Effectiveness of ozone against endodontopathogenic microorganisms in a root canal biofilm model

K. C. Huth¹, M. Quirling^{1,2}, S. Maier¹, K. Kamereck³, M. AlKhayer¹, E. Paschos⁴, U. Welsch⁵, T. Miethke³, K. Brand⁶ & R. Hickel¹

¹Department of Restorative Dentistry & Periodontology, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Munich; ²Institute of Clinical Chemistry & Pathobiochemistry, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Munich; ³Institute of Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, Technische Universität München, Munich; Departments of ⁴Orthodontics and ⁵Anatomy, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Munich; and ⁶Institute of Clinical Chemistry, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Hannover, Germany

Abstract

Huth KC, Quirling M, Maier S, Kamereck K, AlKhayer M, Paschos E, Welsch U, Miethke T, Brand K, Hickel R. Effectiveness of ozone against endodontopathogenic microorganisms in a root canal biofilm model. *International Endodontic Journal*, **42**, 3–13, 2009.

Aim To assess the antimicrobial efficacy of aqueous $(1.25-20 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1})$ and gaseous ozone $(1-53 \ g \ m^{-3})$ as an alternative antiseptic against endodontic pathogens in suspension and a biofilm model.

Methodology Enterococcus faecalis, Candida albicans, Peptostreptococcus micros and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were grown in planctonic culture or in mono-species biofilms in root canals for 3 weeks. Cultures were exposed to ozone, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl; 5.25%, 2.25%), chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX; 2%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂; 3%) and phosphate buffered saline (control) for 1 min and the remaining colony forming units counted. Ozone gas was applied to the biofilms in two experimental settings, resembling canal areas either difficult (setting 1) or easy (setting 2) to reach. Time-course experiments up to 10 min were included. To compare the tested samples, data were analysed by one-way ANOVA. **Results** Concentrations of gaseous ozone down to 1 g m⁻³ almost and aqueous ozone down to 5 μ g mL⁻¹ completely eliminated the suspended microorganisms as did NaOCl and CHX. Hydrogen peroxide and lower aqueous ozone concentrations were less effective. Aqueous and gaseous ozone were dose- and strain-dependently effective against the biofilm microorganisms. Total elimination was achieved by high-concentrated ozone gas (setting 2) and by NaOCl after 1 min or a lower gas concentrated aqueous ozone (20 μ g mL⁻¹) and CHX almost completely eliminated the biofilm cells, whilst H₂O₂ was less effective.

Conclusion High-concentrated gaseous and aqueous ozone was dose-, strain- and time-dependently effective against the tested microorganisms in suspension and the biofilm test model.

Keywords: antimicrobials, biofilm, endodontics, microbiology, ozone, root canal.

Received 18 April 2007; accepted 1 July 2008

Introduction

Correspondence: Dr Karin Christine Huth, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Periodontology & Pedodontics, Dental School, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Goethestr. 70, 80336 Munich, Germany (Tel.: +49 89 5160 9411; fax: +49 89 5160 9302;

e-mail: khuth@dent.med.uni-muenchen.de).

The successful treatment of an infected root canal, especially those with persistent apical periodontitis remains a clinical challenge (Nair 2006). The main aim of endodontic treatment is to eradicate or substantially reduce the microbial load in the root canal system, which is conventionally achieved by chemomechanical instrumentation followed by canal filling to prevent recolonization (Nair 2006). Endodontic irrigants must have effective antimicrobial activity but also exhibit relatively no cytotoxicity toward periapical and oral mucosal tissue. An anti-inflammatory action especially in cases of persistent apical periodontitis might also be advantageous.

Enterococcus faecalis and Candida albicans have been reported to be of particular interest in cases of persistent periodontitis (Molander *et al.* 1998, Sundqvist *et al.* 1998, Siqueira & Rôças 2004, Fouad *et al.* 2005). Anaerobic bacteria, such as *Peptostreptococcus micros* or Gram-negative bacteria including *P. species* have also been associated with persistent infections (Siqueira 2002). These microorganisms grow in highly resistant biofilms (Pinheiro *et al.* 2003), but also as planctonic cells suspended in the fluid phase of the root canal or as remnants after mechanical canal preparation (Distel *et al.* 2002, Nair 2006).

Sodium hypochlorite (up to 5.25%) is the most commonly used root canal irrigant and has been used alternately with H₂O₂ (3%) (Takeda et al. 1999). Chlorhexidine digluconate (2%) has also been recommended for root canal irrigation in combination with mechanical debridement (Siqueira et al. 1998, Gomes et al. 2001, Basrani & Lemonie 2005). However, the success rate for conventional treatment of persistent and refractory apical periodontitis is only in the order of between 50% and 70% (Weiger et al. 2001) and consequently NaOCl up to 3% has been reported to have limited efficacy against high-pathogenic endodontic microorganisms and CHX 2% has demonstrated inconsistent results (Siqueira et al. 1998, Gomes et al. 2001). In addition, side effects such as haemorrhage, oedema and skin ulceration have been reported when high concentrations of NaOCl and H2O2 come into contact with oral tissues (Pashley et al. 1985, Önçağ et al. 2003, Gernhardt et al. 2004). A significant degree of cytotoxicity towards oral cells has been found in vitro as well (Hyslop et al. 1988, Nagayoshi et al. 2004, Huth et al. 2006). Chlorhexidine (2%) may cause mucosal desquamation, impaired wound healing and tooth staining (Bassetti & Kallenberger 1980, Cline & Layman 1992) and a high cytotoxic potential has been demonstrated on epithelial cells (Huth et al. 2006). Therefore, an alternative endodontic antiseptic with high antimicrobial potential and fewer side effects would be valuable.

Ozone is currently being discussed as a possible alternative antiseptic agent in dentistry because of its

reported high antimicrobial power without the development of drug resistance (Restaino et al. 1995, Paraskeva & Graham 2002). Ozone gas in a concentration of $\sim 4 \text{ g m}^{-3}$ (HealOzone; KaVo, Biberach, Germany) is already being used clinically for endodontic treatment. However, results of studies into its efficacy against endodontic pathogens has been inconsistent, and there is little information regarding the most appropriate application time and concentration to use (Nagavoshi et al. 2004, Arita et al. 2005, Bezrukova et al. 2005, Hems et al. 2005). Regarding the demand on relative non-toxicity toward periapical and oral mucosal tissue for the endodontic irrigants (Nair 2006), the ozone gas concentration currently used in endodontics (4 g m^{-3}) has been shown to be slightly less cytotoxic than NaOCl (2.5%) and aqueous ozone (up to 20 μ g mL⁻¹) showed essentially no toxicity to oral cells in vitro (Filippi 2001, Ebensberger et al. 2002, Nagayoshi et al. 2004, Huth et al. 2006). The aim of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial efficacy of gaseous and aqueous ozone against specific endodontic pathogens in suspension and in biofilms grown in human root canals.

Materials and methods

Microorganisms

Freeze-dried microorganisms: *E. faecalis* (ATCC 14506; LGC Promochem, Wesel, Germany), *C. albicans* (ATCC MYA-273), *P. micros* (ATCC 33270) and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 15442) were suspended in brain heart infusion medium (BHI) and recultivated on Schaedler agar plates (vitamin K_1 and 5% sheep blood; BD Diagnostic Systems, Heidelberg, Germany).

Test agents

Dose–response experiments were performed for gaseous and aqueous ozone covering a concentration range as wide as possible to evaluate if there was a concentration that could possibly compete with the established endodontic irrigants in antimicrobial effectiveness. Basically following a \log_2 scale, the concentration ranges were limited because of the experimental setting and equipment. Ozone gas (Ozonosan photonic, Dr Hänsler, Iffezheim, Germany) in concentrations between 1 g m⁻³ (the minimum concentration to measure by the available ozone gas measuring device) and 53 g m⁻³ (the highest achievable concentration because of the experimental set-up and the limitation of the ozone generator) was applied to the test microorganisms in a self-constructed glass chamber with simultaneous concentration measurement (GM-6000-NZL; Anseros, Tübingen, Germany). The analytical method of the concentration measuring device is based on UV light absorption at a wavelength of 253.7 nm where gaseous ozone has its maximum absorbance (Bocci 2002). For aqueous ozone, bidistilled water was treated with ozone gas (75 μ g mL⁻¹, 15 min) using the ozone generator, which resulted in a final photometrically confirmed (Palintest 1000 Ozone Meter, Palintest Ltd, Gateshead, UK) ozone concentration in water of 20 μ g mL⁻¹ (saturation point), which was diluted to $1.25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$. The ozone concentration measurement in water involves the oxidation of a colourless indicator (diethyl-p-phenylene diamine) to a pink compound by ozone in comparison with a reference sample without ozone (manufacturer's information, operating wavelength of the photometer is 505 nm). Ozone was compared with freshly prepared solutions of NaOCl (5.25%, 2.25%), CHX (2%), H₂O₂ (3%) and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) as a control. As ozone is an endothermic, highly instable oxygen compound (Sehested et al. 1991, Hoigne 1998, Stübinger et al. 2006), both the gas and the ozonated bi-distilled water were freshly prepared before each experiment. During production and processing of the ozone experiments only ozone-resistant materials were used (e.g. ozone demand-free glass, ozone-resistant piping material).

Testing ozone against microorganisms in suspension

Microorganisms were grown overnight (37 °C, 10 mL of BHI), centrifuged, resuspended in PBS to a turbidity of McFarland 1 $[3 \times 10^8 \text{ colony forming units}]$ (CFU) mL^{-1}] and diluted 1 : 3. Ten microlitres were suspended in 1 mL of agent for 1 min followed by immediate, appropriate dilution with PBS as evaluated by preceding experiments. Thereof, 10 µL were plated out on agar plates and incubated aerobically (48 h. 37 °C). For the obligate anaerobic P. micros, all experimental steps were completed in an anaerobic work bench (Bactron, Sheldon Manufacturing Inc., Cornelius, OR, USA; 85% N₂, 5% H₂, 10% CO₂; 37 °C). Again, 10 µL of an equal dilution of the specific microorganism suspension were plated out on agar plates and exposed to ozone gas whilst the control plates were exposed to ambient air (1 min). After incubation of the agar plates (48 h, 37 °C), the number of CFU mL⁻¹ was determined.

Testing ozone against microorganisms in biofilms grown in human root canals

Crowns of freshly extracted single rooted permanent teeth (root length 18–19 mm), were removed at the level of the cemento-enamel junction. The use of the teeth for these experiments had been agreed upon by informed consent of the patients. The root canals were instrumented to the size ISO 40 (K-files; Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), the apical regions to size 30 (ProFile[®].04; Dentsply Maillefer) with intermittent canal irrigation following each file size (3 mL of NaOCl 5.25%) (Takeda *et al.* 1999, Zehnder *et al.* 2003). Finally, the canals were irrigated with EDTA 10% (5 min, 10–30 mL) followed by normal saline (Zehnder *et al.* 2003), dried with paper points and the roots sterilized (121 °C, 2 bars, 5 min).

The biofilm growth assembly (Fig. 1) contained a programmable peristaltic pump (IPC-8; Ismatec, Wertheim-Mondfeld, Germany), freshly prepared autoclaved artificial complete saliva (Pratten et al. 1998), 10% aqueous sucrose solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), flexible silicone tubes (diameter 1 or 2.06 mm; Hartlmaier, Munich, Germany), several flasks and the prepared dental roots. The ingredients for the saliva were from Oxoid (Wesel, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich and BD Diagnostic Systems. All the equipment was sterilized before use. Overnight cultures of E. faecalis, C. albicans or P. aeruginosa were used. The latter species substituted the anaerobic P. micros which could not be evaluated since the growth assembly was too large to be incorporated into the anaerobic work bench. The experiments with P. aeruginosa were confined to the biofilm trials because of the greater relevance than the suspension experiments already undertaken for the other three strains.

The artificial saliva was constantly pumped through a flexible tube into a 50-mL reservoir, supplemented with the sucrose solution three times a day (30 min, 3×33 mL) (Wilson *et al.* 1998). For the first week, an overnight culture (37 °C in 10 mL of BHI) of the respective strain was added daily to the saliva. The nutrient broth from the reservoir was pumped (720 mL day⁻¹) (Wilson *et al.* 1998) through the canals of four parallel-mounted dental roots each hanging in a flask, the coronal canal orifice connected to the flexible tube by a 10-µL micropipette tip (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). To avoid a contamination of the root surface, the used saliva, which dropped from the roots' apical region to the bottom of the flask, was constantly pumped off into a waste flask



Figure 1 Growth assembly for the mono-species biofilms in root canals. Mono-species biofilms of endodontic pathogens were grown for 3 weeks in prepared dental root canals of extracted single-rooted permanent teeth. For this purpose, a peristaltic pump carried pre-warmed artificial saliva supplemented with sucrose and microorganism broth from a reservoir through the roots to a waste flask at a rate of 720 mL day⁻¹ under aerobic conditions at 37 °C. The right part of the drawing is a magnified view of an exemplary root hanging in a flask.

via a wider flexible tube (diameter 2.06 mm). After 3 weeks, the roots were removed and cut into 5-mm-thick horizontal slices, and the apical root portions were disposed of.

For each test condition, one slice was carefully transferred to a flask and 1 mL of the test agent added (four independent trials). For the ozone gas exposure, two experimental settings were used: setting 1, the slices were laid flat on glass beads into the gas box, that the gas streamed over the canal space (resembling canal areas that are difficult to reach); setting 2, the slices were positioned upright so as to allow the gas to stream through the root canal (resembling canal parts that are easy to reach). After 1 min, the agent was removed or the slice was removed from the gas box, 1 mL of PBS was immediately added and the slice vortexed for 1 min (Wilson et al. 1998). Restrained reactions beyond the 1 min contact time could have occurred as no chemicals were used to stop the action. Rather, the vast majority of the test agents were removed immediately after 1 min and PBS added for appropriate dilution. Thereafter, 100 µL were plated out on agar plates and incubated (48 h, 37 °C), and the CFU per plate were counted. Additionally within setting 2, ozone gas (4 g m⁻³) was applied for longer time intervals, i.e. 2.5, 5 and 10 min. The counted number of CFU were calculated as a percentage of the respective control (mean ± SD; n = 3-4).

For each of the independent trials, one slice was checked for the presence of a biofilm inside the root canal and for possible microbial contamination of the outer root surface by scanning electron microscopy (JSM-35 CF; Jeol, Eching, Germany and SmartSEM; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Statistical methods

As a result of the large number of test agents, the experiments were conducted in several stages each with its own control. To compare the antimicrobial

6

activity of the agents, the counted CFU were calculated in percentage of the respective controls (mean \pm SD; n = 3-4). For all experiments, the absolute numbers of CFU, the percentage values and the means with standard deviation of the independent trials are given in the accompanying Supporting Information. Data were analysed by one-way ANOVA with Tamhane *post hoc* tests to compare independent samples (two-tailed tests, α -level 0.05) (spss software 12; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Effect of ozone on microorganisms in suspension

Firstly, the effect of aqueous and gaseous ozone on the specific endodontic pathogens in planctonic culture was evaluated (see Supporting Information, Tables A-C). Aqueous ozone completely eliminated E. faecalis and C. albicans when used in concentrations down to $5 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$, whereas lower concentrations (2.5 and $1.25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) reduced substantially but did not eliminate them totally (Fig. 2a,b). In the case of *P. micros*, aqueous ozone down to 2.5 μ g mL⁻¹ led to complete eradication whilst $1.25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$ was less effective (Fig. 2c). In comparison, NaOCl and CHX led to a total elimination of the tested microorganisms, whereas H₂O₂ reduced but did not eliminate them. Ozone gas in concentrations down to the tested minimum of 1 g m^{-3} for 1 min almost completely eliminated the tested strains with a mean reduction of more than 99% (Fig. 2a-c, Supporting Information, Tables A-C). Statistically, no differences in effectiveness of the different agents were seen for *E. faecalis* (ANOVA, P > 0.05). Regarding C. albicans, H₂O₂ and low concentrations of ozonated water (2.5 and 1.25 μ g mL⁻¹) were significantly less effective than all other agents (P < 0.05). Against P. micros, low dose ozonated water $(1.25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1})$ was less effective than the other antiseptics (P < 0.05).

Establishment of the anatomical biofilm model

The experimental set-up (Fig. 1) allowed the growth of mono-species biofilms of *E. faecalis, C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* over 3 weeks in an anatomically correct form inside the canal of tooth roots. The roots were sectioned into horizontal slices before exposure to the gas/agents. The formation of biofilms was checked for the different species by SEM of one slice for each independent trial as well as the outer root surfaces, which showed no

rations down to dose-dependent effectiveness of ozone gas against the $l^{(1)}_{1}$

different species (Fig. 3a–c). *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* was almost eliminated by the highest gas concentration achievable within the experimental setting (53 g m⁻³) (Fig. 3a,b) and *P. aeruginosa* by the highest and the second highest concentration (Fig. 3c). Statistically, no significant differences in effectiveness could be found between the antiseptics for *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* (ANOVA, P > 0.05). Against *P. aeruginosa*, ozone gas 4 g m⁻³ was significantly less effective than NaOCl, CHX and ozonated water down to 10 µg mL⁻¹ and ozonated water 10 µg mL⁻¹ less effective than CHX 2% (ANOVA, P < 0.05). This was mainly because of a very small standard deviation in comparison with lower gas and ozone water concentrations, which showed no significant differences.

Exposure of the biofilm to ozone gas in a different setting and with longer contact times

In the following, the experimental conditions were changed by positioning the slices with *E. faecalis* biofilms upright with their cut surfaces in front of the inlet of the gas box as to allow the gas to stream through the root canal (setting 2) rather than over the canal space as in the setting before. Two concentrations were selected, i.e. one high gas concentration (32 gm^{-3}) as well as a lower concentration, which is currently used in dentistry (4 gm⁻³; HealOzone). Comparing the outcome of the two settings, the high gas concentration led to complete eradication of viable cells after 1 min in the new setting whilst in the old

bacterial contamination or biofilm formation (pictures not shown). *P. aeruginosa* was substituted for the

anaerobic *P. micros* because the growth assembly was

The antimicrobial action of ozone against E. faecalis,

C. albicans and P. aeruginosa mono-species biofilms was

tested (see Supporting Information, Tables D–F). Application of aqueous ozone for 1 min was dose-dependently effective against the microorganisms, its highest

concentration of 20 μ g mL⁻¹ revealing mean CFU reductions of over 96%, similar to CHX 2% (Fig. 3a–

c, Supporting Information, Tables D-F). Sodium

hypochlorite (5.25%) completely eliminated the micro-

organisms, whilst H₂O₂ was less effective. In this series

of experiments, ozone gas was applied to the root slices

laying flat in the gas box (setting 1), which revealed a

Effect of ozone on microorganisms in biofilms

too large for the anaerobic chamber.



Figure 2 Antimicrobial efficacy of ozone against endodontic pathogens in suspension. The suspended microorganisms were exposed to aqueous or gaseous ozone in different concentrations or established endodontic irrigants (NaOCl, CHX and H_2O_2) for 1 min. The numbers of CFU after contact with PBS for 1 min were defined as 100% control (dotted line). The remaining CFU after agent/gas exposure were counted and calculated as a percentage of the control (n = 3-4, mean \pm SD) (see Supporting Information, Tables 1–3). (a) shows the antimicrobial activity against *E. faecalis.* (b) shows the antimicrobial activity against *C. albicans.* (c) Shows the antimicrobial activity against *P. micros.*

setting only a reduction was observed (Fig. 4a). Ozone gas in the lower concentration (1 min) reduced the cell count more than before, but not to zero. Therefore, as a last step, the effect of longer exposure times (2.5, 5 and 10 min) of this concentration was tested on the bacterial biofilms. Contact times of 2.5 min and more with 4 g m⁻³ ozone gas led to complete elimination of the microorganisms (Fig. 4b), but without being sig-

nificantly different to the cell count after 1 min (P > 0.05) (see Supporting Information, Table G).

Discussion

In this study, gaseous ozone in concentrations down to 1 g m^{-3} substantially and aqueous ozone down to $5 \mu \text{g mL}^{-1}$ completely eliminated the tested planctonic



Figure 3 Antimicrobial efficacy of ozone against endodontic pathogens associated in mono-species biofilms in a root canal model. Mono-species biofilms were grown inside of dental root canals of extracted single-rooted teeth for 3 weeks. In the following, the roots were cut into horizontal slices that were exposed to aqueous or gaseous ozone in different concentrations or established endodontic irrigants (NaOCl, CHX, H_2O_2) or PBS as a control for 1 min. After removal and suspension of the biofilms, the remaining number of CFU were counted and calculated in % of the CFU counts after contact with the PBS control (100%, dotted line) (n = 4, mean \pm SD) (see Supporting Information, Tables 4–6). (a) Antimicrobial efficacy against *E. faecalis* biofilm. (b) Antimicrobial efficacy against *C. albicans* biofilm. (c) Antimicrobial efficacy against *P. aeruginosa* biofilm.

pathogens. Gaseous and aqueous ozone were dose- and strain-dependently effective against the microorganisms in biofilms. Total elimination of the microorganisms in terms of the methods used here could be achieved by ozone gas at 32 gm^{-3} for 1 min or a lower concentration (4 g m⁻³) for longer contact times (≥ 2.5 min) in case of *E. faecalis* (setting 2). Aqueous ozone in the highest concentration (20 µg mL⁻¹, 1 min) nearly eliminated *E. faecalis*, *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

The root canal model used in these experiments allowed for the growth of biofilms inside the canal. To



Figure 4 Antimicrobial efficacy of ozone gas applied in two experimental settings to *E. faecalis* biofilms and the effect of prolonged exposure times. The biofilms were grown as described in Fig. 3. In setting 1, the horizontal root slices were laid flat on glass beads in the gas box (see experiments in Fig. 3). In setting 2, the horizontal root slices were positioned upright with their cut surfaces in front of the gas inlet as to allow the gas streaming through the canals. (a) The antimicrobial effect of gaseous ozone in concentrations of 32 g m⁻³ and 4 g m⁻³ for 1 min on the *E. faecalis* biofilms according to setting 1 (grey bars) and 2 (black bars) is shown in comparison. PBS served as control. The remaining CFU were counted and calculated in % of the PBS control which was defined as 100% (dotted line) (n = 3, mean \pm SD). (b) The antimicrobial effect of ozone gas (4 g m⁻³) according to setting 2 for 1 min and prolonged contact times (2.5 min, 5 min and 10 min) is depicted (see Supporting Information, Table 7).

determine the efficacy of ozone as alternative antiseptic, it was compared with traditional endodontic irrigants (NaOCl, CHX and H_2O_2) by adding the agents for 1 min. The dose–response experiments for ozone and additionally the time-course experiments for the ozone gas concentration currently used in dentistry (4 g m⁻³, HealOzone) were aimed at finding a dose-time-concentration that could completely eliminate the microorganisms in the test model as a basis for clinical study designs in the future. As a source of impreciseness in the present study, no chemicals were used to arrest the action of the agents. Therefore, the contact times, e.g. for CHX, which is known for its substantivity (Khademi *et al.* 2006), might be prolonged similar as in the clinical situation.

Earlier studies reported in part contradictory results regarding the efficacy of ozone against endodontic pathogens: one group tested ozonated water $(4 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}, 10 \ min)$ against *E. faecalis* incubated on dentine blocks for 6 days (Nagayoshi et al. 2004). A significant reduction was found but complete elimination was not observed as was the case with NaOCl 2.5%, which is consistent with the present results. Additionally, the trials reported here revealed that the highest concentration of ozonated water (20 μ g mL⁻¹) led to a near eradication of the microorganisms in the 3-week-old biofilm and a complete elimination by gaseous ozone at a concentration of 32 g m^{-3} for 1 min or a lower concentration (4 g m^{-3}) for contact times of at least 2.5 min (setting 2). Further, the biofilm experiments revealed a near eradication of E. faecalis by CHX 2% whereas H₂O₂ was less efficient throughout. Another study found no significant reduction of E. faecalis biofilms (grown on membranes for 48 h) using ozonated water, but did so against planctonic bacteria (Hems et al. 2005). A reason for these differing results compared with the study mentioned above (Nagayoshi *et al.* 2004) and the present experiments revealing a CFU reduction when exposed to high concentrated ozonated water might be that a rather low ozone concentration was used in the other study (Hems *et al.* 2005). That is, ozone gas was bubbled through the water containing the biofilm for only 4 min. The maximum concentration of ozonated water (20 µg mL⁻¹) was achieved in the present study only after 15 min of ozonation (data not shown). Another recent study grew *E. faecalis* biofilms over 60 days in root canals and applied ozonated water, ozone gas, NaOCI 2.5% or CHX 2% for 20 min (Estrela *et al.* 2007). Contrasting to the present results, none of the irrigants were found to have an antimicrobial effect.

The effect of ozone against C. albicans has been reported primarily for denture cleaning (Murakami et al. 1996, Oizumi et al. 1998). More recently, C. albicans incubated on resin plates for 120 min was almost eliminated by use of ozonated water (2 and $4 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$, 1 min) with or without ultrasonication (Arita et al. 2005). As 120 min represents a short time interval for biofilm formation, that study might be better compared with the present suspension experiments, in which a mean reduction of about 86% of C. albicans by 2.5 μ g mL⁻¹ ozonated water and a total elimination by 5 $\mu g \; m L^{-1}$ ozonated water and a reduction of over 99% by ozone gas down to 1 g m⁻³ was achieved. In the present biofilm experiments, C. albicans was found to be completely eliminated only by NaOCl (5.25%) and to over 96% by 53 g m⁻³ gaseous ozone (setting 1), 20 μ g mL⁻¹ ozonated water and CHX 2%.

The effect of ozone against the anaerobe *P. micros* has not been evaluated before. Ozone gas in the tested minimum concentration (1 g m⁻³, setting 1) and aqueous ozone ($\geq 2.5 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) completely eliminated the suspended microorganisms. Biofilm experiments were not performed with *P. micros* as the growth assembly could not be maintained in anaerobic conditions.

The use of ozone as a disinfectant against *P. aeruginosa* in dental unit water lines has been reported, but there is no information about the required time and concentration for total elimination (Filippi 1995, Al Shorman *et al.* 2003). In present biofilm experiments, total eradication was achieved by ozone gas concentrations of 32 g m⁻³ (setting 1) and NaOCl (2.25%, 1 min). High-concentrated aqueous ozone (20 µg mL⁻¹, 1 min) and CHX 2% almost eliminated the viable microorganisms.

Conclusions

High-concentrated gaseous and aqueous ozone was dose-, strain- and time-dependently effective against the tested microorganisms in suspension and the biofilm test model. However, NaOCl was the only method that completely eliminated all types of microorganisms.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge E. Thielke and C. Köhler for technical project support. The study was financed by the Medical Faculty, University of Munich (FöFoLe Reg. Nr. 401), departmental funding and the KaVo Company.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1 Antimicrobial efficacy of ozone and established endodontic irrigants (1 min) against the tested microorganisms in suspension or associated in biofilms. The absolute number of remaining colony forming units (CFU abs) of 3 to 4 independent trials (n = 3-4) are given. The CFU are also given in % of the respective controls in parentheses and their means with the standard deviations of the independent trials (% control, mean \pm SD) which correspond to Fig. 2, 3 and 4. NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; CHX, chlorhexidine digluconate; H_2O_2 , hydrogen peroxide; O_3 , ozone. The antimicrobial effects against E. faecalis (A), C. albicans (B), and P. micros (C) in suspension are shown as well as against *E. faecalis* (D), C. albicans (E) and P. aeruginosa (F) associated in biofilms. Table G shows the antimicrobial efficacy of ozone gas in concentrations of 32 g/m³ and 4 g/m³ applied in two experimental settings to E. faecalis biofilms and the effect of prolonged exposure times in setting 2 (1 min, 2.5 min, 5 min, 10 min).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

References

Al Shorman H, Abu-Naba'a L, Coulter WA, Lynch E (2003) Primary colonization of DUWL by *P. aeruginosa* and its eradication by ozone (Abstract). *Journal of Dental Research* **82**, B 284.

- Arita M, Nagayoshi M, Fukuizumi T et al. (2005) Microbicidal efficacy of ozonated water against *Candida albicans* adhering to acrylic denture plates. Oral Microbiology and Immunology 20, 206–10.
- Basrani B, Lemonie C (2005) Chlorhexidine Gluconate. Australian Endodontic Journal **31**, 48–52.
- Bassetti C, Kallenberger A (1980) Influence of chlorhexidine rinsing on the healing of oral mucosa and osseous lesions. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 7, 443–56.
- Bezrukova IV, Petrukhina NB, Voinov PA (2005) Experience in medical ozone use for root canal treatment. *Stomatologiia* 84, 20–2.
- Bocci V (2002) *Oxygen-Ozone Therapy. A Critical Evaluation*, 1st edn. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Cline NV, Layman DL (1992) The effects of chlorhexidine on the attachment and growth of cultured human periodontal cells. *Journal of Periodontology* **63**, 598–602.
- Distel JW, Hatton JF, Gillespie MJ (2002) Biofilm formation in medicated root canals. *Journal of Endodontics* 28, 689–93.
- Ebensberger U, Pohl Y, Filippi A (2002) PCNA-expression of cementoblasts and fibroblasts on the root surface after extraoral rinsing for decontamination. *Dental Traumatology* 18, 262–6.
- Estrela C, Estrela CRA, Decurcio DA, Hollanda ACB, Silva JA (2007) Antimicrobial efficacy of ozonated water, gaseous ozone, sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine in infected human root canals. *International Endodontic Journal* 40, 85– 93.
- Filippi A (1995) Efficacy of disinfecting water in dental treatment units using ozone. *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift* **50**, 708–10 (in German).
- Filippi A (2001) The effects of ozonized water on epithelial wound healing (in German). *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift* **56**, 104–8.
- Fouad AF, Zerella J, Barry J, Spangberg LS (2005) Molecular detection of Enterococcus species in root canals of therapyresistant endodontic infections. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics 99, 112–8.
- Gernhardt CR, Eppendorf K, Kozlowski A, Brandt M (2004) Toxicity of concentrated sodium hypochlorite used as an endodontic irrigant. *International Endodontic Journal* **37**, 272–80.
- Gomes BPFA, Ferraz CCR, Vianna ME, Berber VB, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ (2001) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of several concentrations of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine gluconate in the elimination of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *International Endodontic Journal* **34**, 424–8.
- Hems RS, Gulabivala K, Ng Y-L, Ready D, Spratt DA (2005) An *in vitro* evaluation of the ability of ozone to kill a strain of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *International Endodontic Journal* **38**, 22– 9.
- Hoigne J (1998) Chemistry of aqueous ozone, and transformation of pollutants by ozonation and advanced oxidation processes. In: Hubrec J, ed. *The Handbook of Environmental*

Chemistry Quality and Treatment of Drinking Water. Berlin, Germany: Springer, pp. 83–141.

- Huth KC, Jakob FM, Saugel B *et al.* (2006) Effect of ozone on oral cells compared with established antimicrobials. *European Journal of Oral Sciences* **114**, 435–40.
- Hyslop PA, Hinshaw DB, Halsey WA *et al.* (1988) Mechanisms of oxidant-mediated cell injury. The glycolytic and mitochondrial pathways of ADP phosphorylation are major intracellular targets inactivated by hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **263**, 1665–75.
- Khademi AA, Mohammadi Z, Havaee A (2006) Evaluation of the antibacterial substantivity of several intra-canal agents. *Australian Endodontic Journal* **32**, 112–5.
- Molander A, Reit C, Dahlén G, Kvist T (1998) Microbiological status of root-filled teeth with apical periodontitis. *International Endodontic Journal* **31**, 1–7.
- Murakami H, Sakuma S, Nakamura K *et al.* (1996) Disinfection of removable dentures using ozone. *Dental Materials Journal* **15**, 220–5.
- Nagayoshi M, Kitamura C, Fukuizumi T, Nishihara T, Terashita M (2004) Antimicrobial effect of ozonated water on bacteria invading dentinal tubules. *Journal of Endodontics* 30, 778–81.
- Nair PN (2006) On the causes of persistent apical periodontitis: a review. *International Endodontic Journal* **39**, 249–81.
- Oizumi M, Suzuki T, Uchida M, Furuya J, Okamoto Y (1998) In vitro testing of a denture cleaning method using ozone. *Journal of Medical and Dental Sciences* **45**, 135–9.
- Önçağ Ö, Hoşgör M, Hilmioğlu S, Zekioğlu O, Eronat C, Burhanoğlu D (2003) Comparison of antimicrobial and toxic effects of various root canal irrigants. *International Endodontic Journal* **36**, 423–32.
- Paraskeva P, Graham NJD (2002) Ozonation of municipal wastewater effluents. Water Environment Research 74, 569– 81.
- Pashley EL, Birdsong NL, Bowman K, Pashley DH (1985) Cytotoxic effects of NaOCl on vital tissue. *Journal of Endodontics* 11, 525–8.
- Pinheiro ET, Gomes BPFA, Ferraz CCR, Sousa ELR, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ (2003) Microorganisms from root canals of root-filled teeth with periapical lesions. *International Endodontic Journal* **36**, 1–11.
- Pratten J, Wills K, Barnett P, Wilson M (1998) *In vitro* studies of the effect of antiseptic-containing mouthwashes on the formation and viability of *Streptococcus sanguis* biofilms. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **84**, 1149–55.
- Restaino L, Frampton EW, Hemphill JB, Palnikar P (1995) Efficacy of ozonated water against various food-related microorganisms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **61**, 3471–5.
- Sehested K, Corfitzen H, Holcman J, Fischer CH, Hart EJ (1991) The primary reaction in the decomposition of ozone in acidic aqueous solutions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 25, 1589–96.

- Siqueira JF Jr (2002) Endodontic infections: concepts, paradigms, and perspectives. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics 94, 281–93.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN (2004) Polymerase chain reactionbased analysis of microorganisms associated with failed endodontic treatment. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics 97, 85–94.
- Siqueira JF Jr, Batista MMD, Fraga RC, de Uzeda M (1998) Antimicrobial effects of endodontic irrigants on blackpigmented gram-negative anaerobes and facultative bacteria. *Journal of Endodontics* **24**, 414–6.
- Stübinger S, Sader R, Filippi A (2006) The use of ozone in dentistry and maxillofacial surgery: a review. *Quintessence International* **37**, 353–9.
- Sundqvist G, Figdor D, Persson S, Sjögren U (1998) Microbiologic analysis of teeth with failed endodontic treatment

and the outcome of conservative re-treatment. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics* **85**, 86–93.

- Takeda FH, Harashima Y, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K (1999) A comparative study of the removal of smear layer by three endodontic irrigants and two types of laser. *International Endodontic Journal* **32**, 32–9.
- Weiger R, Geurtsen W, Heidemann D *et al.* (2001) About the prognosis of root canal treatments. National Statement of the DGZMK (in German). *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift* 56, 206–7.
- Wilson M, Patel H, Noar JH (1998) Effect of chlorhexidine on multi-species biofilms. *Current Microbiology* 36, 13–8.
- Zehnder M, Lehnert B, Schönenberger K, Waltimo T (2003) Irrigating solutions and intracanal medicaments in endodontics (in German). Schweizerische Monatsschrift für Zahnmedizin 113, 756–63.

This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.