

REVIEW ARTICLE

Update on diabetes mellitus and related oral diseases

M Manfredi^{1,2}, MJ McCullough^{1,3}, P Vescovi², ZM Al-Kaarawi¹, SR Porter¹

¹Oral Medicine Department, Eastman Dental Institute, UCL, London, UK; ²Sezione di Odontostomatologia, Università degli Studi di Parma, Parma, Italy; ³Dental School, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of complex multisystem metabolic disorders characterized by a relative or absolute insufficiency of insulin secretion and/or concomitant resistance to the metabolic action of insulin on target tissues. The chronic hyperglycaemia of diabetes is associated with long-term systemic dysfunction. The present article summarizes current knowledge of DM and details the oral and dental implications of this common endocrine disorder.

Oral Diseases (2004) **10**, 187–200

Keywords: diabetes mellitus; oral diseases; dental implications

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a complex multisystemic disorder characterized by a relative or absolute insufficiency of insulin secretion and/or concomitant resistance to the metabolic action of insulin on target tissues (Garber, 1998).

Hyperglycaemia is the immediate metabolic consequence of DM but, ultimately, there is widespread multisystem damage. In particular microvascular disease (microangiopathy) with capillary basement membrane thickening, macrovascular disease (macroangiopathy) with accelerated arteriosclerosis, neuropathy involving both the somatic and autonomic nervous system, neuromuscular dysfunction, embryopathy, and decreased resistance to infection (Garber, 1998).

Diabetes mellitus can have variable, and sometimes, profound effects upon the oral tissues, patients with poor glycaemic control being particularly prone to severe and/or recurrent bacterial or fungal infections.

Classification and pathogenesis of diabetes mellitus

A number of different classification systems for DM have been proposed (National Diabetes Data Group, 1979; World Health Organization, 1985). The American Diabetes Association has recently (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998; The American Academy of Periodontology, 1999) developed a classification system based upon disease aetiology. The classification scheme includes two major forms of DM: type 1 (previously insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or IDDM) and type 2 (previously non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or NIDDM). Type 1 disease includes a type A immune-mediated, and a type B idiopathic DM. Type 2 includes the most common form of diabetes, which combines insulin resistance with an insulin secretory defect (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998).

In addition to these types of DM there are other specific forms of disease such as diabetes secondary to autoimmune endocrinopathies, infection (e.g. congenital rubella, cytomegalovirus, coxsackie virus), genetic disease or DM induced by drugs or pregnancy.

All types of DM are biochemically characterized by hyperglycaemia tested during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998). Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) are used to indicate a metabolic stage intermediate between normal glucose homeostasis and DM.

General signs and symptoms of diabetes mellitus

The initial clinical features of type 1 DM include the typical triad of polyuria, polydipsia and polyphagia. Irritability, malaise, apathy and pruritus can also be early features of type 1 DM (Teuscher *et al.*, 1989; Rees and Otomo-Corgel, 1992; Nathan, 1993; Rees, 1994; Bell and Hockaday, 1996; Garber, 1998; The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998). Patients with undiagnosed

Correspondence: Maddalena Manfredi, Sezione di Odontostomatologia, Università di Parma, Viale Gramsci 14, 43100 Parma, Italy. Tel.: +39 0521 991034, Fax: +39 0521 292955, E-mail: maddalenamanfredi@hotmail.com

Received 13 May 2003; revised 11 November 2003; accepted 8 March 2004

type 1 DM are prone to ketoacidosis (Bell and Hockaday, 1996; The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998). The features of type 1 DM are reversible with effective insulin therapy. The features of type 2 DM are of slower onset and less specific than those in type 1, sometimes only being detected when patients are being investigated for disease unrelated to DM. Patients with type 2 disease are often obese and although the relevant biochemical defect is not profound, complications of long-standing DM still arise. Both type 1 and 2 of DM have equal risk of developing vascular complications.

Complications of diabetes mellitus

While good glycaemic control can prevent or reduce the likelihood of the possible complications of DM, approximately 50% of patients with DM develop vascular chronic complications following years of DM (Rees, 1994).

The chronic complications of DM are summarized in Table 1 (Teuscher *et al*, 1989; The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998). The eyes (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998) (Teuscher *et al*, 1989; Rees, 1994) and kidneys are particularly liable to disease (Bennet and Seltzer, 1970; Burrows, 1979; Warram *et al*, 1991; Partanen *et al*, 1995; Little *et al*, 1997). Diabetic retinopathy is one of the most common causes of loss of vision in the USA (Rees, 1994; Little *et al*, 1997). Proliferative retinopathy is particularly problematic and is more common in type 1 than type 2 DM. Cataract occurs at an earlier age and with a higher frequency in patients with DM than those without DM (Kannel and McGee, 1979). Progressive renal disease can arise in 30–40% of patients with DM, especially those with type 1 disease. The majority of these patients will

develop end-stage renal disease and require haemodialysis or combined renal-pancreas transplantation.

Accelerated arteriosclerosis, with resultant cerebrovascular, cardiovascular and other vascular disease occurs in long-standing DM (Table 1). Myopathy can produce progressive weakness and exercise intolerance. Peripheral neuropathies cause paraesthesia and anaesthesia, reduced motor function, while autonomic neuropathy can cause orthostatic hypotension (Bell and Hockaday, 1996) and may reduce salivary flow rate (Marchetti *et al*, 1989; Newrick *et al*, 1991). Recently, it has been reported that peripheral diabetic neuropathy may be a risk factor for severe temporomandibular joint dysfunction (Collin *et al*, 2000).

Type 1 diabetes mellitus

Type 1 DM immune-mediated (A) (previously termed juvenile-type onset diabetes or insulin dependent) constitutes 5–15% of all cases of diabetes. Although having a worldwide distribution, this condition affects North Americans and Europeans more frequently than other ethnic groups (Atkinson and Maclaren, 1994; Winter, 1996). This type of DM is due to cell-mediated autoimmune destruction of the β -cells of the islet of Langerhans of the pancreas (Atkinson and Maclaren, 1994) leading to a complete inability of the cells to secrete insulin.

Type 1 DM usually develops before 30 years of age, although can occur at any age. It is suggested that pancreatic destruction occurs when genetically predisposed individuals are subjected to a triggering event, such as viral infection, that induces the destructive autoimmune response (Smith, 1987; Rees, 1994).

The rate of β -cell destruction is variable, being rapid in some individuals (usually infants and children) and slow in others (typically adults) (Zimmet *et al*, 1994). There are two age-associated peaks of incidence most commonly in the middle of the first decade, and in adolescence.

Recently, the β -cell damage has been suggested to be due to islet cell autoantibodies (ICAs), autoantibodies to insulin (IAAs), autoantibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase (GADA65) and autoantibodies to the tyrosine phosphatases IA-2 and IA-2 β . Individuals (85–90%) with initial hyperglycaemia have one or more of these autoantibodies, which can be considered as markers of this type of DM. It has been reported that genes for type 1 DM can provide both susceptibility and protection in relation to the disease, as summarized in Table 2 (Cantor *et al*, 1995; Atkinson and Eisenbarth, 2001; Bingley *et al*, 2001).

The idiopathic form of type 1 DM (B) is of unknown aetiology. Most affected patients have a permanent insulinopenia and are prone to ketoacidosis. A minority of patients with type B disease, most of whom are Asian or African, suffer episodic ketoacidosis and have varying degrees of insulin deficiency between these episodes. This form of diabetes is strongly inherited, but lacks immunological evidence for β -cell autoimmunity and it is not human leucocyte antigen associated (Banerji and Lebovitz, 1989; The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998).

Table 1 Chronic systemic complications of DM (Bell and Hockaday, 1996)

-
- | |
|--|
| 1. Macroangiopathies |
| Atherosclerosis |
| Myocardial disease |
| Ischaemic heart disease |
| Cerebrovascular disease |
| Intermittent claudication |
| Gangrene of feet |
| 2. Microangiopathies |
| Retinopathy |
| Nephropathy |
| Capillary basement membrane thickening |
| 3. Neuropathy |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy |
| Femoral neuropathy |
| Mononeuropathy |
| Autonomic neuropathy |
| Postural hypotension |
| Impotence |
| Diabetic diarrhoea |
| Urinary retention |
| Gustatory sweating |
| Abnormal pupillary reflexes |
| Cardiac autonomic disturbance |
-

Table 2 Type 1 DM risk associated with HLA-DR and HLA-DQ haplotypes (Atkinson and Eisenbarth, 2001)

Risk	HLA		
	DRB1	DQA1	DQB1
High risk	0401, 0402, 0405 0301	0301 0501	0302 0201
Moderate risk	0801 0101 0901	0401 0101 0301	0402 0501 0303
Weak or moderate protection	0401 0403 0701 1101	0301 0301 0201 0501	0301 0302 0201 0301
Strong protection	1501 1401 0701	0102 0101 0201	0602 0503 0303

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

Type 2 DM, previously termed NIDDM, often arises in middle to late life and is the more common form of DM, representing between 80 and 93% of all affected patients (National Diabetes Data Group, 1985; The American Academy of Periodontology, 1999). Type 2 DM is characterized by a β -cells dysfunction to secrete adequate amounts of insulin, particularly after meals, and/or peripheral insulin resistance. Patients with type 2 DM have some endogenous insulin secretory capability, but have overt abnormalities of glucose homeostasis, including fasting hyperglycaemia (Reaven *et al*, 1976; Turner *et al*, 1979; Bell and Hockaday, 1996; Garber, 1998). Depending upon the degree of accompanying hyperglycaemia, patients with type 2 DM are managed by dietary control of sugars and/or with oral hypoglycaemic agents, although sometime insulin therapy becomes necessary if their disease can not be managed adequately with oral agents and diet.

Type 2 DM frequently remains undiagnosed for many years as in the early stages of disease the hyperglycaemia develops gradually and is often not severe enough to

give rise to polyuria, polydipsia nor weight loss (Fujimoto *et al*, 1987; Harris, 1989).

Unlike patients with type 1 disease, those with type 2 DM are relatively resistant to the development of ketoacidosis, as a consequence of the retention of endogenous insulin secretion. Type 2 DM often has a familial basis, although does not clinically manifest until middle to late life. As a consequence of an accompanying insulin resistance, obesity is a major risk factor for the development of this type of DM, indeed up to 80% of patients with type 2 DM have mild to marked overweight (Zimmet, 1992; Harris *et al*, 1995). Women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) may also be liable to type 2 DM as can individuals with hypertension or hyperlipidaemia (Barnett *et al*, 1981; Newman *et al*, 1987).

Diagnostic criteria for diabetes mellitus

The diagnostic criteria for DM as recommended by National Diabetes Data Group (National Diabetes Data Group, 1979) or World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 1985) have been recently modified by an Expert Committee (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998). The primary methods to diagnose DM and monitor blood glucose levels have traditionally been fasting blood glucose, a combination of fasting blood glucose plus a 2 h test after glucose loading (2 h postprandial), and OGTTs. The revised criteria suggest the diagnosis of DM by one of three methods, each requiring confirmation by repeat testing (Table 3) (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998).

Assessment of glycaemic control in patients affected by diabetes mellitus

Different methodologies are available to assess glycaemic control, these depending exact upon the severity of the disease and the clinical setting.

Blood glucose monitoring

Levels of blood glucose can be self-monitored by patients, using blood glucose test strips. The advantage of this

Table 3 Diagnostic criteria for diabetes (The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, 1998)

Diabetes mellitus may be diagnosed by any one of three methods. Whatever method is used it must be repeated on a subsequent day.

1. Symptoms of diabetes plus random (non-fasting) plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg dl⁻¹. The blood for examination of glucose may be drawn at any time of day and without regard to time since the last meal. The relevant symptoms of diabetes include polyuria, polydipsia and unexplained weight loss (or)
2. Fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg dl⁻¹. Fasting is defined as no caloric intake for at least 8 h (or)
3. Two-hour postprandial glucose ≥ 200 mg dl⁻¹ during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). The test should be performed using a glucose load containing the equivalent of 75 g of anhydrous glucose dissolved in water (this method is not recommended for routine clinical use)

Categories of 2-h postprandial glucose (2hPG) include:

1. 2hPG < 140 mg dl⁻¹ = normal glucose tolerance
2. 2hPG ≥ 140 mg dl⁻¹ and < 200 mg dl⁻¹ = impaired glucose tolerance
3. 2hPG ≥ 200 mg dl⁻¹ = provisional diagnosis of diabetes (this must be confirmed on subsequent day)

Categories of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) include:

1. FPG < 110 mg dl⁻¹ = normal fasting glucose
2. FPG ≥ 110 mg dl⁻¹ and < 126 mg dl⁻¹ = Impaired fasting glucose
3. FPG ≥ 126 mg dl⁻¹ = provisional diagnosis of diabetes (this must be confirmed on subsequent day)

self-monitoring of capillary blood glucose concentration is that the information is available immediately hence permitting the motivated patient to appropriately adjust their insulin dose on a day-to-day basis. This approach allows ketoacidosis to be avoided and encourages good compliance with dietary sugar intake.

Glycosylated haemoglobin

The estimation of blood level of glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) provides an accurate and objective measure of glycaemic control over past weeks to months. Several minor components of adult haemoglobin (HbA₁) can be separated from unmodified haemoglobin (HbA₀) by ion-exchange chromatography, and these haemoglobin moieties are increased in DM by the slow non-enzymatic covalent attachment of glucose and other sugars (glycation). The rate of formation of this glycosylated haemoglobin is directly proportional to these ambient blood glucose concentrations.

Glycosylated haemoglobin is expressed as a percentage of the normal haemoglobin. Non-diabetic subjects have HbA_{1c} values of less than 6%, while levels in poorly controlled patients may reach 10–12%, and can be as great as 20%.

Glycosylated haemoglobin estimates may be incorrectly reduced with anaemia or during pregnancy, and some assay methods are complicated by uraemia or haemoglobinopathy. In clinical practice glycosylated haemoglobin is usually measured periodically (at least twice yearly) to assess glycaemic control, permit appropriate changes to treatment and to determine the degree of inconsistency with a patient's records of home blood glucose monitoring.

Plasma fructosamine

In situations where the HbA_{1c} cannot be measured (e.g. haemolytic anaemias), glycated serum protein (GSP) may also be measured by means of the fructosamine assay as an index of glucose control. A single measurement of GSP provides an index of glycaemic status over the preceding 1–2 weeks, while a single HbA_{1c} test provides an index of glycaemic status over a considerably longer period of time, 2–3 months. Other than in diabetic pregnancy, this is generally too short a time period to allow appropriate clinical decisions of therapy to be made (Goldstein *et al*, 2003).

Urine testing

Testing of urine for glucose has been used as a method of diabetic monitoring for over 50 years. However, the introduction of new and more efficient methods of blood glucose has permitted much closer good glycaemic control. Negative urine tests fail to distinguish between normal and low blood glucose levels, which is a particular disadvantage since the aim of treatment is to obtain normal blood glucose level avoiding hypoglycaemia. Urine tests are routinely performed to monitor the levels of urinary proteins and ketones to confirm prior diagnosis of renal-insufficiency in patients with DM (Bell and Hockaday, 1996). However, long-term follow-up studies suggested that raised urinary albumin

secretion is a predictive parameter for overt diabetic nephropathy in DM patients, particularly in type 1 DM. It has been reported that achievement of a satisfactory metabolic control, in the early stage of renal dysfunction, reduces or normalizes the increased glomerular capillary permeability to albumin, which is the cause of the so-called microalbuminuria (Viberti *et al*, 1979, 1982; Parving *et al*, 1982; Pickup *et al*, 1984; Lugari *et al*, 1988).

Management of diabetes mellitus

The aims of management of DM are to maintain a normal blood glucose levels without episodes of hypoglycaemia, and to prevent or lessen, the complications of long-standing disease. These aims cannot be achieved without good patient compliance.

Type 2 DM can usually be managed with control of dietary carbohydrates, control of body weight and increased physical activity (Lomasky *et al*, 1990; Watts *et al*, 1990; Little *et al*, 1997). If this fails to adequately reduce blood glucose levels, oral hypoglycaemic agents are required in addition to diet control.

Oral hypoglycaemic agents and insulin

The drugs commonly used to manage DM are sulphonylureas and biguanides. In particular, sulphonylureas stimulate the secretion of insulin, increase the number of insulin receptors if there is some endogenous insulin production. Examples of currently employed sulphonylureas are indicated in Table 4.

Metformin is the only available biguanide. This drug acts mainly by decreasing hepatic gluconeogenesis and increasing peripheral utilization of glucose. Metformin is the drug of first choice in grossly obese patients in whom diet has failed to control the DM. The use of metformin is contraindicated in patients with renal-insufficiency (i.e. a serum creatinine concentration exceeds 1.4 mg dl⁻¹ in women or 1.5 mg dl⁻¹ in men, or abnormal creatinine clearance), acute or chronic metabolic acidosis or in patients with severe hepatic dysfunction. In these patients, metformin use may contribute to the development of lactic acidosis (Scheen, 1997).

A number of other agents are available to manage DM. α -Glucosidase inhibitors, such as acarbose and miglitol delay the digestion and absorption of complex carbohydrates, and although these agents do not increase the response to insulin in any tissues, their ability to limit postprandial glucose increases can reduce plasma insulin levels and the need for insulin supplements. The drugs are generally safe, but they often cause flatulence, especially when the dosage is increased rapidly.

Repaglinide is a benzoic acid derivative and the first of the non-sulphonylurea meglitinides introduced in 1998. The mechanism of action of this drug is similar to those of the sulphonylureas. Repaglinide is the most rapid and short-acting agent and reduces fasting hyperglycaemia. It is a suitable option for patients with a recent diagnosis of type 2 DM who have high postprandial glucose levels. All types of oral hypoglycaemic agents should be used carefully in elderly patients

Table 4 Major hypoglycaemic agents used to control DM type 2

<i>Drug class</i>	<i>Daily dose range (mg)</i>	<i>No. of daily doses</i>	<i>Site of metabolism</i>	<i>Mechanism of action</i>
Sulphonylureas				Stimulate insulin secretion
Glibenclamide	5–15	1–3	Oxidized in liver-excreted in urines; 50% excreted unchanged in faeces	
Gliclazide	40–320	1–3	95% liver	
Glimepiride	1–4/6	1		
Glipizide	2.5–20	2–3	90–95% liver-excreted unchanged in urine; 12% excreted in faeces	
Gliquidone	15–180	2–3	90–95% liver-metabolites excreted in bile	
Biguanides				Increase hepatic glucose output
Metformin	500–1700	1–3	Liver, not metabolized-excreted unchanged in the urine	
α -Glucosidase inhibitors				Decrease gastrointestinal absorption of carbohydrates
Acarbose	25–100	1–3	Liver	
Miglitol			Liver	
Meglitinides				Stimulate insulin release
Repaglinide	0.5–1/16	1–3	Most excreted in urine	
Thiazolidinediones				Reduce peripheral insulin resistance
Pioglitazone	15–30	1	Liver	
Rosiglitazone	4–8	1–2	Liver	
Troglitazone	400–600	1	Liver (liver toxicity)	Withdrawn

and in those with renal or hepatic dysfunction (Riddle, 1999).

Pioglitazone, rosiglitazone and troglitazone belong to a new class of oral glucose-lowering drugs (thiazolidinediones) that enhance the response of muscle and adipose tissue to insulin in patients who are otherwise relatively unresponsive, for example the extremely obese. However, troglitazone was withdrawn from the USA in March 2000, following 61 deaths from hepatic failure and seven liver transplants associated with the drug. This drug has also been withdrawn in Europe (Riddle, 1999; Bailey, 2000; Krentz *et al*, 2000).

The newer antidiabetic drugs are usually prescribed in combination with sulphonylureas and biguanides, when the older agents prove inadequate in reducing the high blood glucose levels.

Insulin therapy is required for type 1 DM, and for patients with long-standing type 2 DM when there is a failure of other therapies. Insulin is administered by subcutaneous injection and is available as short-action for 2 to 6–8 h, intermediate-action (1–20 h) and long-acting (over 40 h) forms (Mealey, 1998). Recently, a rapid-acting insulin analogue has become available for maintaining blood glucose levels below 180 mg dl⁻¹ for 2 h after a meal (Hirsch, 1999). Mixed insulin preparations are also available. Management typically involves a combination of short-acting and intermediate-acting insulin (Table 5). Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusions or 'insulin pumps' are now available. These deliver a basal dosage of insulin to maintain glucose control without hypoglycaemia (Varon and Mack-Shipman, 2000).

Insulin is available in three different types: human (produced synthetically or by DNA recombinant technology using *Escherichia coli*), porcine and bovine. Human insulin has a more rapid onset and shorter duration of action than porcine insulin, while bovine

insulin has the longest duration of activity (Mealey, 1998), although the non-human forms are now rarely employed.

The absorption of insulin from subcutaneous sites is variable both within and between individuals. Most patients with type 1 DM require three to four injections of insulin daily, but it is clearly necessary to individualize therapy and even then the same dose of insulin may have quite different effects on different days in the same patients (Bell and Hockaday, 1996). The main aim of insulin therapy is to reproduce the natural peak levels of insulin during and after meals with basal concentration postprandially. Detailed descriptions of insulin therapy can be found elsewhere (Garber, 1998). The pharmacokinetics of insulin make this difficult and hypoglycaemia is the most common complication of inadequate management (Bell and Hockaday, 1996).

Hypoglycaemia may give rise to a variety of clinical features that include reactions: irritability, tachycardia, palpitations, confusion and possibly coma. Hypoglycaemia (blood sugar < 60 mg dl⁻¹) could develop during dental treatment, but can be corrected by administration of quickly absorbed sources of glucose (tablets, juice, candy), or in case of unconsciousness, intravenous glucose. Furthermore, to reduce the risk of hypoglycaemia on the day of dental procedure, patients with diabetes should be scheduled for a morning appointment, with possible insulin dosage modifications for delayed and/or reduced food intake (Burrows, 1979; Smith, 1987; Lomasky *et al*, 1990; Nathan, 1993; Bell and Hockaday, 1996; Scully *et al*, 1998; Varon and Mack-Shipman, 2000).

Oral manifestations of diabetes mellitus

A wide spectrum of oral manifestations of DM have been reported.

Table 5 Principal insulin preparations used to control DM

<i>Insulin preparation types</i>	<i>Onset of duration (hours–minutes)</i>	<i>Peak of action (h)</i>	<i>Maximal duration of action (h)</i>	<i>Commonly used regimens</i>
Short-acting insulin	0.5–1 h	1–3	6–8	Given 20–30 min before meals
Insulin soluble	30 min	1–3	8	
Rapid-acting insulin analogue	10–30 min	1–3	3–5	Given immediately before meals
Insulin Lispro	30 min	1–2	8	
Insulin Aspart	30 min	1–2	8	
Intermediate acting insulin	1.5–2 h	4–12	18–24	1. Given before bed 2. Given before bed, in combination with tablets in overweight patients 3. Given once daily before breakfast, in elderly 4. Given twice a day, in type 2 DM
Long-acting insulin	1–2 h	4–12	20–40	Once daily, or twice daily with a short-acting (soluble) insulin
Biphasic action (combination of rapid or short and intermediate action)	0.5–1 h	1–10	18–24	
10/90 (10% soluble–90% isophane insulin)	30 min	2–8	24	Given 20–30 min before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen
20/80 (20% soluble–80% isophane insulin)	30 min	2–8	24	Given 20–30 min before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen
30/70 (30% soluble–70% isophane insulin)	30 min	2–8	24	Given 20–30 min before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen
40/60 (40% soluble–60% isophane insulin)	30 min	2–8	24	Given 20–30 min before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen
50/50 (50% soluble–50% isophane insulin)	30 min	2–8	24	Given 20–30 min before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen
25% insulin Lispro–75% insulin Lispro protamide	30 min	2	24	Given immediately before breakfast and evening meal, as a twice day regimen

Xerostomia

Dryness of the mouth as a feature of uncontrolled diabetes was first described in 1942 (Sheppard, 1942; Lamey *et al*, 1992). The xerostomia may be a consequence of dehydration, although long-standing oral dryness may be due to microvascular disease and neuropathy affecting the major salivary glands (Newrick *et al*, 1991). In addition the xerostomia may be due to concomitant drug therapy (antihypertensives, diuretics, anxiolytics or antidepressants) (Sharon *et al*, 1985; Albrecht *et al*, 1987; Harrison and Bowen, 1987; Finney *et al*, 1997).

Prolonged xerostomia predisposes to local accumulation of plaque and debris and may contribute to the development of opportunistic oral infections (liability to dental caries, periodontal disease), altered taste (Rees, 1994; Finney *et al*, 1997), oral malodour and oral mucosal soreness.

Xerostomia in type 1 DM seems to be dependent upon glucose control (Conner *et al*, 1970; Tenovuo *et al*, 1986; Sreebny *et al*, 1992; Swanljung *et al*, 1992) whereas in type 2 DM, salivary secretion seems to be particularly influenced by xerogenic drugs and autonomic neuropathy (Meurman *et al*, 1998).

Taste impairment

Diabetes mellitus can cause a loss of the sweet taste sensation (Lawson *et al*, 1979; Le Floch *et al*, 1989) indeed this may be present at time of diagnosis. Although the taste impairment is usually not severe, and is generally tolerated without complaint, the undiagnosed diabetic patient may favour sweet, sugary food

hence exacerbating any hyperglycaemia. Many patients with polydipsia with hyperglycaemia have a predilection for sweet drinks, which have a high content of refined carbohydrate (Lamey *et al*, 1992). Altered taste sensation of DM may reflect taste receptor anomalies (Hardy *et al*, 1981). In addition, sulphonylureas may cause an alteration of the taste sensation (Rollin, 1978).

Sialosis

It has been previously reported that almost 10–25% of patients with long-standing type 1 and 2 DM can develop asymptomatic, non-inflammatory, non-neoplastic enlargement of the salivary glands (Russotto, 1981; Murrah, 1985; Lamey *et al*, 1992; Greenspan, 1996), however recently, a low correlation between DM and parotid enlargement was reported, only 3% of 405 patients with DM type 1 being found to have such salivary gland enlargement (Guggenheimer *et al*, 2000a).

Both parotid glands are usually affected, although the submandibular glands may be also involved (Russotto, 1981; Greenspan, 1996). Histologically the enlargement, sometimes termed sialosis, comprises fatty infiltration of the interstitium (Davidson *et al*, 1969) and enlargement of acinar cells (Donath and Seifert, 1975). It is suggested that patients may be predisposed to calculus formation and obstruction, however in general salivary function is preserved and the sialosis does not influence the duration nor the severity of DM.

Dental caries

It remains unclear if patients with DM are at increased risk of dental caries (Karjalainen *et al*, 1997; Ponte *et al*,

2001). Cross-sectional and controlled studies (Kirk and Kinirons, 1991; Jones *et al*, 1992; Swanljung *et al*, 1992) have reported conflicting results (Reuterving *et al*, 1986; Harrison and Bowen, 1987). Several studies suggested that poor glycaemic control could be a risk factor for caries in children and adolescents with type 1 DM (Karjalainen *et al*, 1997), while type 2 DM seemed have no effect on the prevalence of caries (Collin *et al*, 1998). Although dietary intake of carbohydrates by diabetics is lower than that of non-diabetics, a higher number of meals per day could promote caries development (Lamey *et al*, 1992; Karjalainen *et al*, 1997).

Any increased liability to caries in DM may of course reflect elevated concentrations of glucose in saliva and gingival crevicular fluid of diabetic patients (Kjellman, 1970) but more likely individuals with DM develop caries as a consequence of poor oral hygiene (Karjalainen *et al*, 1997).

Periodontal disease

Although not universally agreed, an increased in the risk of periodontal disease association with both type 1 and type 2 DM has been reported (Albrecht *et al*, 1987; Bacic *et al*, 1989; Emrich *et al*, 1991; Moore *et al*, 1999; American Academy of Periodontology, 2000).

Uncontrolled or poorly controlled DM may give rise to an increased susceptibility to oral infections, including periodontitis (Bartolucci and Parkes, 1981; Ureles, 1983) and diabetic patients with severe periodontal disease are much more at risk of microvascular and macrovascular diabetic complications (Thorstensson *et al*, 1995; The American Academy of Periodontology, 1999; Lalla and D'Ambrosio, 2001). The incidence of periodontitis increases among diabetic subjects after puberty and as the adult population ages (Cohen *et al*, 1970; Cianciola *et al*, 1982; Galea *et al*, 1986; Albrecht *et al*, 1987; de Pommereau *et al*, 1992; Seppala *et al*, 1993). It has been suggested also that the disease is associated with poor glycaemic control and hyperglycaemia (American Academy of Periodontology, 2000). It has also been reported that patients with type 1 DM have an increased risk for developing periodontal disease with age, past and current cigarette smoking, infrequent dental attendance (Moore *et al*, 1999).

Epidemiological studies of Pima Indians, a group with an extremely high prevalence of type 2 DM (Shlossman *et al*, 1990), have found that, irrespective of age, affected patients have a higher prevalence of periodontal disease than other ethnic groups. Other studies (Emrich *et al*, 1991) have also found that patients with type 2 DM were more likely to have periodontal disease from non-diabetic control subjects, this risk not being influenced by age, gender or oral hygiene. Some studies however, have found that periodontal attachment loss, probing depth and gingivitis occur more frequently and more extensively in moderately and poorly controlled diabetic patients of either type than in those with good glucose control (Shlossman *et al*, 1990; Katz *et al*, 1991; Tervonen and Oliver, 1993).

However, while the above-mentioned would seem to be the most consistent trends, there is considerable

conflicting data on any possible increased liability of periodontal disease in DM (Porter and Scully, 1994). Potentially a number of factors could contribute to any enhanced of periodontal disease in diabetes (American Academy of Periodontology, 2000) notably the oral microflora, phagocytic and connective-tissue defects of affected individuals.

Several reports have suggested that the oral flora in patients with DM is different to that of healthy subjects. Experimentally induced DM in rats causes a shift in the subgingival bacteria to a more periodontopathic flora, predominantly composed of Gram-negative bacteria (McNamara *et al*, 1982). An increase of *Capnocytophaga* in patients with type 1 DM has been reported (Mashimo *et al*, 1983), although other studies have failed to show any statistical association between these bacterial species and periodontal disease in type 1 DM (Zambon *et al*, 1988; Sastrowijoto *et al*, 1989; Sbordone *et al*, 1998).

More recent studies, however, suggest that the composition of the subgingival microorganisms, detected by immunofluorescence and cultural methods in type 2 DM patients is similar to that found in chronic adult periodontitis (Zambon *et al*, 1988). A study of five periodontal pathogens (*Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Fusobacteria nucleatum*, *Eikenella corrodens*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Prevotella intermedia*) in individuals with type 1 and type 2 DM revealed that the duration, type, and metabolic control of diabetes had no statistically significant effect upon the prevalence of these microorganisms (Tervonen *et al*, 1994). Another recent study (Yuan *et al*, 2001) using PCR techniques, found that there was no difference in the frequency of detection of putative periodontal pathogens between type 2 DM and healthy subjects.

Polymorphonuclear (PMN) leucocyte function (chemotaxis, adherence, phagocytosis and killing) can be reduced in DM leading to impaired host resistance to infection (Hill *et al*, 1974; Molenaar *et al*, 1976; Bagdade *et al*, 1978; Repine *et al*, 1980; Manouchehr-Pour *et al*, 1981; Iacono *et al*, 1985; Leeper *et al*, 1985; Wilson and Reeves, 1986; Kjersem *et al*, 1988; Marhofer *et al*, 1992). However, most of these PMN anomalies can usually be corrected by good glucose control.

Any increased risk of periodontal diseases in DM may be influenced by hyperglycaemia-associated reduction in cell proliferation and growth and synthesis of collagen and glycosaminoglycans (Golub *et al*, 1978; Weringer and Arquilla, 1981; Lien *et al*, 1984; Seibold *et al*, 1985).

Fungal infections

Oral Candida and candidosis

Poor control of DM may predispose to superficial and systemic fungal infections (Fisher *et al*, 1987; Hill *et al*, 1989; Lamey *et al*, 1992; Finney *et al*, 1997; Guggenheimer *et al*, 2000b; Lalla and D'Ambrosio, 2001), and the clinical course of oral candidosis can be more severe than that of patients without DM. However, although the majority of reports show a correlation between *Candida* and DM (Ueta *et al*, 1993; Guggenheimer *et al*,

2000b), the notion that candidal infection is more severe and common in DM than healthy individuals, remains unresolved (Samaranayake, 1990; Vazquez and Sobel, 1995). It also remains unclear if the candidal load [e.g. in terms of colony forming units (cfu)] is a true reflection of likely clinical manifestation of fungal disease and if the oral load of *Candida* increases liability to systemic infection.

Candida albicans is the most prevalent *Candida* species isolated either from the oral cavity of diabetic patients and healthy individuals (Dorocka-Bobkowska et al, 1996; Willis et al, 1999). Recently, *C. dubliniensis*, a newly described species of *Candida*, mostly isolated from the oral cavity of HIV-infected patients, was isolated from the oral cavity of 58 of 318 insulin-treated DM patients (Willis et al, 2000a). *Candida dubliniensis* has also been isolated from the oral cavity of patients with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes (Manfredi et al, 2002) and seems to have a predilection for dentate patients. The pathogenic significance of this new species in the oral cavity of patients with DM remains unknown. The frequency and density of *Candida* colonization and the development of oral candidosis in DM patients seem more the result of a combination of host and fungal risk factors (e.g. degree of glycaemic control, presence of dentures, smoking habits, age, medications, adherence of *Candida* spp.), rather than any other single factor (Darwazeh et al, 1990, 1991a) (Table 6). As with healthy individuals, there could be great variation in the isolation rates of oral *Candida* in patients affected by DM. A recent study (Manfredi et al, 2002) found that patients with DM are no more likely to harbour yeast in their oral cavity than healthy individuals, thus diabetes itself may not place a person at increased risk of fungal carriage or clinical infection although poor diabetic control, with a high level of glycosylated haemoglobin (> 12%) (Hill et al, 1989; Vazquez and Sobel, 1995) may increase the susceptibility to fungal infection (Gibson et al, 1990; Ueta et al, 1993; Willis et al, 2000b).

The hyperglycaemia of poorly controlled DM may influence the pathogenic colonization of *Candida* (Samaranayake and MacFarlane, 1982). Yeast growth and adhesion may be enhanced by high glucose concentration (Samaranayake et al, 1984) in blood (Odds et al, 1978) and in saliva (Knight and Fletcher, 1971), which can serve as nutrients for *Candida* organisms. The reduced *Candida* killing capacity by neutrophils in the presence of high glucose concentrations may also account for any increased colonization by *Candida* (Hostetter, 1990; Ueta et al, 1993; Vazquez and Sobel, 1995). *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that in type 1 DM, the adhesion of *Candida* seems to be greater with palatal cells than buccal mucosal epithelial cells. The adhesion of *Candida* strains to oral mucosa seems to be influenced by the availability of sugars in the growth medium and by the host *Candida* strain, that could modify its surface composition in response to high salivary glucose concentration (Willis et al, 2000a). It has been also reported that the adhesion of *Candida* to buccal epithelial cells collected from patients affected by DM is significantly greater than adhesion obtained from

Table 6 Oral *Candida* carriage in patients with diabetes mellitus investigated by different authors

Authors ^a	Oral <i>Candida</i> carriage in diabetics (%)	Methods of sampling	Total number of diabetic patients investigated	Number of patients with DM type 1	Number of patients with DM type 2	Oral <i>Candida</i> carriage in controls (%)	Increased oral <i>Candida</i> carriage in DM patients in denture wearers	Oral <i>Candida</i> carriage related to type of DM	Increased oral <i>Candida</i> carriage with poor metabolic control
Barlow et al (1969)	62.5	Swab	24	— ^a	— ^a	35	Not investigated	Not investigated	No
Tapper-Jones et al (1981)	60	Imprint culture	50	— ^a	— ^a	42	Yes	Not investigated	Not investigated
Fisher et al (1987)	51	Oral rinse	412	232	180	—	Yes	Not investigated	No
Lamey et al (1988)	57	Oral rinse	106	57	52	27	Yes	No difference	No
Hill et al (1989)	49	Swab	51	— ^a	— ^a	—	Yes	Not investigated	Yes
Darwazeh et al (1990)	54	Swab and smear	50	19	31	40	No	No difference	No
Aly et al (1992)	66	Swab and oral rinse	436	231	205	—	No	Yes: more <i>Candida</i> carriers in DM type 1	No (oral rinse), yes (palatal carriage in dentures)
Dorocka-Bobkowska et al (1996)	54	Swab and imprint culture	70	0	70	41	Yes	Not investigated	No
Willis et al (2000a,b)	77	Oral rinse	414	414	0	—	Not investigated	Not investigated	No
Guggenheimer et al (2000a,b)	23	Cytologic smear	405	405	0	5.7	Yes	Not investigated	Yes
Manfredi et al (2002)	60	Oral rinse	137	56	83	57	Yes	No difference	No

^aIn these studies the researchers did not differentiate between type 1 DM and type 2 DM.

buccal cells from non-diabetic controls (Darwazeh *et al*, 1990). Thus in diabetic patients intrinsic qualitative changes on the cell surface receptors may modulate yeast adhesion (Samaranayake, 1990).

It has been suggested that oral carriage of *Candida* species (in terms of cfu ml⁻¹) may be influenced by the type of DM. However, there is no confirmed association between oral candidal carriage and type (or severity) of DM. The different reported rates of oral carriage of *Candida* obtained (Aly *et al*, 1992; Bai *et al*, 1995; Manfredi *et al*, 2002) may reflect the different sample sizes or differences in the sample populations (e.g. age, diabetic therapies, dentures status) rather than any influence by diabetes.

Indeed, denture wearing may influence yeast colonization in patients with DM (Tapper-Jones *et al*, 1981; Fisher *et al*, 1987; Lamey *et al*, 1988; Hill *et al*, 1989; Aly *et al*, 1992; Dorocka-Bobkowska *et al*, 1996; Willis *et al*, 1999) more than any systemic aspect of DM.

It has been suggested that there is a correlation between *Candida*-associated denture stomatitis and type 2 DM (Odds, 1988; Budtz-Jorgensen, 1990), particularly in patients with DM-associated peripheral neuropathy. Hyperglycaemia and high salivary glucose levels can lead to some nutritionally derived enhancement of candidal growth, but probably they are not the only factors that enhance the growth of *Candida* species in the oral cavity of patients with DM (Darwazeh *et al*, 1991b; Vitkov *et al*, 1999). It is probable that the association of type 2 DM with denture stomatitis reflects some reduced immunosurveillance to *Candida*.

As in the individuals without DM, tobacco smoking may favour the carriage of *Candida* in diabetics: indeed it has been shown that the association of smoking habits and wearing dentures increase both the frequency and the density of *Candida* colonization (Tapper-Jones *et al*, 1981).

Rhinocerebral zygomycosis (mucormycosis)

Diabetic ketoacidosis is a major predisposing factor of the rare infection caused by fungi of the family *Mucoraceae* of the class Zygomycetes (Sugar, 1992; Nussbaum and Hall, 1994; Eliopoulos, 1995): indeed approximately 50% of patients with rhinocerebral mucormycosis have DM (Joshi *et al*, 1999). This infection usually initially arises in the nose or palate and manifests as bloody ulceration of the nose or as a pseudomembrane with ulceration of the palate. The infection spreads to the paranasal sinuses, orbits or brain; soft and hard tissue necrosis is prominent because of blood vessel invasion. Fever, lethargy, headache and facial swelling are often present. Orbital invasion causes proptosis, decreased ocular motion and loss of vision. Thrombosis of the venous sinuses or carotid system may occur (Eliopoulos, 1995). Treatment requires prompt control of DM, correction of any acidosis, aggressive surgical debridement of infected tissue and systemic antifungal therapy.

Aspergillosis

Aspergillus species are filamentous saprophytes that live in soil and decaying vegetation. Aspergillosis

particularly affects patients with prolonged and profound neutropenia and less frequently, invasive aspergillosis may occur in patients with DM (Rinaldi, 1983). Infections can arise in any part of the respiratory tract, including the paranasal sinuses, larynx and lungs. Primary lesions can also be localized to the eyes, ears and oral cavity (Benson-Mitchell *et al*, 1994; Myoken *et al*, 1995) and underlying structures, as well the skin of the face. Oral lesions can be predominant in immunocompromised patients and are described as grey necrotic ulcers affecting the gingiva, alveolar bone, palate or occasionally the posterior tongue (Dreizen *et al*, 1985; Napoli and Donegan, 1991; Chambers *et al*, 1995; Myoken *et al*, 1996). Infections may be spread to brain, bone or endocardium via bloodstream (Scully and de Almeida, 1992). Therapy for invasive aspergillosis often requires systemic amphotericin, and systemic azoles (Vazquez and Sobel, 1995). Surgical therapy, either alone or in combination with antifungal therapy, may be required in selected patients with localized disease (Scully and de Almeida, 1992; Vazquez and Sobel, 1995).

Oral lichen planus

An increased incidence of oral lichen planus (OLP) in DM, particularly the erosive form, has been reported in some groups of patients with DM (Lundstrom, 1983; Bagan-Sebastian *et al*, 1992). However, these studies suggest that there is no association between OLP and DM. Several studies have reported only a low prevalence of OLP in DM patients (Borghelli *et al*, 1993; Van Dis and Parks, 1995; Petrou-Amerikanou *et al*, 1998; Scully *et al*, 1998) (Table 7). The reverse association, incidence of DM in patients with OLP, has also been studied and has been reported to range from 1.6 to 37% (Grinspan *et al*, 1966; Lundstrom, 1983; Bagan *et al*, 1993; Petrou-Amerikanou *et al*, 1998; Romero *et al*, 2002). These variations may be explained by the different methods and criteria used to classify both OLP and DM diseases (Petrou-Amerikanou *et al*, 1998).

An association between OLP, DM and hypertension has been suggested (Grinspan syndrome, Grinspan *et al*, 1966), although this probably reflects lichenoid reactions associated with sulphonylureas and/or antihypertensive (e.g. β -blockers) drug therapy reported by several studies (Scully and el Kom, 1985; Rees and Otomo-Corgel, 1992;

Table 7 Prevalence of oral lichen planus (OLP) (%) in patients affected by DM

Authors	Number of patients with DM	Prevalence of OLP (%)
Grinspan <i>et al</i> (1966)	20	40
Grinspan <i>et al</i> (1966)	70	5.71
Borghelli <i>et al</i> (1986)	240	0.42
Borghelli <i>et al</i> (1987)	584	0.17
Albrecht <i>et al</i> (1992)	1600	1
Borghelli <i>et al</i> (1993)	729	0.55
Van Dis and Parks (1995)	273	4
Petrou-Amerikanou <i>et al</i> (1998)	492	3.65

Robertson and Wray, 1992; McCartan and McCreary, 1997; Savage, 1997; Scully *et al*, 1998). Treatment of OLP associated with DM is not specific and a wide range of therapies are commonly used for symptomatic lesions. Topical treatments are usually preferred to avoid any interferences with the medications used to control DM.

Geographical and fissured tongue

Geographical tongue (benign migratory glossitis; erythema migrans), is a common inflammatory disorder that typically affects the dorsum of the tongue. An association between geographical tongue and DM (Wysocki and Daley, 1987) has been suggested, as the former has been reported to be present in up to 8.0% of patients with DM (Wysocki and Daley, 1987). However, a recent study, which had investigated oral soft tissue pathologies in 405 type 1 DM patients (Guggenheimer *et al*, 2000a), did not report a statistically significant correlation between geographic tongue and DM.

Fissuring in the tongue, can affect about 5% of the general population (Neville *et al*, 1995). The fissuring is probably genetically determined (Kullaa-Mikkonen *et al*, 1985) although a higher prevalence (8% in comparison with 2% of no-diabetic population) has been reported in type 1 DM patients (Farman, 1976; Guggenheimer *et al*, 2000a), particularly patients who have type 1 DM of long duration. There is, however, little other supporting data for this suggested association.

Conclusion

Several oral diseases are frequently related to DM. The degree of metabolic control seems to influence the susceptibility of patients affected by DM to periodontal diseases, presence of fungal infections and taste alterations. Less clear is whether diabetes is correlated to OLP and to dental caries, as the various studies in the literature have reported widely diverging results.

References

Albrecht M, Banoczy J, Baranyi E *et al* (1987). Studies of dental and oral changes of pregnant diabetic women. *Acta Diabetol Lat* **24**: 1–7.

Albrecht M, Banoczy J, Dinya E, Tamas G Jr. (1992). Occurrence of oral leukoplakia and lichen planus in diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Pathol Med* **21**: 364–366.

Aly FZ, Blackwell CC, MacKenzie DA *et al* (1992). Factors influencing oral carriage of yeasts among individuals with diabetes mellitus. *Epidemiol Infect* **109**: 507–518.

American Academy of Periodontology (2000). Diabetes and periodontal diseases. Committee on Research, Science and Therapy. *J Periodontol* **71**: 664–678.

Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS (2001). Type 1 diabetes: new perspectives on disease pathogenesis and treatment. *Lancet* **358**: 221–229.

Atkinson MA, Maclaren NK (1994). The pathogenesis of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* **331**: 1428–1436.

Bacic M, Ciglar I, Granic M *et al* (1989). Dental status in a group of adult diabetic patients. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* **17**: 313–316.

Bagan JV, Donat JS, Penarrocha M *et al* (1993). Oral lichen planus and diabetes mellitus. A clinico-pathological study. *Bull Group Int Rech Sci Stomatol Odontol* **36**: 3–6.

Bagan-Sebastian JV, Milian-Masanet MA, Penarrocha-Diago M *et al* (1992). A clinical study of 205 patients with oral lichen planus. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* **50**: 116–118.

Bagdade JD, Stewart M, Walters E (1978). Impaired granulocyte adherence. A reversible defect in host defense in patients with poorly controlled diabetes. *Diabetes* **27**: 677–681.

Bai KY, Reddy CD, Abu-Talib SH (1995). Oral candidal carriage in young insulin dependent diabetics. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* **13**: 20–23.

Bailey CJ (2000). The rise and fall of troglitazone. *Diabet Med* **17**: 414–415.

Banerji MA, Lebovitz HE (1989). Insulin-sensitive and insulin-resistant variants in NIDDM. *Diabetes* **38**: 784–792.

Barlow AJ, Chattaway FW (1969). Observation on the carriage of *Candida albicans* in man. *Br J Dermatol* **81**: 103–106.

Barnett AH, Eff C, Leslie RD *et al* (1981). Diabetes in identical twins. A study of 200 pairs. *Diabetologia* **20**: 87–93.

Bartolucci EG, Parkes RB (1981). Accelerated periodontal breakdown in uncontrolled diabetes. Pathogenesis and treatment. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **52**: 387–390.

Bell JI, Hockaday TD (1996). Diabetes mellitus. In: Weatkerall DJ, Ledingham JGG, Warrell DA, eds. *Oxford textbook of medicine*. Oxford Medical Publications, Oxford University Press: Oxford-New York-Tokyo, pp. 1448–1504.

Bennet PH, Seltzer HS (1970). Diagnosis and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. In: Ellenberg M, Refkin H, eds. *Diabetes mellitus: theory and practice*. McGraw-Hill: New York.

Benson-Mitchell R, Tolley N, Croft CB *et al* (1994). Aspergillosis of the larynx. *J Laryngol Otol* **108**: 883–885.

Bingley PJ, Bonifacio E, Ziegler AG *et al* (2001). Proposed guidelines on screening for risk of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **24**: 398.

Borghelli RF, Policicchio JE, Stirparo MA, Andrade JH, Centofanti MH (1986). Developmental disturbances in oral mucosa: an epidemiological study in a young male population from Argentina. *Acta Odontol Latinoam* **31**: 33–42.

Borghelli RF, Policicchio JE, Chuchurru JA, Gaig HD, Gutierrez RA, Sforza RR (1987). History of oral apthae and smoking in university students. *Rev Asoc Odontol Argent* **75**: 24–26.

Borghelli RF, Pettinari IL, Chuchurru JA *et al* (1993). Oral lichen planus in patients with diabetes. An epidemiologic study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **75**: 498–500.

Budtz-Jorgensen E (1990). *Candida*-associated denture stomatitis and angular cheilitis. In: Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW, eds. *Oral candidosis*. Wright-Butterworth and Co. Ltd: London, pp. 156–183.

Burrows W (1979). Diabetes mellitus. In: Kelly A, ed. *Textbook of microbiology*. JB Lippincott: Philadelphia, pp. 2022–2030.

Cantor AB, Krischer JP, Cuthbertson DD *et al* (1995). Age and family relationship accentuate the risk of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) in relatives of patients with IDDM. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **80**: 3739–3743.

Chambers MS, Lyzak WA, Martin JW *et al* (1995). Oral complications associated with aspergillosis in patients with a hematologic malignancy. Presentation and treatment. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **79**: 559–563.

- Cianciola LJ, Park BH, Bruck E *et al* (1982). Prevalence of periodontal disease in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (juvenile diabetes). *J Am Dent Assoc* **104**: 653–660.
- Cohen DW, Friedman LA, Shapiro J *et al* (1970). Diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease: two-year longitudinal observations. I. *J Periodontol* **41**: 709–712.
- Collin HL, Uusitupa M, Niskanen L *et al* (1998). Caries in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **85**: 680–685.
- Collin HL, Niskanen L, Uusitupa M *et al* (2000). Oral symptoms and signs in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. A focus on diabetic neuropathy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **90**: 299–305.
- Conner S, Iranpour B, Mills J (1970). Alteration in parotid salivary flow in diabetes mellitus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **30**: 55–59.
- Darwazeh AM, Lamey PJ, Samaranayake LP *et al* (1990). The relationship between colonisation, secretor status and in-vitro adhesion of *Candida albicans* to buccal epithelial cells from diabetics. *J Med Microbiol* **33**: 43–49.
- Darwazeh AM, Lamey PJ, Lewis MA *et al* (1991a). Systemic fluconazole therapy and *in vitro* adhesion of *Candida albicans* to human buccal epithelial cells. *J Oral Pathol Med* **20**: 17–19.
- Darwazeh AM, MacFarlane TW, McCuish A *et al* (1991b). Mixed salivary glucose levels and candidal carriage in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Pathol Med* **20**: 280–283.
- Davidson D, Leibel BS, Berris B (1969). Asymptomatic parotid gland enlargement in diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* **70**: 31–38.
- Donath K, Seifert G (1975). Ultrastructural studies of the parotid glands in sialadenosis. *Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histol* **365**: 119–135.
- Dorocka-Bobkowska B, Budtz-Jorgensen E, Wloch S (1996). Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for denture stomatitis. *J Oral Pathol Med* **25**: 411–415.
- Dreizen S, Bodey GP, McCredie KB *et al* (1985). Oropharyngeal aspergillosis in acute leukaemia. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **59**: 499–504.
- Eliopoulos G (1995). Diabetes and infections. In: Kenneth L, Becker, eds. *Principles and practice of endocrinology and metabolism*. JB Lippincott: Philadelphia.
- Emrich LJ, Shlossman M, Genco RJ (1991). Periodontal disease in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [see comments]. *J Periodontol* **62**: 123–131.
- Farman AG (1976). Atrophic lesions of the tongue: a prevalence study among 175 diabetic patients. *J Oral Pathol* **5**: 255–264.
- Finney LS, Finney MO, Gonzalez-Campoy JM (1997). What the mouth has to say about diabetes. Careful examinations can avert serious complications. *Postgrad Med* **102**: 117–126.
- Fisher BM, Lamey PJ, Samaranayake LP *et al* (1987). Carriage of *Candida* species in the oral cavity in diabetic patients: relationship to glycaemic control. *J Oral Pathol* **16**: 282–284.
- Fujimoto WY, Leonetti DL, Kinyoun JL *et al* (1987). Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance among second-generation Japanese-American men. *Diabetes* **36**: 721–729.
- Galea H, Aganovic I, Aganovic M (1986). The dental caries and periodontal disease experience of patients with early onset insulin dependent diabetes. *Int Dent J* **36**: 219–224.
- Garber A (1998). Diabetes mellitus. In: Stein JH, ed. *Internal medicine*. Mosby: St Louis, MO, pp. 1850–1854.
- Gibson J, Lamey PJ, Lewis M *et al* (1990). Oral manifestations of previously undiagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Pathol Med* **19**: 284–287.
- Goldstein DE, Little RR, Lorenz RA *et al* (2003). Tests of glycemia in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **26** (Suppl. 1): 106–108.
- Golub LM, Schneir M, Ramamurthy NS (1978). Enhanced collagenase activity in diabetic rat gingiva: *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence. *J Dent Res* **57**: 520–525.
- Greenspan D (1996). Xerostomia: diagnosis and management. *Oncology (Huntingt)* **10**: 7–11.
- Grinspan D, Diaz J, Villapol LO *et al* (1966). Lichen ruber planus de la muquense buccale. Son association à un diabete. *Bull Soc Franc Dermatol Syph* **73**: 898–899.
- Guggenheimer J, Moore PA, Rossie K *et al* (2000a). Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and oral soft tissue pathologies. I. Prevalence and characteristics of non-candidal lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **89**: 563–569.
- Guggenheimer J, Moore PA, Rossie K *et al* (2000b). Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and oral soft tissue pathologies: II. Prevalence and characteristics of *Candida* and candidal lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **89**: 570–576.
- Hardy SL, Brennand CP, Wyse BW (1981). Taste thresholds of individuals with diabetes mellitus and of control subjects. *J Am Diet Assoc* **79**: 286–289.
- Harris MI (1989). Impaired glucose tolerance in the US population. *Diabetes Care* **12**: 464–474.
- Harris MI, Couric CC, Reiber G *et al* (1995). *Diabetes in America*. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.
- Harrison R, Bowen WH (1987). Periodontal health, dental caries, and metabolic control in insulin-dependent diabetic children and adolescents. *Pediatr Dent* **9**: 283–286.
- Hill HR, Sauls HS, Dettloff JL *et al* (1974). Impaired leukotactic responsiveness in patients with juvenile diabetes mellitus. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* **2**: 395–403.
- Hill LV, Tan MH, Pereira LH *et al* (1989). Association of oral candidiasis with diabetic control. *J Clin Pathol* **42**: 502–505.
- Hirsch IB (1999). Type 1 diabetes mellitus and the use of flexible insulin regimens. *Am Fam Physician* **60**: 2343–2346.
- Hostetter MK (1990). Handicaps to host defence. Effects of hyperglycaemia on C3 and *Candida albicans*. *Diabetes* **39**: 271–275.
- Iacono VJ, Singh S, Golub LM *et al* (1985). *In vivo* assay of crevicular leukocyte migration. Its development and potential applications. *J Periodontol* **56**: 56–62.
- Jones RB, McCallum RM, Kay EJ *et al* (1992). Oral health and oral health behaviour in a population of diabetic outpatient clinic attenders. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* **20**: 204–207.
- Joshi N, Caputo GM, Weitekamp MR *et al* (1999). Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus [see comments]. *N Engl J Med* **341**: 1906–1912.
- Kannel WB, McGee DL (1979). Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The Framingham study. *JAMA* **241**: 2035–2038.
- Karjalainen KM, Knuuttila ML, Kaar ML (1997). Relationship between caries and level of metabolic balance in children and adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Caries Res* **31**: 13–18.
- Katz PP, Wirthlin MRJ, Szpunar SM *et al* (1991). Epidemiology and prevention of periodontal disease in individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **14**: 375–385.
- Kirk JM, Kinirons MJ (1991). Dental health of young insulin dependent diabetic subjects in Northern Ireland. *Community Dent Health* **8**: 335–341.

- Kjellman O (1970). The presence of glucose in gingival exudates and resting saliva of subjects with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus. *Sven Tandlak Tidsskr* **63**: 11–19.
- Kjersem H, Hilsted J, Madsbad S *et al* (1988). Polymorphonuclear leukocyte dysfunction during short term metabolic changes from normo- to hyperglycaemia in type 1 (insulin dependent) diabetic patients. *Infection* **16**: 215–221.
- Knight L, Fletcher J (1971). Growth of *Candida albicans* in saliva: stimulation by glucose associated with antibiotics, corticosteroids, and diabetes mellitus. *J Infect Dis* **123**: 371–377.
- Krentz AJ, Bailey CJ, Melander A (2000). Thiazolidinediones for type 2 diabetes. New agents reduce insulin resistance but need long term clinical trials. *BMJ* **321**: 252–253.
- Kullaa-Mikkonen A, Tenovu J, Sorvari T (1985). Changes in composition of whole saliva in patients with fissured tongue. *Scand J Dent Res* **93**: 522–528.
- Lalla RV, D'Ambrosio JA (2001). Dental management considerations for the patient with diabetes mellitus. *J Am Dent Assoc* **132**: 1425–1432.
- Lamey PJ, Darwaza A, Fisher BM *et al* (1988). Secretor status, candidal carriage and candidal infection in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Pathol* **17**: 354–357.
- Lamey PJ, Darwazeh AM, Frier BM (1992). Oral disorders associated with diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* **9**: 410–416.
- Lawson WB, Zeidler A, Rubenstein A (1979). Taste detection and preferences in diabetics and their relatives. *Psychosom Med* **41**: 219–227.
- Le Floch JP, Le Lieve G, Sadoun J *et al* (1989). Taste impairment and related factors in type I diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **12**: 173–178.
- Leeper SH, Kalkwarf KL, Strom EA (1985). Oral status of 'controlled' adolescent type I diabetics. *J Oral Med* **40**: 127–133.
- Lien YH, Stern R, Fu JC *et al* (1984). Inhibition of collagen fibril formation *in vitro* and subsequent cross-linking by glucose. *Science* **225**: 1489–1491.
- Little JM, Fallace DA, Miller CS *et al* (1997). *Dental management of the medically compromised patients*. Mosby: St Louis, MO.
- Lomasky SJ, D'Eramo G, Shamooh H *et al* (1990). Relationship of insulin secretion and glycemic response to dietary intervention in non-insulin-dependent diabetes. *Arch Intern Med* **150**: 169–172.
- Lugari R, Dall'Aglie E, Magnati G *et al* (1988). Residual beta-cell pancreatic function and renal complication in insulin-dependent (Type I) diabetes. *Med Sci Res* **16**: 245–246.
- Lundstrom IM (1983). Incidence of diabetes mellitus in patients with oral lichen planus. *Int J Oral Surg* **12**: 147–152.
- Manfredi M, McCullough MJ, Al Karaawi ZM *et al* (2002). The isolation, identification and molecular analysis of *Candida* spp. isolated from the oral cavities of patients with diabetes mellitus. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **17**: 181–185.
- Manouchehr-Pour M, Spagnuolo PJ, Rodman HM *et al* (1981). Impaired neutrophil chemotaxis in diabetic patients with severe periodontitis. *J Dent Res* **60**: 729–730.
- Marchetti P, Tognarelli M, Giannarelli R *et al* (1989). Decreased salivary glucose secretory rate: usefulness for detection of diabetic patients with autonomic neuropathy. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **7**: 181–186.
- Marhoffer W, Stein M, Maeser E *et al* (1992). Impairment of polymorphonuclear leukocyte function and metabolic control of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **15**: 256–260.
- Mashimo PA, Yamamoto Y, Slots J *et al* (1983). The periodontal microflora of juvenile diabetics. Culture, immunofluorescence, and serum antibody studies. *J Periodontol* **54**: 420–430.
- McCartan BE, McCreary CE (1997). Oral lichenoid drug eruptions. *Oral Dis* **3**: 58–63.
- McNamara TF, Ramamurthy NS, Mulvihill JE *et al* (1982). The development of an altered gingival crevicular microflora in the alloxan-diabetic rat. *Arch Oral Biol* **27**: 217–223.
- Mealey BL (1998). Impact of advances in diabetes care on dental treatment of the diabetic patient. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* **19**: 41–48, 50.
- Meurman JH, Collin HL, Niskanen L *et al* (1998). Saliva in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients and control subjects: the role of the autonomic nervous system. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **86**: 69–76.
- Molenaar DM, Palumbo PJ, Wilson WR *et al* (1976). Leukocyte chemotaxis in diabetic patients and their non-diabetic first-degree relatives. *Diabetes* **25**: 880–883.
- Moore PA, Weyant RJ, Mongelluzzo MB *et al* (1999). Type 1 diabetes mellitus and oral health: assessment of periodontal disease. *J Periodontol* **70**: 409–417.
- Murrah VA (1985). Diabetes mellitus and associated oral manifestations: a review. *J Oral Pathol* **14**: 271–281.
- Myoken Y, Sugata T, Kyo T *et al* (1995). Oral *Fusarium* infection in a granulocytopenic patient with acute myelogenous leukaemia: a case report. *J Oral Pathol Med* **24**: 237–240.
- Myoken Y, Sugata T, Kyo TI *et al* (1996). Pathological features of invasive oral aspergillosis in patients with hematologic malignancies. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* **54**: 263–270.
- Napoli JA, Donegan JO (1991). Aspergillosis and necrosis of the maxilla: a case report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* **49**: 532–534.
- Nathan DM (1993). Diabetes Mellitus. In: Rubenstein E, Federman DD, eds. *Scientific American medicine*. Scientific American: New York, pp. 1–27.
- National Diabetes Data Group (1979). Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. *Diabetes* **28**: 1039–1057.
- National Diabetes Data Group (1985) *Diabetes in America. Diabetes data compiled 1984*. National Diabetes Data Group: Washington, DC, USA. Rep. NIH publication no. 85-1268.
- Neville B, Damm DD, Allen CM *et al* (1995). *Oral and maxillo-facial pathology*. WB Saunders: Philadelphia, pp. 11–12.
- Newman B, Selby JV, King MC *et al* (1987). Concordance for type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus in male twins. *Diabetologia* **30**: 763–768.
- Newrick PG, Bowman C, Green D *et al* (1991). Parotid salivary secretion in diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *J Diabet Complications* **5**: 35–37.
- Nussbaum ES, Hall WA (1994). Rhinocerebral mucormycosis: changing patterns of disease. *Surg Neurol* **41**: 152–156.
- Odds FC (1988). Factors that predispose the host to candidiasis. In: Brandt ME, Calderone RA, eds. *Candida and candidiasis: a review and bibliography*, Bailliere Tindall: London, UK. pp. 94–114.
- Odds FC, Evans EG, Taylor MA *et al* (1978). Prevalence of pathogenic yeasts and humoral antibodies to *Candida* in diabetic patients. *J Clin Pathol* **31**: 840–844.
- Partanen J, Niskanen L, Lehtinen J *et al* (1995). Natural history of peripheral neuropathy in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* **333**: 89–94.
- Parving HH, Oxenboll B, Svendsen PA *et al* (1982). Early detection of patients at risk of developing diabetic nephropathy. A longitudinal study of urinary albumin excretion. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* **100**: 550–555.

- Petrou-Amerikanou C, Markopoulos AK, Belazi M et al (1998). Prevalence of oral lichen planus in diabetes mellitus according to the type of diabetes. *Oral Dis* **4**: 37–40.
- Pickup JC, Collins AC, Keen H (1984). Urinary insulin excretion rate: an index of free insulinaemia in insulin-dependent diabetics. *Diabet Med* **1**: 291–294.
- de Pommereau V, Dargent-Pare C, Robert JJ et al (1992). Periodontal status in insulin-dependent diabetic adolescents. *J Clin Periodontol* **19**: 628–632.
- Ponte E, Tabaj D, Maglione M et al (2001). Diabetes mellitus and oral disease. *Acta Diabetol* **38**: 57–62.
- Porter SR, Scully C (1994). Periodontal aspects of systemic disease. Some therapeutical concepts. In: Lang N, ed, *European Workshop of Periodontology*, Quintessence: London, UK. pp. 415–438.
- Reaven GM, Bernstein R, Davis B et al (1976). Nonketotic diabetes mellitus: insulin deficiency or insulin resistance? *Am J Med* **60**: 80–88.
- Rees TD (1994). The diabetic dental patient. *Dent Clin North Am* **38**: 447–463.
- Rees TD, Otomo-Corgel J (1992). The diabetic patient. In: Wilson TG, Korman KS, Newman MG, eds. *Advances in periodontics*. Quintessence Publishing: Chicago, IL, pp. 278–295.
- Repine JE, Clawson CC, Goetz FC (1980). Bactericidal function of neutrophils from patients with acute bacterial infections and from diabetics. *J Infect Dis* **142**: 869–875.
- Reuterving CO, Hagg E, Gustafson GT (1986). Root surface caries and periodontal disease in long-term alloxan diabetic rats. *J Dent Res* **65**: 689–694.
- Riddle MC (1999). Oral pharmacologic management of type 2 diabetes. *Am Fam Physician* **60**: 2613–2620.
- Rinaldi MG (1983). Invasive aspergillosis. *Rev Infect Dis* **5**: 1061–1077.
- Robertson WD, Wray D (1992). Ingestion of medication among patients with oral keratoses including lichen planus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **74**: 183–185.
- Rollin H (1978). Drug-related gustatory disorders. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* **87**: 37–42.
- Romero MA, Seoane J, Varela-Centelles P et al (2002). Prevalence of diabetes mellitus amongst oral lichen planus patients. Clinical and pathological characteristics. *Med Oral* **7**: 121–129.
- Russotto SB (1981). Asymptomatic parotid gland enlargement in diabetes mellitus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **52**: 594–598.
- Samaranayake LP (1990). Host factors and oral candidosis. In: Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW, eds. *Oral candidosis*. Wright-Butterworth and Co. Ltd: London, pp. 66–104.
- Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW (1982). The effect of dietary carbohydrates on the in-vitro adhesion of *Candida albicans* to epithelial cells. *J Med Microbiol* **15**: 511–517.
- Samaranayake LP, Hughes A, MacFarlane TW (1984). The proteolytic potential of *Candida albicans* in human saliva supplemented with glucose. *J Med Microbiol* **17**: 13–22.
- Sastrowijoto SH, Hillemans P, van Steenberg TJ et al (1989). Periodontal condition and microbiology of healthy and diseased periodontal pockets in type 1 diabetes mellitus patients. *J Clin Periodontol* **16**: 316–322.
- Savage NW (1997). Oral lichenoid drug eruptions. *Oral Dis* **3**: 55–57.
- Sbordone L, Ramaglia L, Barone A et al (1998). Periodontal status and subgingival microbiota of insulin-dependent juvenile diabetics: a 3-year longitudinal study. *J Periodontol* **69**: 120–128.
- Scheen AJ (1997). Drug treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in the 1990s. Achievements and future developments. *Drugs* **54**: 355–368.
- Scully C, de Almeida OP (1992). Orofacial manifestations of the systemic mycoses. *J Oral Pathol Med* **21**: 289–294.
- Scully C, el Kom M (1985). Lichen planus: review and update on pathogenesis. *J Oral Pathol* **14**: 431–458.
- Scully C, Beyli M, Ferreira MC et al (1998). Update on oral lichen planus: etiopathogenesis and management. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* **9**: 86–122.
- Seibold JR, Uitto J, Dorwart BB et al (1985). Collagen synthesis and collagenase activity in dermal fibroblasts from patients with diabetes and digital sclerosis. *J Lab Clin Med* **105**: 664–667.
- Seppala B, Seppala M, Ainamo J (1993). A longitudinal study on insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* **20**: 161–165.
- Sharon A, Ben-Aryeh H, Itzhak B et al (1985). Salivary composition in diabetic patients. *J Oral Med* **40**: 23–26.
- Sheppard IM (1942). Oral manifestation of diabetes mellitus: a study of one hundred cases. *J Am Dent Assoc* **29**: 1188–1192.
- Shlossman M, Knowler WC, Pettitt DJ et al (1990). Type 2 diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease. *J Am Dent Assoc* **121**: 532–536.
- Smith U (1987). Insulin action – biochemical and clinical aspects. *Acta Med Scand* **222**: 7–13.
- Sreebny LM, Yu A, Green A et al (1992). Xerostomia in diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **15**: 900–904.
- Sugar AM (1992). Mucormycosis. *Clin Infect Dis* **14** (Suppl. 1): S126–S129.
- Swanlung O, Meurman JH, Torkko H et al (1992). Caries and saliva in 12–18-year-old diabetics and controls. *Scand J Dent Res* **100**: 310–313.
- Tapper-Jones LM, Aldred MJ, Walker DM et al (1981). Candidal infections and populations of *Candida albicans* in mouths of diabetics. *J Clin Pathol* **34**: 706–711.
- Tenovuo J, Lehtonen OP, Viikari J et al (1986). Immunoglobulins and innate antimicrobial factors in whole saliva of patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Dent Res* **65**: 62–66.
- Tervonen T, Oliver RC (1993). Long-term control of diabetes mellitus and periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* **20**: 431–435.
- Tervonen T, Oliver RC, Wolff LF et al (1994). Prevalence of periodontal pathogens with varying metabolic control of diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Periodontol* **21**: 375–379.
- Teuscher A, Egger M, Herman JB (1989). Diabetes and hypertension. Blood pressure in clinical diabetic patients and a control population. *Arch Intern Med* **149**: 1942–1945.
- The American Academy of Periodontology (1999). Diabetes and periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol* **70**: 935–949.
- The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus (1998). Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **21** (Suppl. 1): 5–19.
- Thorstensson H, Dahlen G, Hugoson A (1995). Some suspected periodonto-pathogens and serum antibody response in adult long-duration insulin-dependent diabetics. *J Clin Periodontol* **22**: 449–458.
- Turner RC, Holman RR, Matthews D et al (1979). Insulin deficiency and insulin resistance interaction in diabetes: estimation of their relative contribution by feedback analysis from basal plasma insulin and glucose concentrations. *Metabolism* **28**: 1086–1096.

- Ueta E, Osaki T, Yoneda K *et al* (1993). Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in odontogenic infections and oral candidiasis: an analysis of neutrophil suppression. *J Oral Pathol Med* **22**: 168–174.
- Ureles SD (1983). Case report: a patient with severe periodontitis in conjunction with adult-onset diabetes. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* **4**: 522–528.
- Van Dis ML, Parks ET (1995). Prevalence of oral lichen planus in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **79**: 696–700.
- Varon F, Mack-Shipman L (2000). The role of the dental professional in diabetes care. *J Contemp Dent Pract* **1**: 1–27.
- Vazquez JA, Sobel JD (1995). Fungal infections in diabetes. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* **9**: 97–116.
- Viberti GC, Pickup JC, Jarrett RJ *et al* (1979). Effect of control of blood glucose on urinary excretion of albumin and beta2 microglobulin in insulin-dependent diabetes. *N Engl J Med* **300**: 638–641.
- Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ *et al* (1982). Microalbuminuria as a predictor of clinical nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* **1**: 1430–1432.
- Vitkov L, Weitgasser R, Lugstein A *et al* (1999). Glycaemic disorders in denture stomatitis. *J Oral Pathol Med* **28**: 406–409.
- Warram JH, Laffel LM, Valsania P *et al* (1991). Excess mortality associated with diuretic therapy in diabetes mellitus [see comments]. *Arch Intern Med* **151**: 1350–1356.
- Watts NB, Spanheimer RG, DiGirolamo M *et al* (1990). Prediction of glucose response to weight loss in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [see comments]. *Arch Intern Med* **150**: 803–806.
- Weringer EJ, Arquilla ER (1981). Wound healing in normal and diabetic Chinese hamsters. *Diabetologia* **21**: 394–401.
- Willis AM, Coulter WA, Fulton CR *et al* (1999). Oral candidal carriage and infection in insulin-treated diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* **16**: 675–679.
- Willis AM, Coulter WA, Hayes JR *et al* (2000a). Factors affecting the adhesion of *Candida albicans* to epithelial cells of insulin-using diabetes mellitus patients. *J Med Microbiol* **49**: 291–293.
- Willis AM, Coulter WA, Sullivan DJ *et al* (2000b). Isolation of *C. dubliniensis* from insulin-using diabetes mellitus patients. *J Oral Pathol Med* **29**: 86–90.
- Wilson RM, Reeves WG (1986). Neutrophil phagocytosis and killing in insulin-dependent diabetes. *Clin Exp Immunol* **63**: 478–484.
- Winter WE (1996). Type I insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a model for autoimmune polygenic disorders. *Adv Dent Res* **10**: 81–87.
- World Health Organization (1985) *Diabetes mellitus: report of a WHO study group*. WHO: Geneva. Rep. Tech. Rep. Ser., no. 727.
- Wysocki GP, Daley TD (1987). Benign migratory glossitis in patients with juvenile diabetes. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **63**: 68–70.
- Yuan K, Chang CJ, Hsu PC *et al* (2001). Detection of putative periodontal pathogens in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and non-diabetes mellitus by polymerase chain reaction. *J Periodontol Res* **36**: 18–24.
- Zambon JJ, Reynolds H, Fisher JG *et al* (1988). Microbiological and immunological studies of adult periodontitis in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Periodontol* **59**: 23–31.
- Zimmet PZ (1992). Kelly West Lecture 1991. Challenges in diabetes epidemiology—from West to the rest. *Diabetes Care* **15**: 232–252.
- Zimmet PZ, Tuomi T, Mackay IR *et al* (1994). Latent autoimmune diabetes mellitus in adults (LADA): the role of antibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase in diagnosis and prediction of insulin dependency. *Diabet Med* **11**: 299–303.

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.