# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

- T Amou
- D Hinode
- M Yoshioka
- D Grenier

## Authors' affiliations:

T Amou, D Hinode, Department of Hygiene and Oral Health Science, Institute of Health Biosciences, The University of Tokushima Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan *M Yoshioka*, Department of Oral Health Science and Social Welfare, Institute of Health Biosciences, The University of Tokushima Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan

D Grenier, Groupe de Recherche en Écologie Buccale, Faculté de Médecine Dentaire, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

#### Correspondence to:

Dr D. Hinode Department of Hygiene and Oral Health Science Institute of Health Biosciences The University of Tokushima Graduate School Tokushima 770-8504 Japan Tel.: +81 88 633 7543 Fax: +81 88 633 7543 E-mail: hinode@tokushima-u.ac.jp

#### Dates:

Accepted 4 June 2013

#### To cite this article:

Int J Dent Hygiene **12**, 2014; 145–151. DOI: 10.1111/idh.12046 Amou T, Hinode D, Yoshioka M, Grenier D. Relationship between halitosis and periodontal disease – associated oral bacteria in tongue coatings.

© 2013 John Wiley & Sons A/S. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

# Relationship between halitosis and periodontal disease – associated oral bacteria in tongue coatings

Abstract: Aim: The objective of our study was to investigate the relationship between halitosis and oral bacteria in tongue coating (TC) and saliva samples from patients with halitosis, and to evaluate the effect of tongue cleaning on halitosis. Methods: Ninety-four participants complaining of oral malodour were included in the study. Organoleptic (OR) values, volatile sulphur compound (VSC) concentrations determined by gas chromatography and TC scores were used as clinical parameters of halitosis. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions were used to determine the numbers of periodontal disease-associated oral bacteria. Results: There was a significant correlation between TC scores and OR values, methylmercaptan (CH<sub>3</sub>SH) concentrations and VSC concentrations (Spearman's rank-correlation coefficient test, P < 0.01). There was also a positive correlation between the clinical parameters of halitosis and total bacterial numbers and Prevotella intermedia. Fusobacterium nucleatum and Campylobacter rectus concentrations in the TC samples. However, there was no similar correlation with respect to the saliva samples. The participants were sub-divided into two groups based on whether they had the habit of tongue cleaning or not. The participants with the habit of tongue cleaning had significantly lower OR scores, VSC concentrations and P. intermedia, F. nucleatum and C. rectus levels than the other participants (Mann-Whitney U-test, P < 0.05). Conclusion: These results suggested that periodontal disease-associated oral bacteria in TCs are closely related to halitosis and that tongue cleaning may be an effective method for improving halitosis.

**Key words:** halitosis; oral bacteria; periodontal disease; tongue cleaning; tongue coating

# Introduction

Halitosis can be a serious problem for individuals because it may interfere with their daily activities and interpersonal relations. It has been reported that volatile sulphur compounds (VSCs), volatile nitrogen compounds and short-chain fatty acids are mostly responsible for unpleasant or foul smelling mouth air (1, 2). The intensity of the unpleasant smell is correlated with the level of VSCs, which have an offensive putrid odour (3, 4). As such, high VSC concentrations in mouth air are indicative of a problem with halitosis. The main VSCs in mouth air are hydrogen sulphide [H<sub>2</sub>S], methyl mercaptan [CH<sub>3</sub>SH] and dimethyl sulphide [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S)], which are produced by various oral bacterial species that degrade and metabolize sulphur-containing amino acids such as cysteine and methionine (1).



Periodontal disease-associated bacteria are capable of producing large amounts of VSCs (2, 5).

The tongue dorsum is the largest surface in the mouth, and its papillary structure is highly colonized by bacteria (5–7). The tongue coating (TC) is a biofilm formed on the dorsum and consists of epithelial cell debris, blood cells and food debris as well as oral bacteria that metabolize these substrates. The TC is thus a rich source of VSCs because of the large bacterial population (5). It has also been reported that approximately 60% of VSCs originate from the tongue surface in patients with periodontitis (8). This finding suggests that assessing TC deposition may be a good indicator of oral malodour.

The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between bacterial numbers, periodontal conditions and VSC concentrations in mouth air from the participants. In addition, the effect of tongue cleaning on halitosis was evaluated.

# Study population and methods

## Study population and clinical parameters of periodontal conditions

The study population consisted of 94 patients  $(50.9 \pm 13.1 \text{ years}; 27 \text{ men and } 67 \text{ women})$  who complained of oral malodour and who were referred to the Clinic for Breath Odor at the Tokushima University Hospital, Japan. The tongue cleaning habits of each participant was investigated using an interview and a questionnaire. The participants recruited for the study were completely dentulous men and women 20 years of age or older. Current smokers and participants who had received antibiotic treatment within the previous 3 months or who showed evidence of a systemic disease that may have influenced the degree of oral malodour were excluded from the study. The examination of halitosis were performed against all participants; however, the periodontal examination were performed only on participants willing to receive some treatments at the University Hospital instead of private dental clinic. Forty participants were examined to measure full-mouth periodontal probing depths (PPD) and the prevalence of bleeding-on-probing (BOP) positive. Participants belonging to the periodontitis group were selected based on the following criteria: the presence of at least two sites with a PPD  $\geq$ 5 mm on separate teeth and BOP-positive deep pocket sites determined using the method of Hinode et al. (9). Participants excluded of these criteria were defined as control group in this study.

#### Assessment of oral malodour

VSC and OR measurements were taken at least one hour after oral activities such as eating, drinking and oral hygiene procedures. VSC concentrations in mouth air samples were measured using a GC-8APF gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a flame photometric detector and a  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -ODPN 25% Chromosorb W-HP60/80 column  $(3.1 \text{ m} \times 3.2 \text{ mm}; \text{Shimadzu})$ . The participants were asked to close their mouths for 60 s. Air samples (5 ml) collected from their mouths using a Hamilton Samplelock<sup>TM</sup> syringe (Hamilton Co., Reno, NV, USA) were injected on the column. The concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>3</sub>SH and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S were determined using standard gases prepared with a PD-1B Permeater (Gastec Co., Ayase, Japan). For the organoleptic (OR) assessments, mouth air from the participants breathing out gently was collected in plastic bags (GL Science Co., Tokyo, Japan). Examiners immediately assessed the odours. Standardization of OR of the sense of examiner were performed by the method of Murata et al. (10) using T&T Olfactometer<sup>™</sup> (Daiichi Yakuhin Sangyo Co., Tokyo, Japan). OR scores were estimated based on the following 0-5 scale: 0, no odour; 1, barely noticeable; 2, slight but clearly noticeable: 3. moderate: 4. strong: and 5. extremely strong. OR values were assigned to the participants based on identical scores recorded by at least two examiners. The examiners of OR were five dentists; a further examiner was assigned when the value did not correlate between the two examiners.

#### Assessment of tongue coating

The accumulation of TC was assessed by a visual examination based on the following scoring criteria of Kojima (7): 0, not visible; 1, less than one-third of the tongue dorsum covered with a thin coating; 2, less than two-thirds covered with a thin coating or less than one-third with a thick coating; 3, more than two-thirds covered with a thin coating or less than twothirds with a thick coating; and 4, more than two-thirds covered with a thick coating. The scores were assigned by comparison with standard colour photographs of TC only by a dentist.

# Determination of bacterial concentrations in TC and saliva samples by real-time PCR

*Campylobacter rectus* ATCC 33238 (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA), *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277, *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726 and *P. intermedia* 163 were grown under the appropriate conditions as described previously (11, 12), and bacterial suspensions were prepared for real-time PCR analysis. The bacteria were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and were counted using a Petroff-Hausser chamber. DNA was extracted using InstaGene Matrix kits (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Tenfold serial dilutions ( $10^2-10^8$  cells per 200 µl) of bacterial standards were prepared, incubated at 56°C for 30 min, vortexed for 30 s, incubated at 100°C for 8 min and then stored at -80°C until used for the real-time PCR analysis.

TC samples were collected from the middle surface of the tongue dorsum using sterile 4-mm-wide plastic spatulas (Asone Co., Osaka, Japan) by swabbing five times from back to front (approx. 1-cm-long swabbing motions). The samples were

suspended in 1 ml of PBS. Unstimulated whole saliva (resting saliva) samples were collected in sterile plastic tubes prior to assessing PPD and BOP. The TC samples or saliva samples (200  $\mu$ l) were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the pellets were washed in PBS and were suspended in 200  $\mu$ l of InstaGene Matrix. The suspensions were incubated at 56°C for 30 min, vortexed for 30 s, incubated at 100°C for 8 min and then stored at -20°C until used for the real-time PCR analysis.

The real-time PCR analyses were performed using a MiniOpticon system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with SYBR Green I dye and species-specific primers designed based on bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences registered in GenBank (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/). The oral bacterial species-specific primers were generated using the method of Yokoy-ama *et al.* (12). The primer pairs were prepared by Hokkaido System Science Co. (Sapporo, Japan). The primers were verified for primer-dimer formation, melting temperature and the guanine-cytosine content. A standard curve prepared using the bacterial standards was used to determine the number of bacteria in the TC and saliva samples.

The PCR mixtures contained diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water, SsoFast<sup>™</sup> EvaGreen<sup>®</sup> Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories), forward and reverse primers and DNA from a bacterial standard, TC sample or saliva sample. The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step (3 min at 95°C) followed by denaturation (5 s at 95°C), annealing (10 s at 60°C) and extension (10 s at 60°C) steps. The number of cycles for P. gingivalis, F. nucleatum, P. intermedia and C. rectus were 45, 38, 33 and 38, respectively. Fluorescent products were analysed before and after each denaturation step. A melting curve spanning 60-95°C, with 0.2°C-intervals, was constructed. Melting peaks were used to confirm the specificity of the PCR. The results were analysed using CFX MANAGER software, version 2.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and the concentrations of each bacterial species in the TC and saliva samples were calculated from the number of copies of the target sequence designed from the regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequence (12).

#### Statistical analysis

The results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (SD). The analyses were performed using sPSS, version 17.0J (SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Spearman's correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the correlation of each clinical parameter with VSC concentrations, OR values and the number of bacteria. The Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to compare the results from separate TC groups. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

#### Ethics

The Ethics Committee of Tokushima University Hospital approved the study (protocol approval number 218). The methods and objectives of the study were explained to the participants, who provided written informed consent prior to their participation in the study.

## Results

There were significant positive correlations between the TC scores and the OR values and VSC concentrations (Table 1); between the TC scores and the numbers of total bacteria, *P. intermedia*, *F. nucleatum* and *C. rectus* in the TC samples; between the number of *P. gingivalis* and the OR values and CH<sub>3</sub>SH concentrations; between the numbers of total bacteria; *P. intermedia*, *F. nucleatum*, *C. rectus* and the OR values and VSC concentrations; and between the percentage of *P. intermedia* and OR values and VSC concentrations.

The correlation between the TC scores, the OR values and the VSC concentrations, and the number of oral bacteria using resting saliva from 63 participants ( $50.8 \pm 13.2$  years, 22 men and 41 women) were investigated. There was no positive correlation between the number or percentage of bacteria and the halitosis parameters (data not shown).

The participants were sub-divided into two groups based on whether they had the habit of tongue cleaning or not. There were significant differences between the two groups in terms of TC scores, OR values,  $H_2S$  and total VSC concentrations, total number of bacteria and numbers of *P. intermedia*, *F. nucleatum* and *C. rectus* (Table 2).

The clinical characteristics related to periodontitis of selected participants are presented in Table 3. On the one hand, there were no significant differences between the periodontitis and control groups with respect to age, number of teeth, TC scores, numbers of total bacteria and concentrations of individual bacterial species. On the other hand, there were significant differences between the two groups in terms of OR scores and CH<sub>3</sub>SH concentrations. While there were no significant correlations within the periodontitis group, significant correlations were observed between the VSCs values and bacterial numbers (except *P. gingivalis*) in the control group (Table 4).

## Discussion

Real-time PCR has been shown to be a more sensitive and faster method for detecting and quantifying individual microbial species than conventional cultural procedures (13, 14). The high sensitivity and specificity of quantitative PCR justify its use in epidemiological studies and, as an adjunct, in the clinical diagnosis and follow-up of periodontitis patients (14). It has previously been used to quantitatively measure VSC-producing oral bacteria in TC samples and periodonto-pathogenic bacteria in subgingival plaque samples (15). In the present study, we used this procedure to study the relationship between halitosis and periodontal disease–associated oral bacteria.

We did not find any significant differences between male and female participants in terms of oral malodour values, which is in agreement with the findings reported by Miyazaki

|                       |          |          |          |              |                    |              | Total    |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|                       | Age      | TC score | OR       | $H_2S$       | CH <sub>3</sub> SH | VSC          | eria     | P. g.    | P. i.    | F. n.    | C. r.    | % P. g. | % P. i.  | % F. n.  | % C. r. |
| Age                   | -        |          |          |              |                    |              |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| TC score              | 0.027    |          |          |              |                    |              |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| OR                    | 0.203    | 0.33**   |          |              |                    |              |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| H <sub>2</sub> S      | 0.078    | 0.199    | 0.651*** | <del>.</del> |                    |              |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| CH <sub>3</sub> SH    | 0.143    | 0.392*** | 0.714*** | 0.737***     | -                  |              |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| VSC                   | 0.072    | 0.274**  | 0.704*** | 0.911***     | 0.873***           | <del>.</del> |          |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Total bacteria        | 0.255*   | 0.383*** | 0.324**  | 0.278**      | 0.355***           | 0.354***     | -        |          |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| P. gingivalis (P. g.) | 0.472*** | 0.057    | 0.253*   | 0.069        | 0.231*             | 0.173        | 0.388*** | <b>.</b> |          |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| P. intermedia (P. i.) | 0.266**  | 0.403*** | 0.403*** | 0.347**      | 0.436***           | 0.423***     | 0.931*** | 0.432*** | -        |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| F. nucleatum (F. n.)  | 0.169    | 0.251*   | 0.314**  | 0.328**      | 0.325**            |              | 0.847*** | 0.330**  | 0.726*** | -        |          |         |          |          |         |
| C. rectus (C. r.)     | 0.173    | 0.337**  |          | 0.253*       | 0.313**            | 0.321**      | 0.936*** | 0.369*** | 0.893*** | 0.821*** | -        |         |          |          |         |
| % P. g.               | 0.433*** | -0.036   | 0.186    |              |                    |              |          | 0.935*** | 0.189    |          | 0.126    | -       |          |          |         |
| % P. i.               | 0.092    | 0.314**  | 0.375*** |              |                    | 0.364***     | 0.390*** | 0.290**  | 0.682*** | 0.154    | 0.416*** | 0.164   | -        |          |         |
| % F. n.               | -0.097   | -0.063   | 0.039    |              |                    | 0.187        |          | 0.020    | 0.017    | 0.605*** | 0.228*   | -0.013  | -0.284** | -        |         |
| % C. r.               | -0.082   | 0.061    | -0.085   | 0.038        | 0.021              | 0.050        | 0.295**  | 0.098    | 0.294**  | 0.353*** | 0.579*** | -0.002  | 0.174    | 0.387*** | -       |

*et al.* (16). TC scores, number of oral bacteria and assessment values related to oral malodour were significantly higher in participants with genuine halitosis than in participants with pseudo-halitosis, suggesting that oral malodour is related to TC deposition and oral bacteria.

Several studies have shown that TC is related to VSC production (9, 16-18). Miyazaki et al. (16) reported high correlation coefficients (≥0.44 in all ages). Our study confirmed this relationship; however, the value of correlation coefficient of TC (0.274) and the others in Table 1 overall were relatively low (less than 4.0), which means weak relationship. Tanaka et al. (19) used real-time PCR to show that five periodontal pathogens (P. gingivalis, Tannerella forsythia, P. intermedia, Prevotella nigrescens and Treponema denticola) in TC samples are major contributors to VSC production. Washio et al. (20) reported that an increase in the number of H<sub>2</sub>S-producing bacteria such as Prevotella species as well as Veillonella and Actinomyces in TCs is responsible for oral malodour. This study also showed that there is a significant correlation between VSC concentrations and the percentage of P. intermedia, which produces H<sub>2</sub>S. This result suggested that specific periodontopathogenic bacteria (presence and proportion) in TCs are closely associated with VSC concentrations in mouth air. Regarding P. gingivalis, a significant correlation was only observed between the bacterial numbers and CH<sub>3</sub>SH concentrations, which is in agreement with a previous study (19).

It has been reported that *F. nucleatum*, while present in healthy sites, is found in much higher numbers in diseased periodontal sites and plays a role in biofilm formation through coaggregation with other bacteria (21). This Gramnegative bacterial species possesses the ability to produce both H<sub>2</sub>S and CH<sub>3</sub>SH (2). Significant correlations were observed between the number of *F. nucleatum* and TC scores and VSC concentrations, which is in agreement with a previous study (22). *C. rectus* is also known to produce H<sub>2</sub>S and CH<sub>3</sub>SH (2). We found significant positive correlations between the number of *C. rectus* and TC scores and VSC concentrations. This is the first report to associate *C. rectus* with halitosis.

A previous report suggested that *P. gingivalis* and *P. intermedia* in saliva contribute to oral malodour (23). However, we found no positive correlation between specific oral bacterial species in resting saliva and VSC concentrations. This may be due to the fact that we used real-time PCR, while the other study used a semi-quantitative PCR procedure.

There were significant positive correlations between VSC concentrations, bacterial numbers and TC scores in participants not affected by periodontitis, whereas no such correlations were observed among the periodontitis patients. There was no difference in TC deposition between two groups, while the  $CH_3SH$  concentrations in the periodontitis group were higher than those in the non-periodontitis group. VSCs might thus not only be produced by bacteria in the TC but also by bacteria in other niches such as periodontal pockets. Patients with periodontal disease are at higher risk of developing

1

| Table 2. Comparison of parameter | s of halitosis and oral bacteria | between participants with | or without tongue cleaning habits |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                  |                                  |                           |                                   |

|                                      | Tongue cleaning habits                  |   |         |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------|
|                                      | Yes                                     | No                                      | P-value |
| Number of participants (male/female) | 46 (12/34)                              | 48 (15/33)                              |         |
| Age (years)                          | 49.1 ± 13.0                             | 52.7 ± 13.0                             | 0.213   |
| OR                                   | $1.8 \pm 1.0$                           | $2.3 \pm 1.1$                           | *       |
| Gas chromatograph (ppb)              |   |   |         |
| H <sub>2</sub> S                     | 29.7 ± 72.0                             | 101.1 ± 265.7                           | *       |
| CH₃SH                                | 8.6 ± 12.5                              | $77.6 \pm 263.5$                        | 0.083   |
| Total VSC                            | 39.5 ± 81.1                             | 181.1 ± 447.0                           | *       |
| TC score                             | $1.9 \pm 0.9$                           | 2.6 ± 1.0                               | **      |
| Oral bacteria (cells/ml)             |   |   |         |
| Total bacteria                       | $4.47 \times 10^7 \pm 6.42 \times 10^7$ | $9.49 \times 10^7 \pm 1.61 \times 10^8$ | *       |
| P. gingivalis                        | $1.14 \times 10^4 \pm 3.88 \times 10^4$ | $2.27 \times 10^4 \pm 5.37 \times 10^4$ | 0.131   |
| P. intermedia                        | $9.42 \times 10^5 \pm 2.00 \times 10^6$ | $3.35 \times 10^6 \pm 9.52 \times 10^6$ | **      |
| F. nucleatum                         | $1.99 \times 10^5 \pm 3.35 \times 10^5$ | $6.44 \times 10^5 \pm 1.72 \times 10^6$ | *       |
| C. rectus                            | $8.11 \times 10^4 \pm 2.41 \times 10^5$ | $1.41 \times 10^5 \pm 3.18 \times 10^5$ | *       |

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, by Mann–Whitney U-test.

Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD.

OR, organoleptic measurement; TC, tongue coating.

| Table 3. Characteristics of periodontitis group and control group in regard to clinical parameters, VSC levels and oral bacter | Table 3. Characteristics | of periodontitis group and control | group in regard to clinical parameters | , VSC levels and oral bacteria |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|

|                                      | Periodontitis group                     | Control group                           | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| Number of participants (male/female) | 13 (5/8)                                | 27 (6/21)                               |         |
| Age (years)                          | 55.1 ± 10.8                             | 53.9 ± 11.2                             | 0.795   |
| Number of present teeth              | $26.0 \pm 4.5$                          | $25.0 \pm 4.5$                          | 0.521   |
| Number of teeth with PPD ≥5mm        | $6.6\pm 6.3$                            | $0.2 \pm 0.4$                           | ***     |
| Percent teeth with BOP               | $65.2 \pm 29.8$                         | 36.0 ± 22.9                             | **      |
| OR                                   | $2.8 \pm 1.0$                           | $2.0 \pm 0.9$                           | *       |
| Gas chromatograph (ppb)              |   |   |         |
| H <sub>2</sub> S                     | $83.5 \pm 98.6$                         | 64.6 ± 103.7                            | 0.274   |
| CH <sub>3</sub> SH                   | $145.4 \pm 428.8$                       | 15.9 ± 36.4                             | **      |
| Total VSC                            | 235.2 ± 436.8                           | 81.4 ± 123.3                            | 0.057   |
| TC score                             | $2.4 \pm 1.1$                           | $2.4 \pm 1.0$                           | 0.869   |
| Oral bacteria (cells/ml)             |   |   |         |
| Total bacteria                       | $1.27 \times 10^8 \pm 2.39 \times 10^8$ | $4.59 \times 10^7 \pm 5.22 \times 10^7$ | 0.170   |
| P. gingivalis                        | $6.45 \times 10^4 \pm 1.03 \times 10^5$ | $7.85 \times 10^3 \pm 1.71 \times 10^4$ | 0.131   |
| P. intermedia                        | $6.30 \times 10^6 \pm 1.69 \times 10^7$ | $1.21 \times 10^6 \pm 2.27 \times 10^6$ | 0.220   |
| F. nucleatum                         | $3.74 \times 10^5 \pm 4.97 \times 10^5$ | $3.65 \times 10^5 \pm 7.08 \times 10^5$ | 0.122   |
| C. rectus                            | $1.13 \times 10^5 \pm 1.57 \times 10^5$ | $1.15 \times 10^5 \pm 3.08 \times 10^5$ | 0.116   |

\**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, by Mann–Whitney *U*-test.

Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD.

BOP, bleeding on probing; PPD, periodontal probing depth; OR, organoleptic measurement; TC, tongue coating.

halitosis than healthy individuals (17). Their malodour is primarily associated with gingival inflammation and the TC (24). Yaegaki *et al.* (25) reported that the CH<sub>3</sub>SH/H<sub>2</sub>S ratio is significantly higher in patients with periodontal disease. The same trend was observed in the present study, where the CH<sub>3</sub>SH/ H<sub>2</sub>S ratios in participants with periodontal disease and without periodontal disease were 1.74 and 0.25, respectively. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between CH<sub>3</sub>SH concentrations and the number of teeth with PPD  $\geq$ 5 mm (r = 0.435, P < 0.01, data not shown). In Table 4, no relationship was observed between TC score and VSC parameters in periodontal group. These results suggested that VSC production in participants with periodontal disease mainly originates from periodontal pockets. On the other hand, VSC production in participants without periodontal disease mainly originated from the TC.

Ohmori *et al.* (26) reported that breath odour and TC status improve after instructions on tongue brushing are provided by a dental hygienist or dentist at least once a day. They concluded that tongue cleaning is recommended for reducing breath odour. In the present study, the participants who had the habit of tongue cleaning had significantly lower TC scores and lower concentrations of CH<sub>3</sub>SH and total VSCs in their mouth air than those in the group who had no habit of tongue cleaning. Based on the results of a questionnaire completed by 107 participants in our clinic, the ratio of patients with no habit of daily tongue cleaning was 52.3%; furthermore, the ratio of no cognition of TC in the TC3 or TC4 group of

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between halitosis and bacteria from periodontitis group and control group

|                         | VSCs in mou      | th air   |           |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|
|                         | H <sub>2</sub> S | CH₃SH    | Total VSC |
| Periodontitis group     | ( <i>n</i> = 13) |          |           |
| TC score                | -0.295           | 0.301    | 0.100     |
| Total bacteria          | -0.355           | 0.088    | -0.011    |
| P. gingivalis           | -0.396           | 0.110    | 0.017     |
| P. intermedia           | -0.264           | 0.159    | 0.005     |
| F. nucleatum            | -0.124           | 0.363    | 0.313     |
| C. rectus               | -0.160           | 0.236    | 0.126     |
| Control group $(n = 2)$ | 27)              |          |           |
| TC score                | 0.452*           | 0.637*** | 0.488**   |
| Total bacteria          | 0.527**          | 0.505**  | 0.531**   |
| P. gingivalis           | 0.098            | 0.195    | 0.136     |
| P. intermedia           | 0.512**          | 0.535**  | 0.532**   |
| F. nucleatum            | 0.529**          | 0.408*   | 0.499**   |
| C. rectus               | 0.445*           | 0.394*   | 0.442*    |

Correlation coefficients were determined using Spearman's rankcorrelation coefficient test, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001. TC, tongue coating.

participants was 70.4% (D. Hinode, unpublished data). As tongue cleaning may be an effective method for improving oral malodour, patients should be more assertively informed that this simple procedure is an effective method for preventing or improving genuine halitosis.

# Conclusion

Our results suggested that periodontopathogens in the TC are closely associated with halitosis and that VSC production in participants without periodontal disease is mainly associated with the TC. In addition, tongue cleaning may be an effective method for preventing or improving halitosis.

# Clinical relevance

## Scientific rationale for study

To assess tongue coating (TC) deposition may be a good indicator of oral malodour, and real-time PCR has shown to be a useful and sensitive method for measuring oral bacteria in TC.

## Principal findings

Periodontal disease–associated oral bacteria in TC contribute to oral malodour. VSC production in participants without periodontal disease is mainly associated with TC. Tongue cleaning habit shows effects for reducing oral malodour.

#### **Practical implications**

As tongue cleaning may be an effective method for improving oral malodour, patients should be more assertively informed that this procedure is an effective method for preventing or improving halitosis. We would like to thank Dr. Makoto Fukui, Dr. Miho Heishima and Dr. Masaaki Yokoyama (University of Tokushima), who provided valuable support and assistance. This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 21390559 and 24390471 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The authors report no conflicts of interest related to this study.

# References

- 1 Hughes FJ, McNab R. Oral malodour a review. Arch Oral Biol 2008; 53: S1-S7.
- 2 Shibuya K. Constituents and origins of physiological malodor. *J Dent Hlth* 2001; **51**: 778–792.
- 3 Tonzetich J. Direct gas chromatographic analysis of sulphur compounds in mouth air in man. Arch Oral Biol 1971; 16: 587-597.
- 4 Kaizu T. Analysis of volatile sulfur compounds in mouth air by gas chromatography. J Jpn Assoc Periodontol 1976; 18: 1–12.
- 5 Nakano Y, Yoshimura M, Koga T. Correlation between oral malodor and periodontal bacteria. *Microbes Infect* 2002; 4: 679–683.
- 6 Gordon DF, Gibbons RJ. Studies of the predominant cultivable microorganisms from the human tongue. Arch Oral Biol 1966; 11: 627–632.
- 7 Kojima K. Clinical studies on the coated tongue. Jpn J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1985; 31: 1659–1676.
- 8 Yaegaki K, Sanada K. Biochemical and clinical factors influencing oral malodor in periodontal patients. *J Periodontol* 1992; 63: 783– 789.
- 9 Hinode D, Fukui M, Yokoyama N, Yokoyama M, Yoshioka M, Nakamura R. Relationship between tongue coating and secretory-immunoglobulin A level in saliva obtained from patients complaining of oral malodor. *J Clin Periodontol* 2003; **30**: 1017– 1023.
- 10 Murata T, Yamaga T, Iida T, Miyazaki H, Yaegaki K. Classification and examination of halitosis. *Int Dent J* 2002; **52**(Suppl. 3): 181 –186.
- 11 Yokoyama M, Hinode D, Masuda K, Yoshioka M, Grenier D. Effect of female sex hormones on *Campylobacter rectus* and human gingival fibroblasts. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 2005; **20**: 239–243.
- 12 Yokoyama M, Hinode D, Yoshioka M *et al.* Relationship between *C. rectus* and periodontal status during pregnancy. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 2008; 23: 55–59.
- 13 Boutaga K, van Winkelhoff AJ, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Savelkoul PH. Comparison of real-time PCR and culture for detection of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in subgingival plaque samples. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 4950–4954.
- 14 Lau L, Sanz M, Herrera D, Morillo JM, Martin C, Silva A. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction versus culture: a comparison between two methods for the detection and quantification of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *P. gingivalis* and *Tannerella forsythensis* in subgingival plaque samples. *J Clin Periodontol* 2004; **31**: 1061–1069.
- 15 Kato H, Yoshida A, Awano S, Ansai T, Takehara T. Quantitative detection of volatile sulfur compound-producing microorganisms in oral specimens using real-time PCR. *Oral Dis* 2005; **11**: 67–71.

- 16 Miyazaki H, Sakao S, Katoh Y, Takehara T. Correlation between volatile sulphur compounds and certain oral health measurements in the general population. *J Periodontol* 1995; 66: 679–684.
- 17 Apatzidou AD, Bakirtzoglou E, Vouros I, Karagiannis V, Papa A, Konstantinidis A. Association between oral malodour and periodontal disease-related parameters in the general population. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2013; **71**: 189–195.
- 18 Calil C, Liberato FL, Pereira AC, de Castro Meneghim M, Goodson JM, Groppo FC. The relationship between volatile sulphur compounds, tongue coating and periodontal disease. *Int J Dent Hyg* 2009; 7: 251–255.
- 19 Tanaka M, Yamamoto Y, Kuboniwa M *et al.* Contribution of periodontal pathogens on tongue dorsa analyzed with real-time PCR to oral malodor. *Microbes Infect* 2004; 6: 1078–1083.
- 20 Washio J, Sato T, Koseki T, Takahashi N. Hydrogen sulfide-producing bacteria in tongue biofilm and their relationship with oral malodour. J Med Microbiol 2005; 54: 889–895.

- 21 Kolenbrander PE, Andersen RN, Blehert DS, Egland PG, Foster JS, Palmer RJ Jr. Communication among oral bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2002; 66: 486–505.
- 22 Yasukawa T, Ohmori M, Sato S. The relationship between physiologic halitosis and periodontopathic bacteria of the tongue and gingival sulcus. *Odontology* 2010; **98**: 44–51.
- 23 Awano S, Gohara K, Kurihara E, Ansai T, Takehara T. The relationship between the presence of periodontopathogenic bacteria in saliva and halitosis. *Int Dent J* 2002; **52**: 212–216.
- 24 Morita M, Wang HL. Relationship between sulcular sulfide level and oral malodor in subjects with periodontal disease. J Periodontol 2001; 72: 79–84.
- 25 Yaegaki K, Sanada K. Volatile sulfur compounds in mouth air from clinically healthy subjects and patients with periodontal disease. *J Periodontal Res* 1992; 27: 233–238.
- 26 Ohmori M, Miyazaki A, Sato H et al. A study on the effects of tongue cleaning. J Jpn Assoc Periodontol 2005; 47: 36–43.

Copyright of International Journal of Dental Hygiene is the property of Wiley-Blackwell and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.