

9. Leinfelder KF. Resin to metal bonding: Overcoming esthetic problems. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1994;125:292–294.
10. Tiller H, Musil R. The adhesion of dental resins to metal surfaces. In: *The Kulzer Silicoater Technique*, ed 1.6393. Wehrheim, Germany: Kulzer, 1984.
11. Wood DP, Paleczny GJ, Johnson LN. The effect of sandblasting on the retention of orthodontic bands. *Angle Orthod* 1996;66:207–214.
12. Watanabe I, Kurtz KS, Kabcenell JK, Okabe T. Effect of sandblasting and silicoating on bond strength of polymer-glass composite to cast titanium. *J Prosthet Dent* 1999;82:462–467.
13. Hero H, Ruyter IE, Waarli ML, Hultquist G. Adhesion of resins to Ag-Pd alloys by means of silicoating technique. *J Dent Res* 1987;66:1380–1385.
14. Kern M, Thompson VP. Sandblasting and silica-coating of dental alloys: Volume loss, morphology and changes in the surface composition. *Dent Mater* 1993;9:155–161.
15. Vallittu PK. Bonding of hybrid composite resin to the surface of gold alloy used in porcelain-fused-to-metal restorations. *J Oral Rehabil* 1997;24:560–567.
16. Kern M, Thompson VP. Effects of sandblasting and silica-coating procedures on pure titanium. *J Dent* 1994;22:300–306.
17. Papadopoulos T, Tsetsekou A, Eliades G. Effect of aluminum oxide sandblasting on cast commercially pure titanium surfaces. *Eur J Prosthodont Restorative Dent* 1999;7:15–21.
18. Cobb DS, Vargas MA, Fridrich TA, Bouschlicher MR. Metal surface treatment: Characterization and effect on composite-to-metal bond strength. *Oper Dent* 2000;25:427–433.
19. Peutzfeldt A, Asmussen E. Silicoating: Evaluation of a new method of bonding composite to metal. *Scand J Dent Res* 1988;96:171–176.
20. Hansson O, Moberg L-E. Evaluation of three silicoating methods for resin-bonded prostheses. *Scand J Dent Res* 1993;101:243–251.
21. Mukai M, Fukui H, Hasegawa J. Relationship between sandblasting and composite resin bond strength by a silica coating. *J Prosthet Dent* 1995;74:151–155.
22. Petridis H, Hirayama H, Kugel G, Habib C, Garefis P. Shear bond strength of techniques for bonding esthetic veneers to metal. *J Prosthet Dent* 1999;82:608–614.
23. Petridis H, Garefis P, Hirayama H, Kafantaris N, Koidis P. Bonding indirect resin composites to metal: Part 1. Comparison of shear bond strengths between different metal-resin bonding systems and a metal-ceramic system. *Int J Prosthodont* 2003;16:635–639.
24. Crim GA. Comparison of four thermocycling techniques. *J Prosthet Dent* 1985;53:50–53.
25. Crim GA, Garcia-Godoy F. Microleakage: The effect of storage and cycling duration. *J Prosthet Dent* 1987;57:574–576.
26. van Noort R, Noroozi S, Howard IC, Gardew GA. A critique of bond strength measurements. *J Dent* 1989;17:61–67.
27. Chang JC, Powers JM, Hart D. Bond strength of composite to alloy treated with bonding systems. *J Prosthodont* 1993;2:110–114.
28. Fujishima A, Fujishima Y, Ferracane JL. Shear bond strength of four commercial bonding systems to cp Ti. *Dent Mater* 1995;11:82–86.
29. Hansson O. Strength of bond with Comspan Opaque to three silicoated alloys and titanium. *Scand J Dent Res* 1990;98:248–256.
30. Moulin P, Picard B, Degrange M. Water resistance of resin-bonded joints with time related to alloy surface treatment. *J Dent* 1999;27:79–87.
31. Matsumura H, Yanagida H, Tanoue N, Atsuta M, Shimoe S. Shear bond strength of resin composite veneering material to gold alloy with varying metal surface treatments. *J Prosthet Dent* 2001;86:315–319.
32. Hummel SK, Pace LL, Marker VA. A comparison of two silicoating techniques. *J Prosthodont* 1994;3:108–113.

---

*Literature Abstract*

**A retrospective comparison of two definitive impression techniques and their associated post-insertion adjustments in complete denture prosthodontics.**

The definitive impression technique is reportedly one of the more critical elements in complete denture prosthodontics. This study compared the number of postinsertion adjustments needed by edentulous patients who had complete dentures fabricated using a modified technique in a retrospective analysis of patients in a private practice setting. Seventy-eight edentulous patients were treated with the traditional technique involving custom light-polymerized impression trays. The impression technique was border molded with modeling plastic impression compound. Another 78 edentulous patients were treated with the modified impression technique involving custom light-polymerized impression trays border molded with heavy polyvinyl siloxane impression material. All definitive impressions were made with light-body polyvinyl siloxane. Patients were followed for 1 year after insertion of complete dentures. Postinsertion adjustments to complete denture flanges were quantified by the number of postinsertion appointments required for 1 year after insertion. The mean number of adjustment visits was 2.68 for both groups; there was no significant difference in the number of adjustments required for patients whose dentures were made with either technique. The author did not conclude that either technique should be taken as the definitive method of fabricating complete denture impressions because of several limitations in the study design.

**Drago CJ.** *J Prosthodont* 2003;12:192–197. **References:** 30. **Reprints:** Dr Carl J. Drago, Gundersen Lutheran Medical Center, 1836 South Avenue, LaCrosse, Wisconsin 54601. e-mail: cjdrago@gundluth.org—Myung W. Brian Chang, Lincoln, Nebraska

Copyright of International Journal of Prosthodontics is the property of Quintessence Publishing Company Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.