

Oral health-related quality of life among survivors of childhood cancer

PIA WOGELIUS^{1,2}, STEEN ROSTHØJ³, GÖRAN DAHLLÖF⁴ & SVEN POULSEN¹

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark,

²Unit of Research and Development, Aalborg Municipal Dental Service, Aarhus, Denmark, ³Department of Pediatrics, Aalborg Hospital Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark, and ⁴Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry 2011; 21: 465–467

Background. Childhood cancer survivors may have experienced a high number of invasive medical and dental procedures, which may affect their oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL).

Aim. To compare children who have survived cancer and children without cancer with respect to OHRQoL.

Design. In a cross-sectional study, we compared the OHRQoL of children treated for cancer at Aalborg Hospital with the OHRQoL of classmates without cancer. All children answered The Danish version of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ). Children aged 8–10 ($n = 95$) answered CPQ_{8–10}, with 27 questions, and children aged 11–

14 ($n = 138$) answered the CPQ_{11–14}, with 39 questions.

Results. Children with cancer rated their OHRQoL better or equal to those without cancer. The mean overall CPQ_{8–10} score was 5.6 (95% CI: 2.5–8.6) among 18 children who have survived cancer and 8.8 (95% CI: 7.3–10.3) among those without cancer ($n = 77$); the mean difference was -3.3 (95% CI: -6.5 to 0.1). The overall mean CPQ_{11–14} score was 12.5 (95% CI: 6.8–18.2) among 24 children who have survived cancer and 11.8 (95% CI: 10.3–13.3) among those without cancer ($n = 114$); the mean difference was -0.7 (95% CI: -4.9 to 6.3).

Conclusion. Cancer and cancer treatment during childhood was not associated with a decreased OHRQoL.

The annual incidence of childhood cancer in the Western countries is increasing, although survival after childhood cancer has improved¹. The improved survival is accompanied by concerns regarding long-term health consequences of childhood cancer and cancer treatment². Known adverse effects of cancer and cancer therapy on oral health are mineralization disorders, impaired salivary secretion, and dental caries^{3–5}. Childhood cancer survivors may have experienced a high number of invasive medical and dental procedures, which may affect their oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL). OHRQoL reflects the patient's perception of whether their current oral health status has an impact upon their current quality of life.

As the association between cancer treatment in childhood and the OHRQoL has never been examined, we decided to conduct a study to compare children who have survived cancer and children without cancer with respect to their OHRQoL. We have previously reported a cross-sectional study on dental anxiety among 51 6- to 14-year-old survivors of childhood cancer⁶. For this study, we collected data on OHRQoL for 42 of these children aged 8–14. As described previously, these children were in remission after cancer treatment at the Oncology Section, Department of Pediatrics, Aalborg Hospital, Denmark. The unit diagnoses 15–20 children with cancer each year. All children of appropriate age in the follow-up clinic were invited to participate if they had received chemotherapy, remained in remission, and had no cognitive difficulties preventing understanding of a questionnaire; none declined. The children had been diagnosed with leukaemia (21),

Correspondence to:

P. Wogelius, Aalborg Municipal Dental Service, Filstedvejen 10, DK-9000 Aalborg, Aarhus, Denmark.
E-mail: wog-fb@aalborg.dk

Table 1. Mean child perceptions questionnaire (CPQ) scores (with 95% CI) among 8–10-year-old, and 11–14-year-old children survivors of cancer and children without cancer.

		With cancer (n = 18)	Without cancer (n = 77)	Mean difference (95% CI)
8–10-year-old children	Total CPQ-score	5.6 (2.5 to 8.6)	8.8 (7.4 to 10.3)	–3.3 (–6.5 to 0.1)
	Sub-scores			
	Symptom	3.6 (1.9 to 5.2)	4.8 (4.1 to 5.4)	–1.2 (–2.9 to 0.5)
	Function	1.0 (0.3 to 1.7)	1.8 (1.4 to 2.3)	–0.8 (–1.6 to 0.0)
	Emotion	0.6 (0.0 to 1.1)	1.3 (1.0 to 1.7)	–0.8 (–1.4 to 0.1)
	Social	0.4 (0.0 to 0.9)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.2)	–0.5 (–1.0 to 0.0)
		With cancer (n = 24)	Without cancer (n = 114)	
11–14-year-old children	Total CPQ-score	12.5 (6.8 to 18.2)	11.8 (10.3 to 13.3)	0.7 (–4.9 to 6.3)
	Sub-scores			
	Symptom	4.9 (3.8 to 6.1)	4.4 (3.9 to 4.8)	0.6 (–0.6 to 1.7)
	Function	2.0 (0.9 to 3.0)	2.3 (1.8 to 2.8)	–0.3 (–1.4 to 0.8)
	Emotion	3.7 (0.7 to 6.7)	3.7 (2.9 to 4.5)	0.0 (–2.9 to 2.9)
	Social	1.9 (0.3 to 3.6)	1.5 (1.1 to 1.8)	0.5 (–1.1 to 2.1)

lymphoma (6), Wilms tumour (9), CNS-tumour (2) or other tumours (3). Mean age at diagnosis was 4.9, and average follow-up since diagnosis was 6.2 years. Six had received radiotherapy in addition to chemotherapy, and three of these children had received radiation to a cranial field of radiation. For comparison, we collected data on OHRQoL on the same children who served as controls in our previous study⁶. Briefly, for logistic reasons, all classmates to seven of the children with cancer (a total of 191 children) were included as controls; the school classes were selected to cover different grades in communities of different sizes distributed throughout the region of uptake. As no data were available on OHRQoL in Danish children prior to our study, formal power calculations were not possible. Instead, we included more than four times as many children without cancer as cancer survivors.

The Danish Data Protection Agency approved the study (record No.: 2004-41-4280). The children answered the validated Danish version of the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ)⁷. The CPQ includes four domain subscales of oral symptoms, functional limitations, emotional well-being and social well-being. The domain scores and the total CPQ score were calculated by adding the item scores. Children aged 8–10 ($n = 95$) answered CPQ_{8–10}, consisting of 27 questions, and children aged 11–14 ($n = 138$) answered

the CPQ_{11–14}, consisting of 39 questions. The total scores range between 0 and 100 (CPQ_{8–10}), and between 0 and 148 (CPQ_{11–14}) with a high score indicating decreased OHRQoL. We calculated differences (with 95% confidence intervals) between mean CPQ scores in children with and without cancer. Data were analysed using STATA software, version 9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Children aged 8–10 with cancer rated their OHRQoL to be better (lower scores) than those without cancer both for total CPQ_{8–10} score [mean difference: 3.3 (95% CI: –6.5 to 0.1)], and for the four subscores: No difference was found among children aged 11 to 14, neither in total CPQ_{11–14} [mean difference: 0.7 (95% CI: –4.9 to 6.3)], nor in any of the four subscores (Table 1).

The Danish CPQ has demonstrated ability to differ between children with known differences in dental health⁷, and we therefore consider it to be able to identify potential differences between children with cancer and children without. We used self-reported information on OHRQoL which requires that the included children are able to read and understand the questionnaire. This may have caused selection bias if those excluded differed from the children with cancer with regard to OHRQoL. Another possible limitation in this study is the limited study size. To overcome the fact that no data on OHRQoL were available to allow us to perform formal

power calculations, and as the number of cancer survivors was fixed, we included a relatively large number of controls and all possible individuals with cancer treated at Aalborg Hospital between the ages of 8 and 14, irrespective of time since last treatment. It is possible, that larger studies may result in different results, but it should be noted, that at least 8- to 10-year-old cancer survivors reported better OHRQoL (higher scores) than the controls. As all children were in remission of cancer, we have considered the time since cancer treatment to be of less importance. It is possible, however, that children who have only recently completed treatment would report more treatment-related impacts on OHRQoL than those who received their treatment a number of years ago. This question should be addressed in future studies. Due to the cross-sectional design, we had no information on whether the cancer treatment preceded the level of OHRQoL. Further studies based on larger samples and using a longitudinal design are needed. We cannot explain why the 8–10-year-olds with cancer seem to have a better OHRQoL compared with those without cancer. Children who are long-term survivors after cancer treatment and are diagnosed with salivary dysfunction seldom complain of xerostomia. This may be because they have never experienced a situation with normal flow and therefore accept their situation⁸. A common recommendation in Public Danish Dental Service is that children below the age of ten should receive adult help with tooth brushing and parents to children with cancer may comply better with this advice. Another possible explanation is that cancer treatment may have increased the pain threshold and coping abilities of any oral health limitations after cancer treatment. We conclude that this study could not demonstrate any association between cancer and cancer treatment during childhood and decreased OHRQoL. Further studies based on larger samples and using a longitudinal design are needed. Such studies should also include recording of the dental status of child cancer survivors in order to elucidate the relationship between clinical variables and OHRQoL.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by The Danish Cancer Society, The Swedish Children's Cancer Foundation, Boernecancerfonden, The Clinical Epidemiological Research Foundation, The Western Danish Research Forum for Health Sciences, Karen Elise Jensen Foundation, "Dagmar Marshalls Fond", "Aase og Ejnar Danielsens Fond", "Apotekerfonden" and Aalborg Hospital. We wish to thank the nurses Grethe Mortensen and Kit Aaen, Aalborg Hospital, for their practical help, children and parents for answering the questionnaires, and teachers and heads of schools for cooperativeness.

References

- 1 Pritchard-Jones K, Kaatsch P, Steliarova-Foucher E, Stiller CA, Coebergh JW. Cancer in children and adolescents in Europe: developments over 20 years and future challenges. *Eur J Cancer* 2006; **42**: 2183–2190.
- 2 Hudson MM, Mertens AC, Yasui Y *et al.* Health status of adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *JAMA* 2003; **290**: 1583–1592.
- 3 Dahllöf G, Rozell B, Forsberg CM, Borgström B. Histologic changes in dental morphology induced by high dose chemotherapy and total body irradiation. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1994; **77**: 56–60.
- 4 Dahllöf G, Bågesund M, Remberger M, Ringdén O. Risk factors for salivary dysfunction in children 1 year after bone marrow transplantation. *Oral Oncol* 1997; **33**: 327–331.
- 5 Wogelius P, Dahllöf G, Gorst-Rasmussen A, Sørensen HT, Rosthøj S, Poulsen S. A population-based observational study of dental caries among survivors of childhood cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2008; **50**: 1221–1226.
- 6 Wogelius P, Rosthøj S, Dahllöf G, Poulsen S. Dental anxiety among survivors of childhood cancer: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2009; **19**: 121–126.
- 7 Wogelius P, Gjørup H, Haubek D, Lopez R, Poulsen S. Development of Danish version of child oral-health-related quality of life questionnaires (CPQ8-10 and CPQ11-14). *BMC Oral Health* 2009; **9**: 11.
- 8 Bågesund M, Winiarski I, Dahllöf G. Subjective xerostomia in long-term surviving children and adolescents following pediatric bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation* 2000; **69**: 822–826.

Copyright of International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry is the property of Wiley-Blackwell and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.