

Within the limits of this study, most of the marks (80%) were located occlusal to the base of the maxillary mesiofacial cusp. This may suggest that the commissure line could slightly overestimate the amount of posterior tooth display while smiling. However, all volunteers reported their satisfaction with the display of their natural teeth, and the range of mismatch (OM) was small with the maximum value of 1.3 mm. Thus, the commissure line appears to indicate a physiologic and esthetic orientation of the occlusal plane. Further study is needed to verify the validity of this method for the construction of complete dentures.

## Conclusions

The commissure line of the mouth may be used to mark the orientation of the occlusal plane. The degree of mismatch of this dynamic landmark to the occlusal plane was within the range of 0.2 to 1.3 mm. The validity of this observation in the construction of complete dentures is still to be determined.

## Acknowledgments

The authors reported no conflicts of interest related to this study.

## References

1. Fish EW. Using the muscles to stabilize the full lower denture. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1933;20:2163–2169.
2. Lundquist DO, Luther WW. Occlusal plane determination. *J Prosthet Dent* 1970;23:489–498.
3. Wright CR. Evaluation of the factors necessary to develop stability of mandibular dentures. 1966. *J Prosthet Dent* 2004; 92:509–518.
4. Zarb GA, Finer Y. Identification of shape and location of arch form: The occlusion rim and recording of trial denture base. In: Zarb GA, Bolender CL, Eckert SE, Fenton AH, Jacob RF, Merickske-Stern R (eds). *Prosthodontic Treatment for Edentulous Patients: Complete Dentures and Implant Supported Prosthesis*, ed 12. St Louis: Mosby, 2004:262–264.
5. Beresin VE, Schiesser FJ. The neutral zone in complete dentures. 1976. *J Prosthet Dent* 2006;95:93–101.

## Literature Abstract

### Newly identified pathogens associated with periodontitis: A systematic review

This systematic review focused on the weight of evidence for newly identified periodontal pathogens based on the results of “association” studies according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. This review is especially important given that independent-culture diagnostic methods introduced about a decade ago have pointed to the existence of new periodontal pathogens, but the data of these studies have not been evaluated together. Searches were done on MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases, and included studies up to September 2013. Studies that fit the criteria of (1) comparison of microbial data of subgingival plaque samples collected from subjects with periodontitis and periodontal health and (2) evaluation at least one microorganism other than the already-known periodontal pathogens were identified. In total, 1,450 papers were identified, with 41 studies being eligible for inclusion. The datasets were extracted and registered in predefined piloted forms. The results of the systematic review revealed moderate evidence (3 to 5 studies) in the literature to support the association of 17 species from the phyla Bacteroidetes, Candidatus Saccharibacteria, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, and Synergistetes. The phylum Candidatus Saccharibacteria and the Archaea domain also seemed to have an association with periodontal disease. The data from this systematic review points out the importance of previously unidentified species in the etiology of periodontitis and might guide future elimination studies (reduction or elimination of the bacteria would be accompanied by clinical improvements) on the actual role of these suspected new pathogens in the onset and progression of periodontitis. It is important to note that this current evidence is based on association studies (higher levels and/or proportions of the species in cases rather than in controls) and the identified bacterial species should not be interpreted as causative of periodontal disease.

**Pérez-Chaparro PJ, Gonçalves C, Figueiredo LC, et al.** *J Dent Res* 2014;93:846–858. **References:** 60. **Reprints:** M. Feres, Department of Periodontology, Dental Research Division, Guarulhos University, Guarulhos, São Paulo, Brazil. Email: mferes@ung.br—*Loke Weiqiang, Singapore*

Copyright of International Journal of Prosthodontics is the property of Quintessence Publishing Company Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.