http://www.blackwellmunksgaard.com

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence of oral disease among adults with primary HIV infection*

FJ Owotade¹, CH Shiboski², L Poole³, CA Ramstead³, K Malvin², FM Hecht³, JS Greenspan²

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria; ²Oral AIDS Center, Department of Orofacial Sciences, School of Dentistry, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ³Positive Health Program, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

OBJECTIVE: To explore the type and prevalence of oral mucosal lesions among adults with primary HIV infection (PHI) compared with HIV-negative adults at high risk for HIV disease, and in relation to HIV viral load.

METHODS: We conducted standardized oral examinations to identify specific oral mucosal lesions among adults with PHI, both pre-seroconversion and postseroconversion-recently infected, compared with HIVnegative adults. We compared the group with oral lesions to those without oral lesions with respect to HIV-RNA load and CD4 + T-cell count.

RESULTS: Among 115 adults (predominantly men), pseudomembranous candidiasis was the most common oral lesion among those with PHI, and was found in 4% of the 23 participants in *pre-seroconversion* and in 9% of 69 participants with *post-seroconversion* recent infection, compared with none found among 23 HIV negatives. Among those with PHI, the median viral load was higher and the median CD4 + T-cell count lower among the 15 participants with an oral lesion of any type than among the 77 participants without oral lesions (P = 0.02 and 0.04, respectively).

CONCLUSION: This finding suggests that individuals with PHI who have oral lesions may be more likely to transmit HIV because of their higher viral load. *Oral Diseases* (2008) 14, 497–499

Keywords: primary HIV infection; candidiasis; oral lesions; viral load

Primary HIV infection (PHI) represents the early stages of infection with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and is defined as a negative or indeterminate HIV antibody test with subsequent seroconversion

within 6 months (Hecht et al, 2002). It is important to recognize PHI because it is characterized by a very high viral load, and persons at this stage of HIV infection are an important source of new infections (Apoola et al, 2002; Gray et al, 2004). PHI includes two stages: (1) the acute stage, characterized by detectable HIV RNA, but prior to HIV antibody seroconversion and (2) recent antibody seroconversion (within the past HIV 6 months) during which HIV antibodies are present but immune responses are still maturing. Up to 90% of persons in the acute phase experience an initial influenza-like illness (Schacker et al, 1996). However, little is known about other clinical manifestations, such as oral mucosal diseases, that may occur at this early stage of HIV infection (Apoola et al, 2002; Hecht et al, 2002). Previous studies describing oral lesions in HIV infection enrolled subjects at different stages of HIV infection (Feigal et al, 1991; Glick et al, 1994; Shiboski et al, 1994, 1996, 2001; Patton, 2000), and specific oral lesions such as candidiasis have been found to be associated with a higher HIV viral load (Patton et al, 1999; Greenspan et al, 2000). However, very few have addressed the study of oral lesions in PHI due to the challenges in identifying individuals at that stage. If specific oral lesions were found to occur in PHI, their early detection may help to identify persons with PHI, and thus prevent further transmission. We hereby describe the type and prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in a sample of adults during primary HIV disease compared to a group of HIV-negative adults at high risk for HIV disease, and in relation to HIV viral load.

Methods

Study population

The OPTIONS Project is an ongoing study at the University of California San Francisco that recruits adults with potential PHI to explore the natural history of early stage HIV infection and the effect of antiret-roviral therapy administered to those participants who choose the *option* to do so (Hecht *et al*, 2002). Both persons with suspected acute retroviral syndrome and recent seroconverters (within 6 months) are targeted for recruitment. Participants for screening are either

Correspondence: Dr CH Shiboski, Department of Orofacial Sciences, Box 0422, Room S612, 513 Parnassus Avenue, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143 0422, USA. Tel.: (415)476 5976, Fax: (415)476 4204, E-mail: caroline.shiboski@ ucsf.edu

^{*}Preliminary results presented at the Fourteenth International Conference on AIDS, Barcelona, Spain, July 2002.

Received 17 April 2007; revised 25 May 2007; accepted 29 May 2007

Oral disease in primary HIV infection FJ Owotade et al

self-referred or referred by others, including healthcare workers, HIV testing sites, or community-based organizations (Hecht et al, 2002). Participants are classified as pre-seroconversion HIV infected if (1) testing using standard EIA HIV-1 antibody testing and confirmatory Western blot is negative or indeterminate, and (2) HIV-1 RNA test or p24 antigen test shows viral antigen, confirmed on repeat testing and followed by HIV antibody conversion. To be classified as post-seroconversion recently infected participants have to be HIV antibody positive and have either a documented negative HIV antibody test within the prior 6 months, or a history of having had a negative HIV-1 antibody test within the past 3 years and recent HIV exposures, and a less-sensitive EIA test that is not reactive (Schwarcz et al, 2001; Hecht et al, 2002). An oral study protocol was incorporated into the OPTIONS study in August 2000, and a consecutive sample of participants was recruited from August 3, 2000 through November 2005.

Variables and measurements

A standardized oral examination, using the diagnostic criteria established by the 1992 US Collaborative Group (Greenspan et al, 1992) and the 1993 EC-Clearinghouse on Oral Problems Related to HIV Infection and WHO Collaborating Centre on Oral Manifestations of the Immunodeficiency Virus (EC-Clearinghouse, 1993), was included as part of the study's physical assessment on the day of the initial serologic screening that was used to determine eligibility for the OPTIONS. Oral examinations were conducted by nurse practitioners who were blind to the participants' primary HIV status and trained in the diagnosis of HIV-related oral lesions. The two nurses who performed the oral examinations had received a standardized training (based on a power point presentation and clinical sessions) by a boardcertified Oral Medicine specialist. Oral lesions explored included candidiasis (both the pseudomembranous and erythematous types, and angular cheilitis), hairy leukoplakia, aphthous-like ulcers, and warts. Presence of pharyngitis/tonsillitis was also recorded. Due to a logistic issue, some participants had their oral examination performed up to 1 month after the initial screening visit. For the present analysis, we included only those participants who had their oral examinations performed on the day of the screening or within 2 weeks, to avoid misclassification of participants who may have seroconverted between the time of the screening visit and the oral examination visit.

Statistical analysis

Standard summary statistics (mainly proportions) were used to describe sample characteristics. We used Fisher's exact test to compare the prevalence of specific oral lesions between participants with PHI *pre-seroconversion* and *post-seroconversion* HIV infection, and between participants with PHI (both pre- and post-seroconversion) and HIV-negatives. We used the nonparametric Mann–Whitney rank-sum test to compare participants with any oral lesions to participants without oral lesions with respect to (1) HIV RNA viral load, and (2) CD4 + T-cell count.

Results

Among the 235 participants who were recruited into the OPTIONS study between August 3, 2000 and November 30, 2005, 115 received an oral examination either on the day of the serologic screening (82% of the participants) or within 2 weeks. Among 92 participants with PHI, 23 were *pre-seroconversion* HIV infected and 69 were *post-seroconversion* recently infected (Table 1). Twenty-three participants who received an oral examination on the day of the serologic screening were subsequently found to be HIV-negative, and served as controls for this study. Both participants with PHI and those who were HIV-negative were predominantly male (96% and 83%, respectively), between 20 and 39 years of age (73% and 65%, respectively), and white (79% and 57%, respectively).

Pseudomembranous candidiasis was the most common oral lesion among those with PHI, and was found in 4% of those in *pre-seroconversion* HIV and in 9% of those with *post-seroconversion* recent infection, compared with none found among HIV-negative participants (Table 1). Aphthous ulcers were present in both the group with PHI and among HIV negatives, and the frequency did not differ between groups. Fisher's exact test of association comparing the prevalence of oral lesions between participants with PHI pre-seroconversion and post-seroconversion yielded no statistically significant difference. Similarly, no difference was found when comparing participants with PHI with HIV negatives with respect to oral lesions. However, among participants with PHI, the median viral load was significantly higher among the 15 participants found to have an oral lesion of any type (273 343 copies ml^{-1}) than among the 77 participants without oral lesions (86 548 copies ml⁻¹; P = 0.02). Furthermore, the

 Table 1 Distribution of oral lesions among adults with primary HIV infection (PHI) by HIV serostatus

Oral lesions	Participants with PHI (n = 92) Antibody seroconversion status		
	$Pre-(acute)^a$ $(n = 23)$	$Post-(recent)^a$ $(n = 69)$	$HIV-negativeparticipants^{a}$ $(n = 23)$
Candidiasis			
Pseudomembranous	1 (4)	6 (9)	0
Erythematous	0	4 (6)	0
Wart	0	0	1 (4)
Aphthous ulcer	0	6 (9)	2 (9)
Pharyngitis/tonsillitis	1 (4)	3 (4)	2 (9)

Values are expressed as n (%).

^aFisher's exact test of association comparing the prevalence of oral lesions between participants with PHI pre-seroconversion and postseroconversion yielded no statistically significant difference. Similarly, no difference was found when comparing participants with PHI with HIV negatives with respect to oral lesions. median CD4 + T-cell count among those with any oral lesion was significantly lower (420 cells mm⁻³) than among those without lesions (552 cells mm⁻³; P = 0.04).

Discussion

HIV infection progressively compromises systemic and local immunity until the host succumbs to opportunistic infections that significantly affect the mucosal sites, the oral mucosa included (Challacombe and Sweet, 2002). Early treatment of acute HIV infection may lead to slower declines in CD4 + T-cell counts (Hecht et al, 2006). Antibody tests are unreactive and diagnosis at this stage of HIV infection relies mainly on the p24 antigen or HIV-1 RNA tests. Oral lesions may represent important early symptoms associated with PHI and may potentially contribute to its early detection. In a recent study, 81% of individuals with PHI reported that they would be very likely to seek attention if they developed oral ulcers after unprotected sex (Stekler et al, 2006). Although we did not observe any statistically significant difference in the prevalence of oral lesions overall when HIV-positive and HIV-negative participants were compared, there was a difference in the type of oral lesions seen in each group. Oral candidiasis was not reported in any HIV-negative subject, but was present in 4% of pre-seroconversion and 9% of post-seroconversion HIVinfected participants. Furthermore, we found that oral lesions in participants with PHI were associated with higher viral loads and lower CD4 counts. This finding may suggest that individuals with PHI who have oral lesions may be more likely to transmit HIV because of their higher viral load.

These preliminary results from a study with a small sample size suggest a need for larger studies to further evaluate the role of oral lesions in PHI. The recognition and diagnosis of PHI remains a challenge, and it has been suggested that future efforts aimed at increasing the diagnosis of PHI should focus on increasing the knowledge of symptoms and healthcare-seeking behavior (Stekler *et al*, 2006).

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by NIH/NIAID grant U01 AI41531, NIDCR grants POI-DE07946 and K23 DE00443.

References

- Apoola A, Ahmad S, Radcliffe K (2002). Primary HIV infection. *Int J STD AIDS* **13:** 71–78.
- Challacombe SJ, Sweet SP (2002). Oral mucosal immunity and HIV infection: current status. *Oral Dis* 8 (Suppl. 2): 55–62.
- EC-Clearinghouse (1993). Classification and diagnostic criteria for oral lesions in HIV infection. EC-Clearinghouse on Oral Problems Related to HIV Infection and WHO Collaborating Centre on Oral Manifestations of the Immunodeficiency Virus. J Oral Pathol Med **22**: 289–291.

- Feigal DW, Katz MH, Greenspan D *et al* (1991). The prevalence of oral lesions in HIV-infected homosexual and bisexual men: three San Francisco epidemiological cohorts. *AIDS* **5:** 519–525.
- Glick M, Muzyka BC, Lurie D, Salkin LM (1994). Oral manifestations associated with HIV-related disease as markers for immune suppression and AIDS. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **77:** 344–349.
- Gray RH, Li X, Wawer MJ *et al* (2004). Determinants of HIV-1 load in subjects with early and later HIV infections, in a general-population cohort of Rakai, Uganda. *J Infect Dis* **189:** 1209–1215.
- Greenspan JS, Barr CE, Sciubba JJ, Winkler JR (1992). Oral manifestations of HIV infection. Definitions, diagnostic criteria, and principles of therapy. The U.S.A. Oral AIDS Collaborative Group. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **73**: 142–144.
- Greenspan D, Komaroff E, Redford M *et al* (2000). Oral mucosal lesions and HIV viral load in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **25**: 44–50.
- Hecht FM, Busch MP, Rawal B *et al* (2002). Use of laboratory tests and clinical symptoms for identification of primary HIV infection. *AIDS* **16**: 1119–1129.
- Hecht FM, Wang L, Collier A *et al* (2006). A multicenter observational study of the potential benefits of initiating combination antiretroviral therapy during acute HIV infection. *J Infect Dis* **194:** 725–733.
- Patton L (2000). Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of oral opportunistic infections in adults with HIV/AIDS as markers of immune suppression and viral burden. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 90: 182–188.
- Patton L, McKaig R, Eron J, Lawrence H, Strauss R (1999). Oral hairy leukoplakia and oral candidiasis as predictors of HIV viral load. *AIDS* **13**: 2174–2176.
- Schacker T, Collier AC, Hughes J, Shea T, Corey L (1996). Clinical and epidemiologic features of primary HIV infection. Ann Intern Med 125: 257–264.
- Schwarcz S, Kellogg T, McFarland W *et al* (2001). Differences in the temporal trends of HIV seroincidence and seroprevalence among sexually transmitted disease clinic patients, 1989-1998: application of the serologic testing algorithm for recent HIV seroconversion. *Am J Epidemiol* **153**: 925–934.
- Shiboski CH, Hilton JF, Greenspan D et al (1994). HIVrelated oral manifestations in two cohorts of women in San Francisco. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 7: 964–971.
- Shiboski CH, Hilton JF, Neuhaus JM, Canchola A, Greenspan D (1996). Human immunodeficiency virus-related oral manifestations and gender. A longitudinal analysis. The University of California, San Francisco Oral AIDS Center Epidemiology Collaborative Group. Arch Intern Med 156: 2249–2254.
- Shiboski C, Wilson C, Greenspan D, Hilton J, Greenspan J, Moscicki A (2001). HIV-related oral manifestations among adolescents in a multicenter cohort study. *J Adolesc Health* 29: 109–114.
- Stekler J, Collier AC, Holmes KK, Golden MR (2006). Primary HIV infection education: knowledge and attitudes of HIV-negative men who have sex with men attending a public health sexually transmitted disease clinic. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 42: 123–126.

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.