

ERRATUM

Re: Oral health related quality of life is affected by disease activity in Behçets disease – Vol. 12 No. 2 pp 145–151

Please note that two tables included in this paper were published incorrectly; the correct tables are published below. The Publishers apologise for this error.

Table 5 Construct and criterion validity in oral health impact profile-14 scores in patients and controls

	<i>Behçet's disease</i> (<i>n</i> = 94)	<i>Recurrent aphthous stomatitis</i> (<i>n</i> = 24)	<i>Healthy control</i> (<i>n</i> = 113)	<i>Dental patients</i> (<i>n</i> = 44)
Number of natural teeth				
≥20	20.02 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 75)	22.4 ± 17.3 (<i>n</i> = 16)	10.8 ± 11.5 (<i>n</i> = 97)	11.3 ± 11.5 (<i>n</i> = 36)
< 20	22.4 ± 13.8 (<i>n</i> = 19)	39.6 ± 9.1 (<i>n</i> = 8)	21.6 ± 14.6 (<i>n</i> = 16)	30.7 ± 16.3 (<i>n</i> = 8)
<i>P</i> -value	0.50 ^c	0.26 ^b	0.010 ^b	0.003 ^b
Toothache experience				
Yes	25.7 ± 12.3 (<i>n</i> = 69)	17.4 ± 14.5 (<i>n</i> = 16)	17.6 ± 12.2 (<i>n</i> = 69)	18.7 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 34)
No	6.1 ± 5.6 (<i>n</i> = 25)	11.1 ± 17.1 (<i>n</i> = 8)	4.1 ± 7.6 (<i>n</i> = 44)	1.7 ± 4.4 (<i>n</i> = 10)
<i>P</i> -value	0.000 ^c	0.17 ^b	0.000 ^b	0.000 ^b
Self reported oral health				
Excellent/very good	6.0 ± 7.1 (<i>n</i> = 7)	4.6 ± 5.7 (<i>n</i> = 8)	6.9 ± 8.5 (<i>n</i> = 30)	8.2 ± 13.8 (<i>n</i> = 9)
Good	12.03 ± 9.7 (<i>n</i> = 31)	8.6 ± 4.1 (<i>n</i> = 5)	8.3 ± 9.0 (<i>n</i> = 51)	11.7 ± 11.6 (<i>n</i> = 18)
Fair/poor	27.01 ± 12.7 (<i>n</i> = 56)	26.1 ± 16.3 (<i>n</i> = 11)	23.9 ± 13.2 (<i>n</i> = 32)	22.1 ± 15.4 (<i>n</i> = 17)
<i>P</i> -value	0.000 ^a	0.003 ^a	0.000 ^a	0.032 ^a
Perceived treatment need				
Yes	25.9 ± 12.4 (<i>n</i> = 71)	28.0 ± 15.7 (<i>n</i> = 10)	22.8 ± 11.3 (<i>n</i> = 47)	25.3 ± 12.5 (<i>n</i> = 23)
No	9.5 ± 9.9 (<i>n</i> = 23)	6.2 ± 5.7 (<i>n</i> = 14)	4.9 ± 6.7 (<i>n</i> = 66)	3.5 ± 4.1 (<i>n</i> = 21)
<i>P</i> -value	0.000 ^b	0.000 ^b	0.000 ^b	0.000 ^b
Usual reason for dental visit				
Control	13.5 ± 17.0 (<i>n</i> = 4)	–	7.1 ± 9.1 (<i>n</i> = 27)	0.0 ± 0.0 (<i>n</i> = 4)
Emergency	20.8 ± 3.8 (<i>n</i> = 90)	15.3 ± 15.3 (<i>n</i> = 24)	14.02 ± 13.1 (<i>n</i> = 86)	16.7 ± 14.3 (<i>n</i> = 40)
<i>P</i> -value	–	–	0.005 ^b	–

Values are given as mean ± s.d.

^aKruskal–Wallis, ^bMann–Whitney *U* and ^cindependent *t*-tests were used in the analysis.

Table 6 Construct and criterion validity in oral health related quality of life scores in patients and controls

	<i>Behçet's disease</i> (<i>n</i> = 94)	<i>Recurrent aphthous stomatitis</i> (<i>n</i> = 24)	<i>Healthy control</i> (<i>n</i> = 113)	<i>Dental patients</i> (<i>n</i> = 44)
Number of natural teeth				
≥ 20	43.4 ± 11.5 (<i>n</i> = 75)	57.8 ± 16.1 (<i>n</i> = 16)	49.8 ± 13.1 (<i>n</i> = 97)	46.6 ± 11.9 (<i>n</i> = 36)
< 20	36.6 ± 9.4 (<i>n</i> = 19)	39.6 ± 9.1 (<i>n</i> = 8)	42.1 ± 15.7 (<i>n</i> = 16)	30.0 ± 14.6 (<i>n</i> = 8)
<i>P</i> -value	0.020 ^d	0.053 ^c	0.038 ^d	0.003 ^c
Toothache Experience				
Yes	38.6 ± 9.2 (<i>n</i> = 69)	48.2 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 16)	44.5 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 69)	40.3 ± 13.3 (<i>n</i> = 34)
No	51.1 ± 12.1 (<i>n</i> = 25)	53.7 ± 19.1 (<i>n</i> = 8)	55.4 ± 9.8 (<i>n</i> = 44)	54.8 ± 9.6 (<i>n</i> = 10)
<i>P</i> -value	0.000 ^d	0.23 ^c	0.000 ^d	0.000 ^c
Self reported oral health				
Excellent/very good	68.7 ± 8.7 (<i>n</i> = 7)	64.1 ± 10.7 (<i>n</i> = 8)	64.4 ± 6.9 (<i>n</i> = 30)	59.4 ± 6.9 (<i>n</i> = 9)
Good	45.2 ± 6.2 (<i>n</i> = 31)	48.2 ± 6.2 (<i>n</i> = 5)	48.1 ± 6.02 (<i>n</i> = 51)	46.6 ± 8.1 (<i>n</i> = 18)
Fair/poor	36.8 ± 8.1 (<i>n</i> = 56)	40.7 ± 14.8 (<i>n</i> = 11)	34.9 ± 11.6 (<i>n</i> = 32)	32.1 ± 11.6 (<i>n</i> = 17)
<i>P</i> -value	0.000 ^b	0.001 ^b	0.000 ^a	0.000 ^b
Perceived treatment need				
Yes	39.2 ± 9.4 (<i>n</i> = 71)	39.1 ± 10.9 (<i>n</i> = 10)	42.5 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 47)	38.3 ± 11.3 (<i>n</i> = 23)
No	47.6 ± 13.1 (<i>n</i> = 23)	57.9 ± 14.1 (<i>n</i> = 14)	53.1 ± 11.4 (<i>n</i> = 66)	49.4 ± 14.4 (<i>n</i> = 21)
<i>P</i> -value	0.001 ^d	0.005 ^c	0.000 ^d	0.000 ^d
Usual reason for dental visit				
Control	44.5 ± 12.8 (<i>n</i> = 4)	–	53.6 ± 8.4 (<i>n</i> = 27)	59.7 ± 13.02 (<i>n</i> = 4)
Emergency	41.9 ± 11.4 (<i>n</i> = 90)	50.1 ± 15.7 (<i>n</i> = 24)	47.1 ± 14.6 (<i>n</i> = 86)	42.02 ± 13.1 (<i>n</i> = 40)
<i>P</i> -value	–	–	0.031 ^d	–

Values are given as mean ± s.d.

^aANOVA, ^bKruskal–Wallis, ^c: Mann–Whitney *U* and ^dindependent *t*-tests were used in the analysis.

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.