# Prevalence of and factors affecting postpreparation pain in patients undergoing two-visit root canal treatment

# J. P. Glennon, Y.-L. Ng, D. J. Setchell & K. Gulabivala

 $Department of Conservative \ Dentistry, Eastman \ Dental \ Institute for Oral \ Health \ Care \ Sciences, University \ College \ London, \ Londo$ 

# **Abstract**

**Glennon JP, Ng Y-L, Setchell DJ, Gulabivala K**. Prevalence of and factors affecting postpreparation pain in patients undergoing two-visit root canal treatment. *International Endodontic Journal*, **37**, 29–37, 2004.

**Aim** This longitudinal, prospective study (i) investigated the prevalence of postpreparation pain during root canal treatment and (ii) evaluated the influence of factors affecting the pain experience.

**Methodology** Twenty practitioners, comprising general dental practitioners, MSc graduates and endodontists, participated in this study. The patient sample (n=272) was derived from consecutive patients attending the practitioners' surgeries for a two-visit root canal treatment on a single tooth. Demographic, medical history, preoperative and intraoperative data as well as pain experience on days 1 and 2 after root canal preparation were recorded. Intensity of pain experienced was

recorded on a visual analogue scale (VAS) of 0–5. The data were analysed using logistic regression models.

**Results** The prevalence of postpreparation pain within 48 h after treatment was 64.7% (n=176), but less than 10% of patients experienced severe pain (VAS 4 or 5) on either day 1 or day 2. The presence of preoperative pain (OR = 2.841, P < 0.001), tooth type (OR = 2.008, P=0.009), systemic steroid therapy for other medical reasons (OR = 0.181, P=0.023) and preoperative swelling (OR = 2.433, P=0.040) were the only factors to significantly influence postpreparation pain experience.

**Conclusions** The prevalence of postpreparation pain was high, and the important prognostic determinants were presence of preoperative pain, tooth type, systemic steroid therapy and preoperative swelling.

**Keywords:** pain, root canal treatment.

Received 2 May 2003; accepted 29 August 2003

# Introduction

Development of interappointment pain during root canal treatment may undermine patients' confidence in their dentist. The ability to predict its prevalence and forewarn the patient may go some way towards enabling coping strategies. Unfortunately, the aetiological factors in pain manifestation have not been determined precisely. However, several hypothetical mechanisms involving chemical, mechanical or microbial injury to the

Correspondence: Dr K. Gulabivala, Department of Conservative Dentistry, Eastman Dental Institute for Oral Health Care Sciences, University College London, 256 Grays Inn Road, London WCIX 8LD, UK (Tel.: +44 20 79151033/1027; fax: +44 20 79151028; e-mail: k.gulabivala@eastman.ucl.ac.uk).

periradicular tissues as well as psychological influences have been suggested as possible causes of postpreparation pain (Seltzer & Naidorf 1985). This subject has attracted considerable attention by researchers since 1970 (Table 1). The reported prevalence of post-preparation pain ranges widely from 2 to 88% (Table 1). Although most of the studies were either randomized controlled trials (Harrison et al. 1981; 1983, Marshall & Walton 1984, Balaban et al. 1984, Mata et al. 1985, Rimmer 1991) or prospective studies (Clem 1970, O'Keefe 1976, Georgopoulou et al. 1986, Torabinejad et al. 1994, Kvist & Reit 2000, Mattscheck et al. 2001, Siqueira et al. 2002), direct comparison between them is complicated by differences in study design, preoperative condition of the root-treated tooth, treatment protocol, timing of recording pain experience, index of pain measurement and severity of

**Table 1** Summary of studies of prevalence of pain experienced by patients after canal preparation

Study	Sample size	Study design <sup>b</sup>	Preoperative status of teeth controlled	Variables recorded <sup>c</sup>	Timing of recording pain after preparation	Prevalence of postpreparation pain, %	Prognostic factors identified	Statistical method
Clem (1970)	318 teeth	Р	None	1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16	Immediate to	25 (moderate pain)	Presence of sinus, tooth type	Chi-square tests $(\chi^2)$
O'Keefe (1976)	147 patients	Р	None	1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9 12, 16	Same as above	61	Age, medical problem, tooth type, preoperative pain	$\chi^2$
Maddox <i>et al.</i> (1977)	101 treatment sessions	Р	None	9, 16	Immediate to 2 days	37.6	None	$\chi^2$
Harrison <i>et al.</i> (1983)	229 cases	RCT	No preoperative pain No pulpal exposure	6, 9, 15, 16	Immediate to next appointment	44.5 (mild 28.8, moderate-severe 15.7)	None	χ²
Harrison <i>et al</i> . (1981)	245 patients	RCT	No preoperative pain No pulpal exposure	15, 16	2–14 days	13.3	None	$\chi^2$
Marshall & Walton (1984)	50 patients	RCT	No medicament	5, 6, 7, 9, 13	4, 24, 48 h	9–29 (moderate -severe pain)	Use of intramuscular injection of steroid postop	Multiple regression
Balaban <i>et al.</i> (1984)	157 patients	RCT	Nonvital pulp, Presence of lesion, no previous RCT, no preoperative pain	1, 2, 12	Immediate to next appointment	10–14	Age, tooth type	χ <sup>2</sup>
Torabinejad <i>et al.</i> (1988)	2000 patients	R	None	1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17	Immediate to next appointment	No data	Age, gender, tooth type, history of allergy, size of periapical lesion, sinus, previous RCT, preoperative pain, preop medication	$\chi^2$
Trope (1990)	474 teeth	R	None	6, 7, 8, 9	Immediate to next appointment	2.53 (severe pain)	None	$\chi^2$
Walton & Fouad (1992)	946 patient visits	Р	None	6, 7, 8, 9, 11,	Immediate to next appointment	3.17 (severe pain)	Preop medication, pain, swelling, pulpal vitality	$\chi^2$
Mata <i>et al.</i> (1985)	100 patients	RCT	No systemic disease or medication, no history or allergy to penicillin, nonvital pulp, no preoperative pain, no previous RCT, no medicament	8, 15, 17	Immediate, 2 days	88 (pain/swelling)	Postoperative penicillin V	$\chi^2$
Georgopoulou <i>et al.</i> (1986)	245 patients	Р	None	1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16	2–4 days or 7–14 days	4.3	Over-instrumentation	$\chi^2$
Rimmer (1991)	356 patients	RCT	None	6, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17	7–12 days	Not reported	Use of medicament with antibacterial, anti-inflammatory or anaesthetic properties	No information
Mor et al. (1992) <sup>a</sup>	334 patients	R	None	1, 2, 6, 8, 12, 15, 16	Immediate to next appointment	4.2 (pain requiring emergency appointment)	Pulp vitality	$\chi^2$
Torabinejad <i>et al.</i> (1994)	588 patients	RCT	None	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 17	Immediate to 72 h	Not reported	Preoperative pain and intensity, apprehension, postoperative intraoral medication	χ <sup>2</sup> and multiple regression

<sub>2</sub> ×		$\chi^2_2$		$\chi^2$		ANOVA	× <sup>2</sup>		Independent-samples	t-test
None		None		Not studied		Preoperative pain	Preoperative pain		None	
62–69		œ		20		Not reported	15.2		20	
4-48 h postoperative 69-79		Immediate to next	appointment	Immediate to 6 days 20		4-120 h	7, 8, 14, 15, 16 Immediate to 1 week		6–48 h	
15, 16, 17		15, 16		14, 15, 16	<b>.</b>	6, 7, 8, 15, 16	7, 8, 14, 15, 16		6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16 6-48 h	
Nonvital pulp, presence of periapical lesion, no	preoperative pain	Nonvital pulp, no sinus	tract, 1st and 2nd molars	Presence of periapical	lesion, had root canal treatment	None	All nonvital		Adult (18+), healthy,	no preoperative medicati
۵		<u>د</u>		۵		۵	۵		۵	
80 patients		201 patients		46 patients		71 patients	627 teeth,	602 patients	72 patients	
Walton & Chiappinette 80 patients (1993) <sup>a</sup>		Eleazer & Eleazer	(1998)	Kvist & Reit (2000)		Mattscheck et al. (2001) <sup>a</sup> 71 patients	Siqueira <i>et al.</i> (2002)		DiRenzo <i>et al.</i> (2002)	

<sup>a</sup>Data contaminated with postobturation pain.

Data contaminated with postoblitiation pain.  $^{\rm b}$ P = prospective; R = retrospective; RCT = randomized controlled trial.

cander; 3 = medical history; 4 = history of allergy; 5 = preoperative medication; 6 = pulpal vitality; 7 = previous root canal treatment; 8 = periapical lesion; 9 = preoperative pain;

10 = preoperative sinus; 11 = preoperative swelling; 12 = tooth type/location; 13 = extent of instrumentation; 14 = size of apical preparation; 15 = irrigant; 16 = medicament; 17 = postoperative medication.

pain included in the statistical analysis. Subjective synthesis of the data suggests that the presence and severity of preoperative pain (O'Keefe 1976, Torabinejad et al. 1988; 1994, Mattscheck et al. 2001, Siqueira et al. 2002) appear to have a strong influence on the development of pain after initial root canal preparation. Other factors such as gender (Torabinejad et al. 1988), age (O'Keefe 1976, Torabinejad et al. 1988), history of allergies (Torabinejad et al. 1988), tooth type (Torabinejad et al. 1988), location (O'Keefe 1976), pulpal status (Mor et al. 1992), presence and size of periapical lesion (Torabinejad et al. 1988), presence of sinus tract (Clem 1970, Torabinejad et al. 1988), history of root canal treatment failure (Torabinejad et al. 1988), over-instrumentation (Georgopoulou et al. 1986), intracanal medicament (Rimmer 1991) and postoperative intraoral medications such as antibiotics (Mata et al. 1985, Torabinejad et al. 1988, Rimmer 1991) have also been reported to have significant effects on postpreparation pain. The majority of previous studies (Table 1) have analysed the potential associations with individual factors separately, using the Chi-square test which does not allow several independent variables to be considered simultaneously, a more realistic clinical

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of postpreparation pain during root canal treatment and to evaluate its association with various clinical factors using multiple logistic regression models.

# Materials and methods

Consecutive patients attending the participating dental practitioners (n = 20) for root canal treatment on only one tooth were invited to participate in this prospective study. The dental practitioners included endodontists (n = 5), MSc postgraduates in the Departments of Conservative Dentistry and Periodontology (n = 6) and general dental practitioners (n = 9) with a special interest in Endodontics but with no formal postgraduate training. A total of 415 patients consented to participate but only those receiving root canal treatment over two visits (n = 272) were included for analyses in this study. Preoperative (Table 2) and intraoperative (Table 2) data were collected by the operators. All the teeth were associated with a periapical lesion. The root canal preparation was completed in the first visit for all cases. The presence and severity of postoperative pain over the first 2 days (Table 2) following root canal preparation were recorded by the patient in a questionnaire and returned to the operator on the second visit. The severity of pain was recorded on a visual analogue scale of 0-5.

Table 2 Data recorded for each case

Preoperative data

Patient identification, date of birth and gender

Relevant medical history

Chronic debilitating disease<sup>a</sup>

Diet diabetics

Insulin diabetics

Topical steroid therapy

Systemic steroid therapy

Chemotherapy

Radiotherapy

Asthmatic

Drug allergy

Food allergy

Hay fever

Eczema

Tooth type

looth type

Preoperative clinical signs and symptoms associated with

the tooth studied

History of pain (before and within 24 h)

History of swelling (before and within 24 h)

Presence of sinus (at the time of treatment)

Presence of periapical radiolucent area

History of previous root canal treatment

#### Intraoperative data

Operator qualification

Size of apical preparation (=25 or <25)

Irrigant used (NaOCl, local anaesthesia, EDTA + NaOCl, others)

Medicament used  $(Ca(OH)_2$ , Ledermix, Ledermix  $+ Ca(OH)_2$ , formocresol, others)

#### Postpreparation pain

Presence and intensity of pain in the first 12–24 and 24–48 h Characteristics (temperature sensitivity, tenderness to biting on the tooth, throbbing) Furthermore, they were asked to select a descriptor best defining the pain experienced. The participating dentists and patients were supplied written instructions on how to assess and record the experience, severity and characteristics (Table 2) of pain at 1 and 2 days postinstrumentation. The written instructions were followed by a telephone call to the operators to establish if there were any difficulties in understanding or using the data collection forms.

The relationship between possible factors influencing the pain experienced by patients after root canal preparation was analysed using logistic regression models with a statistical package (SPSS version 11, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

Of the 272 patients studied, 176 (64.7%) experienced some level of pain on either day 1 or day 2 postpreparation. The level of reported pain on a VAS is presented in Table 3. Of the 176 patients who experienced postpre-

**Table 4** Frequency distribution of presentation of postpreparation pain reported by the patients

Presentation of pain	No. (%) of patients with pain at 1 day postpreparation (n = 174)	No. (%) of patients with pain at 2 days postpreparation $(n = 102)$
Temperature sensitivity	23 (13.2)	11 (10.8)
Tenderness to biting on the tooth	104 (59.8)	63 (61.8)
Throbbing	69 (39.7)	33 (32.4)

	Intensity	Intensity of pain presented on Day 2								
VAS	0	1	2	3	4	5	Total			
Intensity of pain presented on Day 1										
0	96	4	1	3	0	0	105			
1	43	18	5	3	0	1	69			
2	21	10	5	2	0	2	40			
3	5	5	10	7	4	0	31			
4	3	4	1	3	4	1	16			
5	2	0	1	3	2	3	11			
Total	170	41	23	21	10	7	272			

Shaded cells represent number of patients with the same pain intensity on days 1 and 2.

Cells *above* the shaded cells represent number of patients with higher pain intensity on day 2 than on day 1.

Cells *below* the shaded cells represent number of patients with lower pain intensity on day 2 than on day 1.

**Table 3** Cross-tabulation representing the frequency of combination of pain (intensity) presenting on days 1 and 2. (n = 272)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Chronic debilitating disease = respiratory disease, Crohn's disease, sarcoidosis, cardiac disease, myalgic encephalomyelitis, gout, thyroid disease, kidney disease, rheumatoid disease, ocular disease, depression.

 $\textbf{Table 5} \ \ \text{Frequency distribution of key explanatory variables and postpreparation pain in the first } 48\ h$ 

		Total		Postpreparation pain	
Variables	Categories	Number	%	Number	%
Gender	Female	148	54.4	103	70
	Male	124	45.6	73	59
Age	<20	7	2.6	4	57
	=20 and $<$ 30	25	9.2	16	64
	=30 and <40	54	19.9	38	70
	=40 and <50	87	32.0	54	62
	=50 and <60	40	14.7	27	68
	=60 and <70	39	14.3	23	59
	=70 and <80	13	4.8	8	62
	=80	7	2.6	6	86
ooth type	Incisors or canines	58	21.3	33	57
	Premolars	66	24.3	36	55
	Molars	148	54.4	107	72
listory of allergy	Yes	100	36.8	66	66
listory or allergy					64
Seried accordances	No Van	172	63.2	110	
opical steroid treatment	Yes	2	0.79	1	50
	No	270	99.3	175	65
Systemic steroid treatment	Yes	9	3.3	3	33
	No	263	96.7	173	66
Diet controlled diabetic	Yes	7	2.6	3	43
	No	265	97.4	173	65
nsulin controlled diabetic	Yes	1	99.6	1	100
	No	271	0.4	175	65
Chronic debilitating disease	Yes	14	5.1	10	71
	No	258	94.9	166	64
reoperative pain within 24 h before treatment	Yes	144	52.9	109	76
	No	128	47.1	67	52
reoperative pain >24 h before treatment	Yes	188	69.1	130	69
	No	84	30.9	46	55
reoperative swelling within 24 h	Yes	38	14.0	30	79
respondence consuming and min 2 · · ·	No	234	86.0	146	62
reoperative swelling >24 h before treatment	Yes	55	20.2	38	69
reoperative swelling >24 in before treatment	No	217	79.8	138	64
ran and a second					
Preoperative sinus	Yes	29	10.7	17	59
	No	243	89.3	159	65
Periapical lesion >3 mm	Yes	75	27.6	44	59
	No	197	72.4	132	67
revious root canal treatments	Yes	47	17.3	35	74
	No	225	82.7	141	63
Operator qualification	Endodontists	133	48.9	92	69
	MSc postgraduates	31	11.4	21	68
	GDPs	108	39.7	63	58
MAF size = 25	Yes	269	98.9	176	65
	No	3	1.1	0	0
rigant used	NaOCI	232	85.3	154	66
<b>3</b>	LA	2	0.7	2	100
	EDTA + NaOCI	27	9.9	17	63
	Unknown	2	0.7	1	50
	Others	9	3.3	2	22
ladicament used					
Medicament used	None	99	36.4	67	68
	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	118	43.4	77	65
	Ledermix	13	4.8	8	62
	$Ledermix + Ca(OH)_2$	20	7.4	13	62
	Formocresol	14	5.1	6	43
	Unknown	5	1.8	2	40
	Others	3	1.1	3	100

Single logistic regression analyses Explanatory variables (reference category) P-value OR 95% CI for OR MAF size = 25Preoperative pain within 24 h before < 0.001\* 2.835 1.694, 4.746 treatment (no) Preoperative pain >24 h before treatment (no) 0.023\*1.852 1.090, 3.144 0.004\* 2.080 1.255, 3.447 Molar tooth (no) 0.052\*\* 0.992, 5.150 Preoperative swelling within 24 h before 2.660 treatment (no) Use of NaOCI as irrigant (no) 0.053\*\* 3.109 0.988, 9.784 0.061\*\* 0.260 Systemic steroid therapy (no) 0.064, 1.065 0.066\*\* 0.625 0.379.1.032 Gender (female) Operator (GDP vs. MSc) 0.082\*\* 0.624 0.367, 1.064 Previous root canal treatments (no) 0.127 1.738 0.855, 3.531 Periapical lesion >3 mm (no) 0.200 0.699 0.404, 1.208 Diet diabetic (no) 0.235 0.399 0.087, 1.820 0.814 0.483, 1.371 Medicament [Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>] 0.439 Preoperative swelling >24 h before treatment (no) 0.447 1.279 0.678, 2.414 Preoperative sinus (no) 0.469 0.748 0.341, 1.641

**Table 6** Logistic regression models for each explanatory variable given separately

Variable 'MAF size =25' was not analysed because of absence of sample with MAF size  $<\!25$  and no postpreparation pain.

0.591

0.667

0.734

0.734

0.877

0.891

0.988

1.385

0.543

1.094

98.859

0.936

0.948

1.000

0.422, 4.538

0.034, 8.777

0.652, 1.836

0.405, 2.164

0.445, 2.023

0.984, 1.017

0.000, 3.1E+13

P-values with \* are significant at 5% level.

Chronic debilitating disease (no)

History of any form of allergy (no)

Operator (GDP vs. endodontist)

Topical steroid therapy (no)

Insulin diabetic (no)

Medicament (Ledermix)

P-values with \*\* are significant at 10% level.

paration pain, the majority (n=94,53.5%) had pain on both day 1 and day 2, whilst 74 (42.0%) and 8 (4.5%) patients presented with pain only on day 1 or day 2 post-preparation, respectively. Most of the patients reported either lower (n=113, 64.2%) or the same (n=37, 21.0%) level of pain on day 2 compared with day 1. Only 26 (14.8%) patients complained of more severe pain on day 2 compared with day 1. Severe pain (VAS 4 and 5) was reported by 27 (9.9%) and 17 (6.3%) patients on days 1 and 2, respectively. The presenting characteristics of postpreparation pain reported by the patients are given in Table 4.

The frequency distribution of the key explanatory variables and the prevalence of postpreparation pain within 48 h after the preparation visit are presented in Table 5.

The results were analysed using logistic regression models with the odds of 'postpreparation pain on day 1 or day 2'as the dependant variable. When each explanatory variable was analysed separately in single logistic regression models (Table 6), the odds of prevalence of postpreparation pain significantly increased by 2.8- or 1.8-fold if there was preoperative pain within 24 h (OR 2.835, 95% CI 1.694–4.746,  $P \le 0.001$ ) or preoperative

pain more than 24 h (OR 1.852, 95% CI 1.090–3.144, P=0.023) before treatment, respectively. Treatment of a molar significantly doubled the odds of postpreparation pain (OR 2.080, 95% CI 1.255–3.447, P=0.004) when compared with other tooth types. Other variables such as preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment (OR 2.66, 95% CI 0.99–5.15, P=0.052), use of NaOCl as irrigant (OR 3.11, 95% CI 0.99–9.78, P=0.053), systemic steroid therapy for general medical condition (OR 0.26, 95% CI 0.06–1.07, P=0.061), gender (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.38–1.03, P=0.066) and qualification of operator (GDP vs. MSc postgraduates) (OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.37–1.06, P=0.082) also appear to have a marginally significant influence on the postpreparation pain at the 10% level.

Some of these potential predictive factors were highly correlated (P < 0.05) (Table 7) and therefore could not be included in a multiple regression model simultaneously.

Table 8, model 1, illustrates the effect of attempting to simultaneously enter 'preoperative pain within 24 h', 'molar tooth type', 'systemic steroid therapy', 'use of sodium hypochlorite as irrigant' and 'gender' into a

**Table 7** P-values of correlation tests between potential prognostic factors

Variable code	Variable	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Preoperative pain within 24 h	<0.001*	0.025*	0.013*	0.618	0.721	0.155	0.864
2	Preoperative pain >24 h	1.000	0.058	0.207	0.758	0.118	0.276	
3	Preoperative swelling within 24 h		0.680	0.100	0.883	0.130	0.281	
4	Gender			0.787	0.071	< 0.001*	0.406	
5	Systemic steroid therapy				0.043*	0.930	0.840	
6	Operator					0.013*	0.605	
7	Molar tooth						1.000	
8	Use of NaOCI as irrigant							

P-values with \* indicates significance at 5% level.

statistical model; 'use of sodium hypochlorite as irrigant' and 'gender' failed to reach statistical significance at the 5% level.

When 'preoperative pain >24 h' (model 2) or 'preoperative swelling within 24 h' (model 3) replacing 'preoperative pain within 24 h' were entered separately in a similar model,'preoperative pain >24 h' lost its statistical significance at the 5% level.

Subsequently, 'preoperative pain within 24 h' or 'preoperative swelling within 24 h' were analysed separately in models 4 and 5, respectively, with 'molar tooth' and

'systemic steroid': all four factors remained significant at the 5% level.

'Preoperative pain within 24 h'appears to be the single best predictor (P < 0.001) of the odds of postpreparation pain during root canal treatment. A 'nonmolar' tooth (P = 0.009) or systemic steroid therapy (P = 0.023) was, on the other hand, significantly associated with lower prevalence of postpreparation pain. There was a marginally significant (P = 0.040) association between preoperative swelling within 24 h and a higher prevalence of postpreparation pain.

**Table 8** Multiple logistic regression models incorporating preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment, preoperative pain more than 24 h before treatment, preoperative swelling within 24 h, molar tooth, systemic steroid therapy, NaOCl irrigant and gender as predictors

	Multiple logistic regression analyses				
Explanatory variables (reference category)	<i>P</i> -value	OR	95% CI for OR		
Model 1					
Preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment (no)	< 0.001*	2.820	1.638, 4.854		
Molar (no)	0.015*	1.942	1.139, 3.309		
Systemic steroid (no)	0.031*	0.192	0.043, 0.860		
Use of NaOCI as irrigant (no)	0.056	3.294	0.968, 11.211		
Gender (female)	0.263	0.737	0.432, 1.258		
Model 2					
Preoperative pain >24 h before treatment (no)	0.146	1.509	0.867, 2.627		
Molar (no)	0.009*	2.001	1.186, 3.376		
Systemic steroid (no)	0.072	0.260	0.060, 1.125		
Use of NaOCI as irrigant (no)	0.101	2.744	0.820, 9.183		
Gender (female)	0.120	0.662	0.393, 1.114		
Model 3					
Preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment (no)	0.038*	2.481	1.054, 5.841		
Molar (no)	0.004*	2.177	1.289, 3.677		
Systemic steroid (no)	$0.052^{*}$	0.233	0.054, 1.011		
Use of NaOCI as irrigant (no)	0.100	2.717	0.825, 8.945		
Gender (female)	0.070	0.618	0.367, 1.040		
Model 4					
Preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment (no)	< 0.001*	2.841	1.671, 4.831		
Molar (no)	0.009*	2.008	1.186, 3.401		
Systemic steroid (no)	0.023*	0.181	0.041, 0.787		
Model 5					
Preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment (no)	0.040*	2.433	1.043, 5.678		
Molar (no)	0.002*	2.236	1.333, 3.750		
Systemic steroid (no)	0.042*	0.227	0.054, 0.947		

P-values with \* are significant at 5% level.

# **Discussion**

Consecutive patients attending the participating dentists for root canal treatment on a single tooth requiring two-visit root canal treatment during the study period were selected for analysis in this prospective study. More than one tooth receiving root canal treatment in the same patient cannot all be assumed to behave independently from each other, for the purpose of this analysis; therefore, such cases were excluded. Postpreparation pain during root canal treatment was the focus of interest in this study: as the root filling procedures for those cases completed in one visit may have a confounding effect on the postpreparation pain, they were also excluded from the analyses. The cases with root canal preparation procedures carried out over more than two visits may present with postpreparation pain on more than one occasion; as each occasion cannot be treated as independent from the other, these cases too were excluded from the analysis. Previous studies have not considered the confounding effect of such data characteristics (Clem 1970, Maddox et al. 1977, Harrison et al. 1981, 1983, Balaban et al. 1984, Mata et al. 1985, Torabinejad et al. 1988, 1994, Trope 1990, Rimmer 1991, Mor et al. 1992, Walton & Fouad 1992, Walton & Chiappinette 1993, Siqueira et al. 2002).

The high prevalence (64.7%) of pain experience after root canal preparation was consistent with some (O'Keefe 1976, Mata et al. 1985) but much higher than that reported by most other previous studies (Clem 1970, Maddox et al. 1977, Harrison et al. 1981; 1983, Balaban et al. 1984, Marshall & Walton 1984, Georgopoulou et al. 1986, Trope 1990, Mor et al. 1992, Eleazer & Eleazer 1998, Siqueira et al. 2002). This discrepancy could be attributed to differences in the preoperative status of the teeth, treatment procedures used and the severity of pain included for analysis. Some studies only included asymptomatic teeth (Harrison et al. 1981; 1983, Balaban et al. 1984, Mata et al. 1985) and could account for the lower prevalence of pain reported, as preoperative pain was found in this study to be a significant influencing factor. Those studies that defined postpreparation pain as moderate or severe (Clem et al. 1970, Marshall & Walton 1984, Trope et al. 1990), pain that required treatment (Mor et al. 1992) or pain that could not be controlled by over-the-counter analgesics (Eleazer & Eleazer 1998) reported a much lower prevalence of postpreparation

When all the explanatory variables were considered separately to explore the potential influence that each might have on the prevalence of postpreparation pain (Table 6), eight independent variables (preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment, preoperative pain >24 h before treatment, tooth type, preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment, systemic steroid therapy, type of irrigant, gender and operator) were identified as potentially important prognostic factors. However, only four variables (preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment, preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment, tooth type, systemic steroid therapy) remained significantly associated with postpreparation pain when all eight variables were considered in further regression models (Table 8).

Amongst the four variables, the most significant factor was 'preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment' (P < 0.001). When there was preoperative pain, the odds of occurrence of postpreparation pain was increased 2.8-fold. This finding is in agreement with others (O'Keefe 1976, Torabinejad *et al.* 1988; 1994, Mattscheck *et al.* 2000, Siqueira *et al.* 2002). Preoperative swelling within 24 h before treatment also had a significant effect on postpreparation pain; however, it was confounded by the 'preoperative pain within 24 h before treatment'.

There are conflicting results with regards to the influence of tooth type on the prevalence of postpreparation pain (Clem 1970, Balaban *et al.* 1984, Torabinejad *et al.* 1988, Georgopoulou *et al.* 1986, Mor *et al.* 1992). This study found that molar teeth were associated with greater susceptibility to postpreparation pain, consistent with the finding by Clem (1970). This may be a function either of the canal system complexity rendering debridement more difficult or simply of the higher number of roots and canal exits, increasing the risk of postpreparation complications.

Patients receiving systemic steroid therapy for other medical conditions appeared to have significantly (P=0.023) less postpreparation pain than those not receiving such therapy. This finding is consistent with those of Liesinger  $et\ al.\ (1983)$  and Marshall & Walton (1984) who showed that postoperative injection of steroid significantly reduced both prevalence and severity of post-treatment pain. Steroid may suppress the acute inflammatory response (Smith  $et\ al.\ 1976$ ) that develops in the periradicular tissue as a result of additional mechanical, chemical or microbial insults during root canal preparation and may explain its effect on the prevalence of postpreparation pain.

Other factors such as age, gender, history of allergies, presence of sinus tract, size of lesion and history of root canal treatment were found to have no significant influence on postpreparation pain, in contrast to the findings of Torabinejad *et al.* (1988): they did however collect their

data retrospectively and only analysed the factors separately using the Chi-square test.

The lack of significant influence on postpreparation pain by type of irrigant or medicament is in agreement with others (Maddox *et al.* 1977, Harrison *et al.* 1981; 1983, Torabinejad *et al.* 1988; 1994, Trope 1990) but contradicts Rimmer (1991) who found that intracanal medicaments with antibacterial, anti-inflammatory or anaesthetic property were associated with less post-operative pain.

#### **Conclusions**

The prevalence of some level of postpreparation pain during root canal treatment was high and significantly affected by preoperative pain or swelling, tooth type and systemic steroid therapy for other medical conditions.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge Mr D. R. Moles for his advice regarding the statistical analyses.

### References

- Balaban FS, Skidmore AE, Griffin JA (1984) Acute exacerbations following initial treatment of necrotic pulps. *Journal of Endo*dontics 10, 78–81.
- Clem WH (1970) Posttreatment endodontic pain. Journal of American Dental Association 81, 1166–70.
- DiRenzo A, Gresla T, Johnson BR, Rogers M, Tucker D, Begole EA (2002) Postoperative pain after 1- and 2-visits root canal therapy. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics 93, 605–10.
- Eleazer PD, Eleazer KR (1998) Flare-up rate in pulpally necrotic molars in one-visit versus two-visit endodontic treatment. *Journal of Endodontics* **2**, 614–6.
- Georgopoulou M, Anastassiadis P, Sykaras S (1986) Pain after chemomechanical preparation. *International Endodontic Jour*nal 19, 309–14.
- Harrison JW, Baumgartner JC, Zielke DR (1981) Analysis of inter-appointment pain associated with the combined use of endodontic irrigants and medicaments. *Journal of Endo*dontics 7, 272–6.
- Harrison JW, Baumgartner JC, Svec TA (1983) Prevalence of pain associated with clinical factors during and after root canal therapy. Part 1. Inter-appointment pain. *Journal of Endodontics* 9, 384–7.
- Kvist T, Reit C (2000) Post-operative discomfort associated with surgical and non-surgical endodontic retreatment. Endodontics and Dental Traumatology 16, 71–4.

- Liesinger A, Marshall FJ, Marshall JG (1993) Effect of variable doses of dexamethasone on post treatment endodontic pain. *Journal of Endodontics* **19**, 35–8.
- Maddox DL, Walton RE, Davis CO (1977) Incidence of post-treatment endodontic pain related to medicaments and other factors. *Journal of Endodontics* **3**, 447–52.
- Marshall JG, Walton RE (1984) The effect of intramuscular injection of steroid on post-treatment endodontic pain. *Journal of Endodontics* 10, 584–8.
- Mata E, Koren LZ, Morse DR, Sinai IH (1985) Prophylactic use of penicillin V in teeth with necrotic pulps and asymptomatic periapical radiolucencies. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine and Oral Pathology* **60**, 201–7.
- Mattscheck DJ, Law AS, Noblett WC (2001) Retreatment versus initial root canal treatment: factors affecting post-treatment pain. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics **92**, 321–4.
- Mor C, Rotstein I, Friedman S (1992) Incidence of inter-appointment emergency associated with endodontic therapy. *Journal of Endodontics* **18**, 509–11.
- O'Keefe EM (1976) Pain in endodontic therapy: preliminary study. *Journal of Endodontics* 2, 315–9.
- Rimmer A (1991) Intracanal medications and antibiotics in the control of inter-appointment flare-ups. *Quintessence Interna*tional 22, 997–1005.
- Seltzer S, Naidorf IJ (1985) Flare-ups in endodontics. Part I. Etiological factors. *Journal of Endodontics* 11, 472–8.
- Seltzer S, Naidorf IJ (1985) Flare-ups in endodontics. Part II. Therapeutic measures. *Journal of Endodontics* 11, 559–67.
- Siqueira JF, Rôças IN, Favieri A *et al.* (2002) Incidence of postoperative pain after intracanal procedures based on an antimicrobial strategy. *Journal of Endodontics* **28**, 457–60.
- Smith RG, Patterson SS, El-KafrawyAH (1976) Histological study of the effects of hydrocortisone on the apical peridodontium of dogs. *Journal of Endodontics* **12**, 376–80.
- Torabinejad M, Kettering JD, McGraw JC, Cummings RR, Dwyer TG, Tobias TS (1988) Factors associated with endodontic inter-appointment emergencies of teeth with necrotic pulps. *Journal of Endodontics* **14**, 261–6.
- Torabinejad M, Cymerman JJ, Frankson N, Lemon RR, Maggio JD, Schilder H (1994) Effectiveness of various medications on postoperative pain following complete instrumentation. *Journal of Endodontics* **20**, 345–54.
- Trope M (1990) Relationship of intracanal medicaments to endodontic flare-ups. *Endodontics and Dental Traumatology* **6**, 226–9.
- Walton RE, Chiappinelli J (1993) Prophylactic penicillin: effect on posttreatment symptoms following root canal treatment of a asymptomatic periapical pathosis. *Journal of Endodontics* **19**, 466–70.
- Walton RE, Fouad A (1992) Endodontic interappointment flare ups: a prospective study of incidence and related factors. *Journal of Endodontics* **18**, 172–6.

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.						