part by an appointment to the Research Participation Program at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy and CDC. The research was also supported partly by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Cultures, Sports, Science and Technology (grant-in-aid for exploratory research: 16659634).

References

1. ASDA – American Sleep Disorders Association, International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Revised: Diagnostic and Coding Manual. Rochester, MN: American Sleep Disorders Association; 2001. p.182–185.
2. Ohayon MM, Li KK, Guilleminault C. Risk factors for sleep bruxism in the general population. *Chest* 2001;119:53–61.
3. Lavigne GJ, Montplaisir JY. Restless legs syndrome and sleep bruxism: prevalence and association among Canadians. *Sleep* 1994;17:739–43.
4. Glaros AG. Incidence of diurnal and nocturnal bruxism. *J Prosthet Dent* 1981;45:545–9.
5. Granada S, Hicks RA. Changes in self-reported incidence of nocturnal bruxism in college students: 1966–2002. *Percept Mot Skills* 2003;97:777–8.
6. Reding GR, Rubright WC, Zimmerman SO. Incidence of bruxism. *J Dent Res* 1966;45:1198–204.
7. Hublin C, Kaprio J, Partinen M, Koskenvuo M. Sleep bruxism based on self-report in a nationwide twin cohort. *J Sleep Res* 1998;7:61–7.
8. Pingitore G, Chrobak V, Petrie J. The social and psychologic factors of bruxism. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;65:443–6.
9. De Laat A, Macaluso GM. Sleep bruxism as a motor disorder. *Mov Disord* 2002;17:S67–9.
10. Bader G, Lavigne G. Sleep bruxism; an overview of an oromandibular sleep movement disorder. *Sleep Med Rev* 2000;4:27–43.
11. Kato T, Thie NM, Montplaisir JY, Lavigne GJ. Bruxism and orofacial movements during sleep. *Dent Clin North Am* 2001;45:657–84.
12. Macaluso GM, Guerra P, Di Giovanni G, Boselli M, Parrino L, Terzano MG. Sleep bruxism is a disorder related to periodic arousals during sleep. *J Dent Res* 1998;77:565–73.
13. Ahlberg K, Ahlberg J, Kononen M, Partinen M, Hublin C, Savolainen A. Reported bruxism and restless legs syndrome in media personnel with or without irregular shift work. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2005;63:94–8.
14. Ahlberg K, Ahlberg J, Kononen M, Alakuijala A, Partinen M, Savolainen A. Perceived orofacial pain and its associations with reported bruxism and insomnia symptoms in media personnel with or without irregular shift work. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2005;63:213–7.
15. Thompson BA, Blount BW, Krumholz TS. Treatment approaches to bruxism. *Am Fam Physician* 1994;49:1617–22.
16. Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Bruxism is mainly regulated centrally, not peripherally. *J Oral Rehabil* 2001;28:1085–91.
17. Sjöholm TT, Lowe AA, Miyamoto K, Fleetham JA, Ryan CF. Sleep bruxism in patients with sleep-disordered breathing. *Arch Oral Biol* 2000;45:889–96.
18. Ahlberg K, Ahlberg J, Kononen M, Partinen M, Lindholm H, Savolainen A. Reported bruxism and stress experience in media personnel with or without irregular shift work. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2003;61:315–8.
19. Johansson A, Unell L, Carlsson G, Soderfeldt B, Halling A, Widar F. Associations between social and general health factors and symptoms related to temporomandibular disorders and bruxism in a population of 50-year-old subjects. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2004;62:231–7.
20. Ahlberg J, Savolainen A, Rantala M, Lindholm H, Kononen M. Reported bruxism and biopsychosocial symptoms: a longitudinal study. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2004;32:307–11.
21. Lavigne GJ, Lobbezoo F, Rompre PH, Nielsen TA, Montplaisir J. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor or an exacerbating factor for restless legs syndrome and sleep bruxism. *Sleep* 1997;20:290–3.
22. Madrid G, Madrid S, Vranesh JG, Hicks RA. Cigarette smoking and bruxism. *Percept Mot Skills* 1998;87:898.
23. Glaros AG, Rao SM. Bruxism: a critical review. *Psychol Bull* 1977;84:767–81.
24. Hicks RA, Conti P. Nocturnal bruxism and self reports of stress-related symptoms. *Percept Mot Skills* 1991;72:1182.
25. Nakata A, Haratani T, Takahashi M, Kawakami N, Arito H, Kobayashi F et al. Job stress, social support, and prevalence of insomnia in a population of

- Japanese daytime workers. *Soc Sci Med* 2004;59:1719–30.
26. Utsugi M, Saijo Y, Yoshioka E, Horikawa N, Sato T, Gong Y et al. Relationships of occupational stress to insomnia and short sleep in Japanese workers. *Sleep* 2005;28:728–35.
 27. Ota A, Masue T, Yasuda N, Tsutsumi A, Mino Y, Ohara H. Association between psychosocial job characteristics and insomnia: an investigation using two relevant job stress models – the demand-control-support (DCS) model and the effort-reward imbalance (ERI) model. *Sleep Med* 2005;6:353–8.
 28. Kudielka BM, von Kanel R, Gander ML, Fischer JE. Effort-reward imbalance, overcommitment and sleep in a working population. *Work Stress* 2004;18:167–78.
 29. Doi Y, Minowa M, Tango T. Impact and correlates of poor sleep quality in Japanese white-collar employees. *Sleep* 2003;26:467–71.
 30. Akerstedt T, Fredlund P, Gillberg M, Jansson B. Work load and work hours in relation to disturbed sleep and fatigue in a large representative sample. *J Psychosom Res* 2002;53:585–8.
 31. Pelfrene E, Vlerick P, Kittel F, Mak RP, Kornitzer M, Backer GD. Psychosocial work environment and psychological well-being: assessment of the buffering effects in the job demand-control (-support) model in BELSTRESS. *Stress Health* 2002;18:43–56.
 32. Fahlen G, Knutsson A, Peter R, Akerstedt T, Nordin M, Alfredsson L et al. Effort-reward imbalance, sleep disturbances and fatigue. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2006;79:371–8.
 33. Ahlberg J, Rantala M, Savolainen A, Suvinen T, Nissinen M, Sarna S et al. Reported bruxism and stress experience. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2002;30:405–8.
 34. Hurrell JJ Jr, McLaney MA. Exposure to job stress—a new psychometric instrument. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1988;14:27–8.
 35. Nakata A, Ikeda T, Takahashi M, Haratani T, Hojou M, Fujioka Y et al. Impact of psychosocial job stress on non-fatal occupational injuries in small and medium-sized manufacturing enterprises. *Am J Ind Med* 2006;49:658–69.
 36. Haratani T, Kawakami N, Araki S, Hurrell Jr JJ, Sauter SL, Swanson NG. Psychometric properties and stability of the Japanese version of the NIOSH job stress questionnaire. 25th International Congress on Occupational Health, Book of Abstracts, Part 2; 1996. P.393.
 37. Weissman MM, Sholomskas D, Pottenger M, Prusoff BA, Locke BZ. Assessing depressive symptoms in five psychiatric populations. *Am J Epidemiol* 1977;106:203–14.
 38. Japan Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. A survey on health status of employees in 2002. Tokyo: Japan Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare; (in Japanese). Available at: http://www.dbtk.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/kouhyo/indexkr_13_6.html (accessed August 16, 2006).
 39. Manfredini D, Landi N, Romagnoli M, Bosco M. Psychic and occlusal factors in bruxers. *Aust Dent J* 2004;49:84–9.
 40. Ng SK, Keung Leung W. A community study on the relationship between stress, coping, affective dispositions and periodontal attachment loss. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2006;34:252–66.
 41. Genco RJ, Ho AW, Grossi SG, Dunford RG, Tedesco LA. Relationship of stress, distress and inadequate coping behaviors to periodontal disease. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:711–23.
 42. Watanabe T, Ichikawa K, Clark GT. Bruxism levels and daily behaviors: 3 weeks of measurement and correlation. *J Orofac Pain* 2003;17:65–73.
 43. Nihon Hoso Kyokai (NHK) Broadcasting Culture Research Institute. NHK Data book 2000: National time use survey. Tokyo: Broadcast Publishing Co. Ltd, 2000 (in Japanese).

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.