The effectiveness of syringe irrigation and ultrasonics to remove debris from simulated irregularities within prepared root canal walls

S.-J. Lee¹, M.-K. Wu² & P. R. Wesselink²

¹Department of Conservative Dentistry, Chonbuk National University, School of Dentistry, Chonju, South Korea; and ²Department of Cariology Endodontology, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Lee S-J, Wu M-K, Wesselink PR. The effectiveness of syringe irrigation and ultrasonics to remove debris from simulated irregularities within prepared root canal walls. *International Endodontic Journal*, **37**, 672–678, 2004.

Aim To compare the ability of syringe irrigation and ultrasonic irrigation to remove artificially placed dentine debris from simulated canal irregularities within prepared root canals.

Methodology After canal enlargement, twelve canines were split longitudinally into two halves. On the wall of one half of each root canal a standard groove of 4 mm in length, 0.2 mm in width and 0.5 mm in depth was cut, 2–6 mm from the apex, to simulate uninstrumented canal extensions. On the wall of the other half, three standard saucer-shaped depressions of 0.3 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm in depth were cut at 2, 4 and 6 mm from the apex to simulate uninstrumented canal irregularities. Each groove and depression were filled with dentine debris mixed with 2% NaOCl to simulate a situation when dentine debris accumulates in uninstrumented canal preparation. Each tooth was re-assembled by reconnecting the two halves, using

wire and an impression putty material. Two per cent NaOCl was then delivered into each canal either using syringe irrigation (n = 8) or using ultrasonic irrigation (n = 8). Before and after irrigation, images of the two halves of the canal wall were taken, using a microscope and a digital camera, after which they were scanned into a PC as TIFF images. The amount of remaining dentine debris in the grooves and depressions was evaluated by using a scoring system between 0-3: the higher the score, the more the debris. The data were analysed by means of the Mann–Whitney *U*-test.

Results Both forms of irrigation reduced the debris score significantly. The debris score was statistically significantly lower after ultrasonic irrigation than after syringe irrigation (P = 0.002 for grooves, P = 0.047 for depressions).

Conclusion Ultrasonic irrigation *ex vivo* is more effective than syringe irrigation in removing artificially created dentine debris placed in simulated uninstrumented extensions and irregularities in straight, wide root canals.

Keywords: dentine debris, irrigation.

Received 15 September 2003; accepted 22 April 2004

Introduction

One of the most important procedures in root canal treatment is chemomechanical preparation of the canal in facilitating removal of bacteria, debris and therapeutic materials such as gutta-percha, sealer and medicaments from root canals.

system. Irrigation is complementary to instrumentation

The effectiveness of irrigation relies on both the mechanical flushing action and the ability of irrigants to dissolve tissue. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) has been used as an endodontic irrigant for at least six decades (Walker 1936). Irrigation with NaOCl has been

Correspondence: M.-K. Wu, Department of Cariology Endodontology Pedodontology, ACTA, Louwesweg 1, 1066 EA Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Tel.: +31 20 518 8367; fax: +31 20 669 2881; e-mail: m.wu@acta.nl).

shown to dissolve organic tissues (Hand *et al.* 1978, Moorer & Wesselink 1982) and to be antibacterial in root canals (Byström & Sundqvist 1983).

The flushing action of irrigants helps to remove organic and dentinal debris and microorganisms from the canal. Indeed, it has been suggested that the flushing action may be more important than the ability to dissolve tissue (Baker *et al.* 1975). The flushing action created by syringe irrigation is relatively weak and dependent not only on the anatomy of the root canal system but also on the depth of placement and the diameter of the needle (Abou-Rass & Piccinino 1982, Chow 1983). Increase in the volume of irrigant does not significantly improve its flushing action and its efficacy to remove debris (Walters *et al.* 2002).

The flushing action of irrigants may be enhanced by using ultrasound because the directional flow from apical to coronal and eddies produced through the acoustic streaming created by the vibrating instrument is more intense in velocity and magnitude around its tip (Ahmad *et al.* 1987, Stock 1991). In a study by Cunningham *et al.* (1982a), the flushing action of hand syringe irrigation was compared with ultrasound using saline as the irrigant. Ultrasound removed 86% of the bacterial spores from the root canal while hand syringe irrigation removed 62%.

Another potential methodology where the flushing action could be enhanced is the use of the noninstrumentation technique (NIT) (Lussi *et al.* 1995, 1997). Excellent cleaning was achieved after irrigation with NaOCl by generating alternating pressure fields within a reduced pressure environment thus creating hydrodynamic turbulence (Ardila *et al.* 2003). However, only limited clinical studies have been performed to date (Lussi *et al.* 1997).

Various instruments are used to prepare root canals (Spångberg 1998). Rotary hand preparation techniques and rotary instruments with radial lands tend to produce round preparations (Vessey 1969, Hülsmann *et al.* 2001). These round preparations may be produced in the centre or at one side of a long oval canal leaving uninstrumented canal extensions (Walton 1976, Gambill *et al.* 1996, Peters *et al.* 2001, Wu *et al.* 2001, 2003, Wu & Wesselink 2001, Ardila *et al.* 2003, Bergmans *et al.* 2003). In addition, many posterior teeth have complex isthmuses and other natural irregularities.

Following conventional hand irrigation the uninstrumented canal extensions and irregularities may harbour debris and bacteria, thereby making thorough canal debridement difficult (Cunningham *et al.* 1982b, Goodman *et al.* 1985, Wu *et al.* 2001, Wu & Wesselink 2001). If the dentine debris is not removed completely from the uninstrumented extensions, two unfavourable outcomes may result. First, calcium hydroxide and other medicaments that function only when in direct contact with the pathogens (Siqueira & Lopes 1999), cannot be placed in the space occupied by debris, and therefore could not be effective. Secondly, only those areas free of debris can be filled with gutta-percha and sealer, therefore, the debris-filled canal extensions may lead to leakage (Wu *et al.* 2001). Clearly, thorough removal of all debris is an essential part of root canal treatment.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and compare the ability of syringe irrigation and ultrasonic irrigation to remove artificial dentine debris from simulated canal extensions and irregularities.

Materials and methods

The root canals in twelve maxillary and mandibular canines were used. The canals were accessed and prepared. The working length was established by deducting 1 mm from the actual canal length, which was determined by inserting a size 15 file into the canal until the tip of the file was just visible at the apical foramen. The coronal aspect of each canal was flared, using Gates Glidden drills (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland); sizes 2-4, to a depth where resistance was met. Canals were then prepared using Flexofiles (Dentsply Maillefer) with a balanced-force technique (Roane et al. 1985) to size 50 at the working length and to sizes 55, 60, 70 and 80 to 1, 2, 3 and 4 mm from the working length respectively. Between files, each canal was irrigated with 2 mL of a freshly prepared 2% solution of sodium hypochlorite, using a syringe and a 27-gauge needle that was placed to 1 mm short of the working length, resulting in a total volume of 50 mL. The NaOCl solution was prepared by diluting a 10% NaOCl solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Its pH was adjusted to 10.8 with 1 N HCl. The concentration of the NaOCl solution was measured iodometrically (Moorer & Wesselink 1982).

Two grooves were cut along the long axis of each tooth using a diamond disc (Horico, Berlin, Germany). All teeth were then split longitudinally using a chisel.

The working portion of a hand spreader (A60, Dentsply Maillefer) was removed and the end of the shank sharpened to v shaped. Using this modified hand spreader, a standard groove 4 mm in length was created in the wall of one half of each root

canal, 2-6 mm from the apex (Fig. 1a), to simulate uninstrumented canal extensions. The groove was 0.5 mm deep and 0.2 mm wide that was comparable with the diameter of narrow oval canals (Wu *et al.* 2000).

The diameter of a round bur (006, Dentsply Maillefer) was reduced to 0.3 mm by grinding it on SiC-paper. Using this modified round bur, three standard saucershaped depressions, each 0.3 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm deep, were cut in the wall of the other half, at 2, 4 and 6 mm from the apex, in order to simulate uninstrumented canal irregularities (Fig. 1b).

Dentine debris was produced by grinding dentine with round burs from the pulpal to the cementum side of a number of other teeth that had been split longitudinally. The dentine debris was mixed with 2% NaOCl 5 min before use; a wet sand-like mixture was prepared. Using a paper point, the grooves and depressions were then filled with debris, taking care not to compact the debris.

The two halves of each tooth were then re-assembled using wires and putty impression material (Provil novo, GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Either syringe irrigation or ultrasound irrigation was performed in the canal, using 2% NaOCl as irrigant.

Ultrasound irrigation was performed in eight canines; each canal was irrigated with approximately 200 mL of a 2% NaOCl and a PMAX device (Satelec, Meriganc Cedex, France). After switching on the ultrasound device, an activated size-15 file was placed within 1 mm of the working length, thus, oscillation of the file and irrigation began almost at the same time. The oscillation in the direction of the groove at speed three lasted for 3 min. According to the manufacturer, the frequency employed under these conditions was approximately 30 kHz.



Figure 1 Schematic representation of specimen preparation. On one half (a) of the instrumented root canal a groove was cut 2 to 6 mm from the apex. One the other half (b) three depressions were cut 2, 4 and 6 mm from the apex.

Syringe irrigation was performed in four teeth. As the syringe irrigation procedure is not thought to damage the canal wall, it was deemed acceptable to use each tooth twice. Thus, a same-sample size of eight was used for the syringe irrigation.

It has been reported that a suitable irrigation regimen would include 2 mL of sodium hypochlorite applied between each instrument (Walters *et al.* 2002) followed by a final flush of 5–10 mL (Santos *et al.* 1999). Occasionally 18 hand instruments may be used in each canal (Buchanan 2000). To simulate this situation, 50 mL of 2% NaOCl was injected into each canal for approximately 7 min using a 10-mL syringe and a 27-gauge needle, the tip of the needle was 1 mm short of the working length.

The root halves were separated after the irrigation procedure in order to evaluate the removal of dentine debris. Images of the two halves of the canal wall were taken before and after irrigation, using a Photomakroskop M400 microscope with digital camera (Wild, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) at ×40 magnification; the pictures were scanned into a PC as Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) images. The amount of dentine debris in the groove and depressions was then scored by one investigator who did not know which irrigation technique had been performed. The first score (before irrigation) was used to assess whether the canals in the two groups (ultrasound and syringe) contained a comparable amount of debris before irrigation. A higher score indicated a larger amount of debris: score 0: the entire groove or depression was free of debris; score 1: less than half of the groove or depression was filled with debris; score 2: half or more than half of the groove or depression was filled with debris; and score 3: the entire groove or depression was filled with debris. The average score of the three depressions was used as the depression score for each specimen. Each specimen was finally re-examined by a second investigator.

The difference in debris scores after the use of different irrigation techniques was analysed by means

Table 1 Debris scores after syringe irrigation and ultrasound irrigation

	Syringe irrigation		Ultrasound irrigation	
n	Groove	Depression*	Groove	Depression*
1	3.0	2.3	0	0.7
2	1.0	0.7	0	0
3	3.0	0.7	0	0
4	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0
5	2.0	1.3	0	0.7
6	2.0	0	0	0.7
7	3.0	2.3	2.0	0.3
8	3.0	3.0	0	0
Sum (% reduction)	18.0 (25%)	11.6 (52%)	3.0 (88%)	4.4 (82%)

*Because there were three depressions each root, an average depression score is presented for each root.

of the Mann–Whitney U-test. The level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

The two investigators differed in scoring five specimens; agreement was achieved following discussion. Before irrigation, the groove score and the average depression score were three for each specimen. The scores after irrigation are shown in Table 1. Overall less dentine debris remained in the grooves (P = 0.002) and depressions (P = 0.047) after ultrasonic irrigation (Figs 2 and 3); the summated score for grooves was reduced from 24 to 3 (88% reduction) while the summated score for depressions was reduced from 24 to 4.4 (82% reduction) (Table 1). Debris was completely removed after ultrasonic irrigation from grooves in six of the eight specimens (75%), and from depressions in three of the eight specimens (38%) (Table 1).

Discussion

For the purposes of this study, a new model was designed to study the effectiveness of irrigation in



Figure 2 A specimen after syringe irrigation. The groove (a) and depressions (b) were still full of debris (score 3).



removing dentine debris in artificial irregularities and extensions of the root canal. In order to simulate uninstrumented extensions in the apical half, a groove was cut in the canal wall of each root 2-6 mm from the apex. Canines were used because they have wide canals (Kerekes & Tronstad 1977, Wu et al. 2000) and therefore, the roots could be easily split through the canals. The advantage of this model is that the amount of debris present both before and after irrigation can be compared, whereas in previous studies the amount of debris was evaluated only after preparation and irrigation (Abbott et al. 1991, Lumley et al. 1993, Wu & Wesselink 1995). Because it was not clear how much debris was present before irrigation in those studies, it could not be established how much was removed using the different irrigation procedures. This means that the ranking of the different techniques used to remove debris in these studies may not have been reliable. In the present study, the canals in the ultrasound and the syringe groups contained comparable amounts of debris before irrigation, as assessed by the initial scoring.

A relatively small sample size (n = 8) was applied but a statistically significant difference was indeed found, indicating that the model was sensitive, and a real difference existed between the two techniques. Application of suitable sample size is essential to minimize the risk of type I or II error (Schuurs *et al.* 1993). For either grooves or depressions the two mean scores significantly differed (Table 1, P < 0.05), indicating that the null hypothesis (H_0), under which the two mean scores using the two different irrigation techniques did not differ, was rejected and the risk to mistakenly reject H_0 , i.e. type I error, was <5%. The type II error is not related to this study (Schuurs *et al.* 1993).

This study demonstrates that significantly more artificially placed dentine debris is removed *ex vivo* by ultrasonic irrigation than by syringe irrigation. One reason for this may be that during ultrasonic irrigation a much higher velocity and volume of irrigant flow was created in the canal. Two hundred millilitres of irrigant **Figure 3** A specimen after ultrasound irrigation. In one-half of the specimen (a) the groove was shown to be free of debris (score 0). In the other half (b) debris had been removed from the depressions, but each depression still contained a small amount of debris (score 1).

was applied during 3 min of ultrasonic irrigation, as against 50 mL of irrigant during 7 min of syringe irrigation without any filing action. The conditions of syringe irrigation and ultrasonic were clearly different, but the purpose was to compare these two different clinical techniques with respect to their ability to remove simulated dentine debris from uninstrumented extensions. The results suggested that the flushing action by which dentine debris was removed is strongly enhanced by the combined use of ultrasound and the greater volume of irrigant solution. It has been shown that in oval canals large amounts of dentine debris remain in canal irregularities after syringe irrigation (Cunningham et al. 1982b, Goodman et al. 1985, Wu et al. 2001, Wu & Wesselink 2001) and that performing additional ultrasound irrigation is necessary in order to reduce the debris (Cunningham et al. 1982b, Goodman et al. 1985).

In this study, 50 mL of irrigant was used during syringe irrigation to simulate a situation where 20 instruments are used with 2 mL of irrigant being applied after their use followed by a final flush of 10 mL (Santos *et al.* 1999, Walters *et al.* 2002). This volume of NaOCl is probably considerably more than used by most dentists who would tend to use fewer instruments and a smaller volume of irrigant.

One may argue that the use of the same four teeth twice in the syringe group did not account for anatomical variations between teeth. However, the purpose of the study was to compare the ability of two irrigant regimens to remove dentine debris. Thus, it was an advantage that all other potential variables including canal morphology were standardized as much as possible. In the ultrasound group, however, because the file may cut the wall, each specimen was used only once.

A factor that may have promoted the removal of debris was the oscillations of the ultrasound-activated file. It has been reported that file oscillation directed toward oval recesses left least debris (Lumley *et al.* 1993), probably because under that condition the

676

canal permitted a higher magnitude of oscillation in the plane. In this study, it is possible that the file might have actually entered the grooves, but could not oscillate into the depression (Fig. 1). This may explain why debris was completely removed from 75% of the grooves and only 38% of the depressions (Table 1).

In this study, a size 50 apical master file was used in the instrumentation of the apical region, while a much smaller file (size 15) was used during the ultrasonic irrigation. The free oscillation of the small file would have enhanced the effectiveness of the technique as the file would not have been constrained (Stock 1991). The major component of dentine is inorganic matter that cannot be dissolved by NaOCl, thus, the removal of the simulated dentine debris was probably because of the mechanical flushing action of irrigant brought about by the ultrasonic oscillation.

It may be argued that EDTA could be used to remove dentine debris (McComb & Smith 1975, McComb *et al.* 1976, Spångberg 1998). However, it has been reported that a 5-min exposure to EDTAC partly demineralized a 30 μ m layer of the root canal wall (van der Fehr & Nygaard-Østby 1963). Nevertheless future studies of a short exposure of EDTA in the experimental model could be of interest.

Straight and wide canals were used although it is recognized that it is more difficult to clean narrow and curved root canals. Ram (1977) found that the canal must be enlarged to a size 40 at the apex in order for the irrigant to be effective. Other investigators have also doubted the effectiveness of hand syringe irrigation in narrow canals (Senia *et al.* 1971, McComb *et al.* 1976); narrow canals may also compromise the effectiveness of ultrasonic irrigation. Clearly, these effects require further studies.

The volume of the grooves was calculated to be 0.6 mm^3 , three times larger than the summated volume of the three depressions, 0.19 mm^3 . Therefore, a larger amount of dentine debris was present in one groove than in three depressions. This probably explains why less debris remained in the depressions than in the grooves after the syringe irrigation (Table 1), assuming that syringe irrigation removed the same amount of dentine debris from each side of the canal wall.

Conclusion

The results indicate that ultrasonic irrigation is capable of removing more artificially placed dentine debris from simulated canal irregularities in straight, wide root canals than syringe irrigation.

References

- Abbott PV, Heijkoop PS, Cardaci SC, Hume WR, Heithersay GS (1991) An SEM study of the effect of different irrigation sequences and ultrasonics. *International Endodontic Journal* 24, 308–16.
- Abou-Rass M, Piccinino MV (1982) The effectiveness of four clinical irrigation methods on the removal of root canal debris. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 54, 323–8.
- Ahmad M, Pitt Ford TR, Crum LA (1987) Ultrasonic debridement of root canals: acoustic streaming and its possible role. *Journal of Endodontics* 14, 490–9.
- Ardila CN, Wu M-K, Wesselink PR (2003) Percentage of filled canal area in mandibular molars after conventional root-canal instrumentation and after a noninstrumentation technique (NIT). *International Endodontic Journal* 36, 591–8.
- Baker NA, Eleazer PD, Averbach RE (1975) Scanning electron microscopic study of the efficacy of various irrigation solutions. *Journal of Endodontics* 1, 127–35.
- Bergmans L, Van Cleynenbreugel J, Beullens M, Wevers M, Van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P (2003) Progressive versus constant tapered shaft design using NiTi rotary instruments. *International Endodontic Journal* 36, 288–95.
- Buchanan LS (2000) The standardized-taper root canal preparation-Part 1. Concepts for variably tapered shaping instruments. *International Endodontic Journal* **33**, 516–29.
- Byström A, Sundqvist G (1983) Bacteriologic evaluation of the effect of 0.5 percent sodium hypochlorite in endodontic therapy. *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology* **55**, 307–12.
- Chow TW (1983) Mechanical effectiveness of root canal irrigation. *Journal of Endodontics* **9**, 475–9.
- Cunningham WT, Martin H, Pelleu GB, Stoops DE (1982a) A comparison of antimicrobial effectiveness of endodontic and hand root canal therapy. *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology* **54**, 238–41.
- Cunningham WT, Martin H, Forrest WR (1982b) Evaluation of root canal debridement by the endosonic ultrasonic synergistic system. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 53, 401–4.
- van der Fehr FR, Nygaard-Østby B (1963) Effect of EDTAC and sulfuric acid on root canal dentine. *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology* **16**, 199–205.
- Gambill JM, Alder M, del Rio CE (1996) Comparison of nickel-titanium and stainless steel hand-file instrumentation using computed tomography. *Journal of Endodontics* 22, 369–75.
- Goodman A, Beck M, Melfi R, Meyers W (1985) An in vitro comparison of the efficacy of the step-back technique versus a step-back/ultrasonic technique in human mandibular molars. *Journal of Endodontics* 11, 249–56.

- Hand RE, Smith ML, Harrison JW (1978) Analysis of the effect of dilution on the necrotic tissue dissolution property of sodium hypochlorite. *Journal of Endodontics* 4, 60–4.
- Hülsmann M, Schade M, Schäfers F (2001) A comparative study of root canal preparation with HERO 642 and Quantec SC rotary Ni-Ti instruments. *International Endodontic Journal* 34, 538–46.
- Kerekes K, Tronstad L (1977) Morphometric observations on root canals of human anterior teeth. *Journal of Endodontics* 3, 24–9.
- Lumley PJ, Walmsley AD, Walton RE, Rippin JW (1993) Cleaning of oval canals using ultrasonic or sonic instrumentation. *Journal of Endodontics* 19, 453–7.
- Lussi A, Messerli L, Hotz P, Grosrey J (1995) A new noninstrumental technique for cleaning and filling root canals. *International Endodontic Journal* 28, 1–6.
- Lussi A, Suter B, Grosrey J (1997) Obturation of root canals in vivo with a new vacuum technique. *Journal of Endodontics* 23, 629–31.
- McComb D, Smith DC (1975) A preliminary scanning electron microscopic study of root canals after endodontic procedures. *Journal of Endodontics* 1, 238–44.
- McComb D, Smith DC, Beagrie GS (1976) The results of *in vivo* endodontic chemomechanical instrumentation: a scanning electron microscopic study. *Journal of British Endodontic Society* **9**, 11–8.
- Moorer WR, Wesselink PR (1982) Factors promoting the tissue dissolving capability of sodium hypochlorite. *International Endodontic Journal* 15, 187–96.
- Peters OA, Laib A, Gohring TN, Barbakow F (2001) Changes in root canal geometry after preparation assessed by highresolution computed tomography. *Journal of Endodontics* **27**, 1–6.
- Ram Z (1977) Effectiveness of root canal irrigation. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 44, 306–12.
- Roane JB, Sabala CL, Duncanson MG (1985) The 'balanced force' concept for instrumentation of curved canals. *Journal* of Endodontics 11, 203–11.
- Santos MD, Walker WA, Carnes DL (1999) Evaluation of apical seal in straight canals after obturation using the Lightspeed sectional method. *Journal of Endodontics* **25**, 609–12.
- Schuurs AHB, Wu M-K, Wesselink PR, Duivenvoorden HJ (1993) Endodontic leakage studies reconsidered. Part LL.

Statistical aspects. International Endodontic Journal **26**, 44–52.

- Senia ES, Marshall FJ, Rosen J (1971) The solvent action of sodium hypochlorite on pulp tissue of extracted teeth. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 31, 96–103.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Lopes HP (1999) Mechanisms of antimicrobial activity of calcium hydroxide: a critical review. *International Endodontic Journal* **32**, 361–9.
- Spångberg LSW (1998) Instruments, materials, and devices. In: Cohen S, Burns RC, eds. *Pathways of the Pulp*, 7th edn. *St Louis: Mosby, pp.* 476–531.
- Stock CJR (1991) Current status of the use of ultrasound in endodontics. *International Dental Journal* **41**, 175–82.
- Vessey RA (1969) The effect of filing versus reaming on the shape of the prepared root canal. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 27, 543–7.
- Walker A (1936) Definite and dependable therapy for pulpless teeth. *Journal of American Dental Association* 23, 1418–23.
- Walters MJ, Baumgartner JC, Marshall JG (2002) Efficacy of irrigation with rotary instrumentation. *Journal of Endodontics* **28**, 837–9.
- Walton RE (1976) Histologic evaluation of different methods of enlarging the pulp canal space. *Journal of Endodontics* **2**, 304–11.
- Wu M-K, Wesselink PR (1995) Efficacy of three techniques in cleaning the apical portion of curved root canals. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology Endodontics 79, 492–6.
- Wu M-K, Wesselink PR (2001) A primary observation on the preparation and obturation in oval canals. *International Endodontic Journal* 34, 137–41.
- Wu M-K, Roris A, Barkis D, Wesselink PR (2000) Prevalence and extent of long oval canals in the apical third. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology Endododontics 89, 739–43.
- Wu M-K, de Schwartz FBC, van der Sluis LWM, Wesselink PR (2001) The quality of root fillings remaining in mandibular incisors after root-end cavity preparation. *International Endodontic Journal* 34, 613–9.
- Wu M-K, van der Sluis LWM, Wesselink PR (2003) The capability of two hand instrumentation techniques to remove the inner layer of dentine in oval canals. *International Endodontic Journal* **36**, 218–24.

678

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.