http://www.blackwellmunksgaard.com

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Salivary secretion, mucin concentrations and candida carriage in HIV-infected patients

A Jainkittivong<sup>1</sup>, AL Lin<sup>2</sup>, DA Johnson<sup>3</sup>, RP Langlais<sup>2</sup>, C-K Yeh<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>2</sup>Department of Dental Diagnostic Science, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Community Dentistry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; <sup>4</sup>Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, Audie L. Murphy Division, South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, TX, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** To test whether the submandibular/sublingual (SMSL) salivary secretion, mucin concentration and candida carriage status were altered in human immunodeficiency virus-positive (HIV+) patients.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS: SMSL saliva collected from 48 HIV-infected and 31 HIV-negative men were analyzed for flow rates, total protein and mucin concentrations. Salivary cultures were performed for *Candida* assessment. RESULTS: The salivary flow rate and protein secretion of the HIV+ patients was 37% and 32% less than that of the controls (P < 0.0001, P = 0.0087). The mucin concentrations (MGI and MG2) were higher in the HIV+ subjects compared with controls (P = 0.0186, P = 0.0014); however, the mucin secretions were not different. The frequency of *Candida*-positive cultures was higher in the HIV+ subjects than in the controls (61.4% vs 24.1%, P = 0.0018). In the HIV-infected group, the unstimulated SMSL flow rates were lower in *Candida*-positive than in *Candida*-negative patients (P = 0.0158).

CONCLUSION: The salivary secretion of the SMSL glands was reduced in HIV infection. Although the mucin concentration increased in HIV+ subjects, mucin secretion was not altered. Highly active antiviral therapy had no effect on salivary function. We found an association between the level of candida carriage and salivary flow rate in HIV-infected patients.

Oral Diseases (2009) 15, 229–234

Keywords: mucin; saliva; HIV; Candida

#### Introduction

The risk of transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) via saliva is low. This evidence has been confirmed by *in vitro* studies showing that saliva contains factors that inhibit HIV infectivity (Fox *et al*, 1988; Yeh *et al*, 1992; Bergey *et al*, 1993). Anti-HIV activities are found to be more potent and consistent in submandibular/sublingual (SMSL) and whole saliva than in parotid saliva (Nagashunmugam *et al*, 1997). Filtration of SMSL and whole saliva has been shown to reduce the antiviral activity, suggesting that largemolecular weight compounds present in saliva that are retained by the filter may inhibit HIV infectivity (Yeh *et al*, 1992; Bergey *et al*, 1993).

Human salivary mucins have a multifunctional role in the oral cavity. They lubricate oral mucosal surfaces and provide a protective barrier and aid in mastication, speech and swallowing (Mandel, 1987; Tabak, 1990). Mucins are glycoproteins with low solubility, high viscosity and adhesiveness. Salivary mucins are components of the innate host defense system of the oral cavity. As salivary mucins play major roles in the protection of the oral tissues, lower levels of these mucins may cause long-term negative effects on oral health (Baughan et al, 2000). There are two types of mucin in saliva: oligomeric mucin glycoprotein (MG1) and monomeric mucin glycoprotein (MG2) (Zalewska et al, 2000). Rayment et al (2000) have shown that the concentration of MG1 is greater than that of MG2 and that salivary mucins constitute approximately 16% of the total protein content in whole saliva. MG1 is a mixture of mucin gene products consisting predominantly of MUC5B and, to a lesser extent, MUC4 (Nielsen et al, 1997; Troxler et al, 1997; Liu et al, 1998; Thornton et al, 1999). MG2 is the product of the MUC7 gene. MG1 is present in the mucous acini of submandibular, sublingual, labial and palatine salivary glands (Cohen et al, 1990; Nielsen et al, 1997) whereas MG2 is present in the mucous acini of submandibular and labial salivary glands (Cohen et al, 1991) and in serous acini of submandibular, sublingual, labial and palatine salivary glands (Nielsen et al, 1996). The major function of MG1 is to coat and protect the oral tissues. A reduction in the MG1 concentration may make the coating of MG1 on the mucosal surfaces difficult which leads to a reduction

Correspondence: Chih-Ko Yeh, BDS, PhD, Department of Dental Diagnostic Science, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, TX 78284-7919, USA. Tel: +210 567 3333, Fax: +210 567 3334, E-mail: Yeh@uthscsa.edu Received 20 November 2008; revised 30 December 2008; accepted 4 January 2009

in the protection of the oral tissues and may increase host susceptibility to mucosal damage (Almstahl *et al*, 2001). MG2 interacts with the oral flora by promoting their agglutination and clearing of the oral cavity (Levine *et al*, 1978; Tabak, 1990; Scannapieco, 1994). Elevated *Streptococcus mutans* titers are significantly associated with diminished concentrations of MG2 in unstimulated whole saliva (Baughan *et al*, 2000).

Studies have indicated that HIV is entrapped mainly by salivary mucins. Habte *et al* (2006) reported the anti-HIV-1 activities of human crude saliva and purified salivary MUC5B and MUC7 mucins in an *in vitro* inhibition assay. They showed that both crude saliva and purified MUC5B and MUC7 mucins inhibit HIV-1 activity by 100% in the range of 900–0.09  $\mu$ g mucin concentration. This study has shown that mucus and mucins have protective properties against the HIV virus.

Oral candidiasis is the most common mucosal lesion reported in HIV-infected patients (Greenspan et al, 2000; Reichart, 2003). It is suggested that altered salivary gland function predisposes HIV-infected patients to oral candidiasis (Pollock et al, 1992; Lin et al, 2001). The severity and prevalence of oral candidiasis increase with advancing immune suppression (Klein et al, 1984). There appears to be an association between oral candidiasis and the number of CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (Ohmit et al, 2003; Yang et al, 2006). The mechanisms that inhibit the ability of Candida to adhere to mucous membrane or to replicate and/or to form hyphae may prevent oral candidiasis (Enwonwu and Meeks, 1996). Other mechanisms that may aid in the control of oral Candida infection are the cleansing mechanism of saliva as well as specific protein components. Mucins appear to play an important role in the aforementioned mechanisms. Situ et al (2003) have shown that human salivary MUC7 mucin peptides exhibit antifungal activity against Candida albicans. Ogasawara et al (2007) have demonstrated that mucin inhibits hyphal formation in C. albicans. These studies suggest that mucin may act as an inhibitor to candida infection.

We and others have reported salivary hypofunction with alterations in compositions and anti-candida activities in HIV+ populations (Yeh *et al*, 1988; Atkinson *et al*, 1989; Mandel *et al*, 1992; Lin *et al*, 2003, 2006; Navazesh *et al*, 2003). To our knowledge, there is no publication regarding the concentration of salivary mucins in HIV-infected patients. Therefore, the purposes of our study were to (1) determine the mucin concentration of the SMSL glands in HIVpositive (HIV+) patients, (2) investigate the effect of HIV infection and highly active antiviral therapy (HAART) on salivary mucin concentration and candida carriage status and (3) determine any correlation between the mucin concentration and the candida carriage status.

## Subjects and methods

## Subjects

Forty-eight HIV + men who are participants in the US Air Force HIV Natural History Study and the NIH- funded longitudinal study of 'Saliva and Anticandidal Defense Mechanisms in HIV/AIDS' were recruited. Forty-seven patients had a CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count > 200 cells  $\mu l^{-1}$ . The HIV + subjects were divided into two subgroups based on whether or not they were receiving HAART. A patient is considered to be HAART-positive (HAART+) if he is taking a combination of a protease inhibitor plus two other nucleoside or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts of the patients on or near the date of saliva sample collection were obtained from their medical records. Thirty-one HIV-negative (HIV-) men of a comparable age range, who were not taking medications or smoking, were included as the control group. This study was approved by the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and the Wilford Hall Air Force Medical Center Institutional Review Boards. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects as required by Air Force Regulation 169-9 and University of Texas policies.

## Saliva collection and analytical methods

Unstimulated and stimulated SMSL salivary samples were collected from subjects according to a previous published protocol (Yeh et al, 1988). For collection of SMSL saliva, the orifices of Wharton's and Bartholin's ducts were isolated with cotton rolls and saliva was collected with gentle suction as it emerged from the orifices. Gland stimulation was achieved by swabbing the dorsolateral tongue with 2% citric acid solution every 30 s. The SMSL salivary flow rate was calculated as the weight of saliva divided by the collection time and then subdivided by 2 to give a flow rate of ml min<sup>-1</sup> per gland. Each salivary sample was divided into 100  $\mu$ l aliquots and stored at -70°C until analyzed. Stimulated SMSL saliva was analyzed for total protein and mucins. Total protein in saliva was determined by absorption at 215 nm with bovine serum albumin as a standard (Arneberg, 1971). Mucins (MG1 and MG2) were quantified using a polyacrylamide gel separation technique (Denny et al, 1991) followed by staining with periodic acid Schiff reagent. Gels were loaded with equal amount of salivary proteins. After electrophoresis, gels were scanned with a densitometric scanner and NIH Image (version 1.47) was used for the analysis. Within each gel, three different volumes of a SMSL saliva pool were included to provide a standard curve of color units against which the concentration of mucins was determined as unit  $ml^{-1}$ . The original saliva sample volume was used to convert mucin concentration to unit ml<sup>-1</sup>. Mucin secretion (unit min<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the value of mucin concentration multiplied by flow rate.

## Candida assessment

Serial dilutions of unstimulated whole saliva samples (20  $\mu$ l) were spread onto Sabouraud's agar plates containing chloramphenicol (100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and incubated at 37°C. After 30 h of incubation, the candida colony forming units (CFU) on each agar plate were counted, averaged and expressed as CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>.

230

#### Statistical analysis

The nonparametric Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to analyze the differences in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell and candida counts between groups. The chi-squared test was used to test the differences in candida carriage rates between HIV + and the control groups as well as between the HAART subgroups. The unpaired *t*-test was used to determine the differences on continuous variables between groups. The data on mucins were square-root-transformed prior to analysis. The significance level of difference was set at P < 0.05.

#### Results

Table 1 illustrates the mean of age and median (with the 25th to 75th percentiles in parentheses) of CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts in HIV+ and control groups. There were no differences in mean age between the HIV+ patients and the controls. However, the patients in the HAART+ subgroup were slightly older than those in the HAART- subgroup and the control group (P = 0.0349 and 0.0239, respectively). There were no differences in the median number of CD4<sup>+</sup> cells between the HAART+ and HAART- subgroups.

Table 2 lists the stimulated SMSL salivary flow rates, total protein concentrations and secretions in HIV + patients and controls. The mean salivary flow rate of the HIV + patients was 37% less than that of the controls (P < 0.0001). The salivary flow rates were not different between the two HAART subgroups. No difference in protein concentration was observed between the HIV + patients and controls or between the two HAART subgroups. In HIV + patients, protein secretion was 32% less than that of the controls (P = 0.0087). Between the two HAART subgroups, the protein secretions were not different.

Table 1 Age and  $CD4^+$  cell counts in HIV+ and control groups

Group	Age (years)	$CD4^+$ (cell $\mu l^{-1}$ )
Control $(n = 31)$ HIV + $(n = 48)$ HAART + $(n = 20)$ HAART - $(n = 28)$	$\begin{array}{r} 32.2 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 34.3 \ \pm \ 1.1 \\ 36.9 \ \pm \ 1.6^* \\ 32.4 \ \pm \ 1.4^* \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{NA} \\ 546 \ \pm \ 35 \ (358-726) \\ 505 \ \pm \ 51 \ (303-585) \\ 575 \ \pm \ 47 \ (391-745) \end{array}$

Data for age are mean  $\pm$  standard error, while those for CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts are median  $\pm$  standard error, with 25th to 75th percentiles in parentheses. HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HAART, highly active antiviral therapy; NA, non-available. \*P = 0.0349. Table 3 presents the salivary mucin concentrations and mucin secretions in HIV+ patients and controls. Statistical analysis showed significantly higher MG1 (44%, P = 0.0186) and MG2 (55%, P = 0.0014) concentrations in HIV+ patients compared with controls. The mucin secretions for MG1 and MG2 were not different between the HIV+ and control groups. Also, there were no differences in mucin concentrations and secretions observed between the two HAART subgroups.

Table 4 compares the candida carriage status and candida count in whole saliva of HIV + and control groups. *Candida* cultures were not available in two controls and in four HIV + patients. The frequency of *Candida*-positive (*Cand* +) cultures for HIV + patients was higher compared with controls (61.4% vs 24.1%, P = 0.0018). The carriage rate was also higher in the two HAART subgroups in comparison with the control group (P = 0.0038 for HAART + and P = 0.0112 for HAART –). However, the carriage rate between the two HAART subgroups was not significantly different. Among those who were carriers, the median candida count was higher in the HIV + patients than in the controls (880 vs 400 CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>), but this was not statistically significant.

Table 5 shows the salivary flow rates, mucin concentrations and secretions and CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts in relation to candida carriage status. In the HIV-infected group, negative associations between candida carriage status and flow rate and CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count were noted. The unstimulated SMSL flow rate in the *Cand*+ patients was lower than that in the *Cand*- patients (P = 0.0158). The CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count was also lower in *Cand*+ than in *Cand*- patients (P = 0.0326). No differences in the mucin concentrations and secretions were found between the *Cand*+ and *Cand*- subjects both in the HIV+ and control groups.

## Discussion

We chose to conduct the study using SMSL saliva because it comprises most of the saliva in the oral cavity (Schneyer, 1956) and changes in SMSL saliva may have significant oral implications (Mandel, 1987). Atkinson *et al* (1989) performed a longitudinal study and demonstrated that SMSL function is affected earlier from HIV infection than parotid function. Also, SMSL saliva has a higher anti-HIV activity than does parotid or whole saliva (Nagashunmugam *et al*, 1997). Reduced salivary flow rates have been reported in most HIV/AIDS studies

Table 2 Stimulated submandibular/sublin-
gual flow rates, total protein concentrations
and secretions in HIV+ and control groups

Group	Flow rate (ml min <sup>-1</sup> per gland)	Protein concentration $(mg \ ml^{-1})$	Protein secretion $(mg min^{-1})$
Control HIV+ HAART+ HAART-	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.49 \ \pm \ 0.03 \ (n \ = \ 31)^{*} \\ 0.31 \ \pm \ 0.02 \ (n \ = \ 48)^{*} \\ 0.30 \ \pm \ 0.03 \ (n \ = \ 20) \\ 0.32 \ \pm \ 0.03 \ (n \ = \ 28) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 2.24 \pm 0.15 \ (n=31) \\ 2.31 \pm 0.11 \ (n=46) \\ 2.30 \pm 0.18 \ (n=19) \\ 2.31 \pm 0.15 \ (n=27) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.14 \pm 0.12 \ (n=31)^{**} \\ 0.77 \pm 0.08 \ (n=46)^{**} \\ 0.70 \pm 0.08 \ (n=19) \\ 0.83 \pm 0.12 \ (n=27) \end{array}$

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error with the number of subjects tested in parentheses. HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HAART, highly active antiviral therapy. \*P < 0.0001, \*\*P = 0.0087.

	Mucin concentr	ation (unit $ml^{-1}$ )	Mucin secretion (unit $min^{-1}$ )		
Group	MG1	MG2	MG1	MG2	
Control $(n = 31)$ HIV+ $(n = 48)$ HAART+ $(n = 20)$ HAART- $(n = 28)$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.39 \ \pm \ 0.04 * \\ 0.56 \ \pm \ 0.06 * \\ 0.62 \ \pm \ 0.11 \\ 0.51 \ \pm \ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.62 \ \pm \ 0.05^{**} \\ 0.96 \ \pm \ 0.08^{**} \\ 1.02 \ \pm \ 0.14 \\ 0.91 \ \pm \ 0.09 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.17 \ \pm \ 0.02 \\ 0.18 \ \pm \ 0.02 \\ 0.17 \ \pm \ 0.02 \\ 0.16 \ \pm \ 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30\ \pm\ 0.03\\ 0.29\ \pm\ 0.03\\ 0.29\ \pm\ 0.04\\ 0.29\ \pm\ 0.04\end{array}$	

**Table 3** Salivary mucin concentrations andsecretions in HIV+ and control groups

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error. HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HAART, highly active antiviral therapy.

\*P = 0.0186, \*\*P = 0.0014.

Group		<i>Cand</i> +, <i>n</i> (%)	Candida count (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Cand-, $n$ (%)		≤ 1000, n (%)	>1000, n (%)	Median
Control $(n = 29)$	22 (75.9)	7 (24.1)*	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	400
HIV + (n = 44)	17 (38.6)	27 (61.4)*	14 (51.8)	13 (48.1)	880
HAART + (n = 18)	6 (33.3)	12 (66.7)	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	765
HAART- $(n = 26)$	11 (42.3)	15 (57.7)	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	880

**Table 4** Candida carriage status and candidacount in whole saliva of HIV+ and controlgroups

Candida cultures were not available in two controls and four HIV+ patients. HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HAART, highly active antiviral therapy. \*P = 0.0018.

	Control group		HIV+ group	
	Cand + (n = 7)	Cand-(n = 22)	Cand + (n = 27)	Cand-(n = 17)
Unstimulated flow rate (ml min <sup><math>-1</math></sup> per gland)	$0.10~\pm~0.03$	$0.14~\pm~0.02$	$0.08 \pm 0.01*$	$0.15 \pm 0.02^*$
Stimulated flow rate (ml min <sup>-1</sup> per gland)	$0.48~\pm~0.05$	$0.49~\pm~0.03$	$0.29~\pm~0.03$	$0.33~\pm~0.04$
MG1 concentration (unit ml <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.47 \pm 0.11$	$0.35~\pm~0.04$	$0.52 \pm 0.09$	$0.61~\pm~0.07$
MG2 concentration (unit $ml^{-1}$ )	$0.63~\pm~0.09$	$0.59~\pm~0.06$	$0.92~\pm~0.10$	$0.98 \pm 0.13$
MG1 secretion (unit min <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.23~\pm~0.05$	$0.16~\pm~0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	$0.21 \pm 0.03$
MG2 secretion (unit $min^{-1}$ )	$0.31~\pm~0.05$	$0.28~\pm~0.03$	$0.24~\pm~0.03$	$0.34~\pm~0.06$
$CD4^+$ cell count (cell $\mu l^{-1}$ )	NA	NA	$470~\pm~40^{\ast\ast}$	$621~\pm~59^{**}$

 Table 5 The submandibular/sublingual flow rates, mucin concentrations and secretions and CD4+ cell count in HIV+ and control groups in relation to candida carriage status

Data expressed as mean ± standard error. NA, non-available.

\*P = 0.0158, \*\*P = 0.0326.

(Yeh *et al*, 1988; Atkinson *et al*, 1990; Mandel *et al*, 1992; Lin *et al*, 2001, 2003; Navazesh *et al*, 2003). The effect of HIV infection on salivary gland function in HIV + patients is still unclear. Several factors including xerostomic medications, immunosuppression, cytomegalovirus co-infection and HAART have been implicated (Greenberg *et al*, 1997; Navazesh *et al*, 2000). However, Lin *et al* (2003) failed to relate salivary gland hypofunction in HIV-infected patients to the use of xerostomic medications. Recently, an increased occurrence of salivary gland enlargement and dry mouth has been associated with HAART as part of a phenomenon called immune restoration or reconstitution disease (Hirsch *et al*, 2004; Navazesh *et al*, 2005).

In the present study, the stimulated SMSL flow rate in HIV + patients was reduced by 37% compared with controls. The protein secretion in HIV + subjects was also reduced by 32% compared with controls. These

findings confirm that the secretory function of SMSL glands is reduced in HIV infection and that the salivary composition is altered. We observed a negative association between the candida carriage status and flow rate in HIV-infected patients. This finding supports the theory that altered salivary function predisposes patients to oral candidiasis (Yeh et al, 1988; Jainkittivong et al, 1998; Lin et al, 2001). The etiology of oral candidiasis is more likely to be multifactorial (Ellepola and Samaranayake, 2001). Thus, in these HIV cohorts, it is not only hyposalivary function but also immunosuppression that predisposes patients to candida infection. This is supported by our findings in that the number of CD4<sup>++</sup> cells in HIV-infected patients was lower in Cand+ patients than that in *Cand*- patients.

With regard to mucin concentration, the levels of MG1 and MG2 were higher in the HIV+ subjects than

232

in the controls. However, there were no significant differences in the secretory rates of MG1 and MG2 between the HIV+ patient and control groups. Therefore, the higher concentration of mucins in HIV+ patients was attributed to the reduction in flow rate. Both in the HIV+ and control groups, the concentrations of MG2 were greater than those of MG1; this is contradictory to the finding of Rayment et al (2000). This difference may be due to a different source of mucins and the quantification techniques used. Rayment et al (2000) reported no correlation between mucin concentration and flow rate whereas our study did. Salivary flow rate, protein concentration, protein secretion and mucin concentration were not different between the two HAART subgroups. This may imply that HAART exerts no effect on salivary gland function.

The candida carriage rate of the HIV+ patients in our study (61.4%) was comparable to that of other studies reporting carriage rates of 54.8-81.3% (Teanpaisan and Nittayananta, 1998; Tsang and Samaranayake, 2000; Campisi et al, 2002; Patel et al, 2006). The CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count is commonly used as a marker for immunosuppression and disease progression in HIV-infected patients. In our sample, only one patient had a CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count less than 200. The median value for the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count indicates that the patients in this study were in the early stage of HIV infection. This may explain why the candida carriage status in the present study was not as high as those reported in other studies which were conducted on patients who were in a later stage of HIV infection. Patel et al (2006) showed the highest carriage rate and explained that their patients had lower CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts and did not have access to HAART. Our findings showed no significant differences in candida carriage rate and candida count between the two HAART subgroups. This observation, as in our previous report (Lin et al. 2006), is in contrast to several studies reporting that HAART reduces candidiasis and candida carriage in HIV-infected patients (Navazesh et al, 2005; Yang et al, 2006). It is suggested that HAART suppresses HIV replication and increases the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count. We found no difference in the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count between the two HAART subgroups. This may be explained by the fact that our patients were in the early stage of HIV infection and thus the differences may be too small to be significant.

We did not find an association between the mucin concentration and candida carriage status as expected. Again, this may be because our HIV + patients were in the early stage of infection and less than 50% of patients carried a high candida count. Thus, the association may be too weak to be detected. Studies of patients in later stages of HIV infection would probably give a better view of this association.

## Conclusion

Based upon the results of this study, the salivary flow rate and protein secretion of SMSL glands were reduced in HIV infection. Although the mucin concentrations were increased in HIV infection, the mucin secretions were not altered. No effect of HAART was noted on salivary function. We confirm the negative association between the level of candida carriage and the CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count and salivary flow rate in HIV-infected patients.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Karen Carlson and Ms. Guie Wong for their excellent technical assistance and/or saliva collection, and Chip Bradley (Air Force HIV/AIDS Research). We are also in debt to the USAF Medical Officers Drs. Janice M. Rusnak, Gregory P. Melcher, Kevin Stephan and Brian K. Agan for their coordination and encouragement. This study is supported by the Public Health Service grant DE12188 (C.-K.Y.) from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, and by US Army Medical Research and Development Command (WHMC HIV Unit).

#### Author contributions

Jainkittivong A. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. Lin A. L. provided the verified dataset and assisted with data analysis. Johnson D. A. advised the authors on data analysis, interpretation and manuscript writing. Langlais R. P. critically reviewed and edited the manuscript. Yeh C-K. directed the study, finalized the manuscript and served as the corresponding author.

#### References

- Almstahl A, Wikstraom M, Groenink J (2001). Lactoferrin, amylase and mucin MUC5B and their relation to the oral microflora in hyposalivation of different origins. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 16: 345–352.
- Arneberg P (1971). Quantitative determination of protein in saliva. A comparison of analytical methods. *Scand J Dent Res* **79:** 60–64.
- Atkinson JC, Yeh CK, Bermudez D *et al* (1989). Longitudinal evaluation of major salivary gland function in HIV-1 infected patients. *J Oral Pathol Med* **18**: 469–470.
- Atkinson JC, Yeh C, Oppenheim FG *et al* (1990). Elevation of salivary antimicrobial proteins following HIV-1 infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **3**: 41–48.
- Baughan LW, Robertello FJ, Sarrett DC et al (2000). Salivary mucins as related to oral Streptococcus mutans in elderly people. Oral Microbiol Immunol 15: 10–14.
- Bergey EJ, Cho MI, Hammarskjild ML et al (1993). Aggregation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by human salivary secretions. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 4: 467– 474.
- Campisi G, Pizzo G, Millici ME *et al* (2002). Candida carriage in the oral cavity of human immunodeficiency virus-infected subjects. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* **93**: 281–286.
- Cohen RE, Aguirre A, Neiders ME *et al* (1990). Immunochemistry of high molecular weight human salivary mucin. *Arch Oral Biol* **35**: 127–136.
- Cohen RE, Aguirre A, Neiders ME *et al* (1991). Immunochemistry and immunogenicity of low molecular weight human salivary mucin. *Arch Oral Biol* **36**: 347–356.
- Denny PC, Denny PA, Klauser DK *et al* (1991). Age-related changes in mucins from human whole saliva. *J Dent Res* **70**: 1320–1327.
- Ellepola ANB, Samaranayake LP (2001). Inhalation and topical steroids, and oral candidosis: a mini review. *Oral Dis* 7: 211–216.

- Enwonwu CO, Meeks VI (1996). Oral candidiasis, HIV, and saliva glucocorticoids. *Am J Pathol* **148**: 1313–1318.
  - Fox PC, Wolff A, Yeh CK *et al* (1988). Saliva inhibits HIV-1 infectivity. *J Am Dent Assoc* **116**: 635–637.
  - Greenberg MS, Glick M, Nghiem L *et al* (1997). Relationship of cytomegalovirus to salivary gland dysfunction in HIVinfected patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **83:** 334–339.
  - Greenspan D, Komaroff E, Redford M *et al* (2000). Oral mucosal lesions and HIV viral load in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **25**: 44–50.
  - Habte HH, Mall AS, de Beer C *et al* (2006). The role of crude human saliva and purified salivary MUC5B and MUC7 mucins in the inhibition of human immuno-deficiency virus type 1 in an inhibition assay. *Virology J* **3**: 99–110.
  - Hirsch HH, Kaufmann G, Sendi P *et al* (2004). Immune reconstitution in HIV-infected patients. *Clin Infect Dis* **38**: 1159–1166.
  - Jainkittivong A, Johnson DA, Yeh CK (1998). The relationship between salivary histatin levels and oral yeast carriage. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **13**: 181–187.
  - Klein RS, Harris CA, Small CB *et al* (1984). Oral candidiasis in high-risk patients as the initial manifestation of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **311**: 354–358.
  - Levine MJ, Herzberg MC, Levine MS *et al* (1978). Specificity of salivary-bacterial interactions: role of terminal sialic acid residues in the interaction of salivary glycoproteins with *Streptococcus sanquis* and *Streptococcus mutans*. *Infect Immun* **19**: 107–115.
  - Lin AL, Johnson DA, Patterson TF *et al* (2001). Salivary anticandidal activity and saliva composition in an HIV-infected cohort. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **16**: 270–278.
  - Lin AL, Johnson DA, Stephan KT *et al* (2003). Alteration in salivary function in early HIV infection. *J Dent Res* 82: 719–724.
  - Lin AL, Johnson DA, Sims CA *et al* (2006). Salivary gland function in HIV-infected patients treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **102:** 318–324.
  - Liu B, Offner GD, Nunes DP *et al* (1998). MUC4 is a major component of salivary mucin MG1 secreted by the human submandibular gland. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **250**: 757–761.
  - Mandel ID (1987). The functions of saliva. *J Dent Res* 66: 623–627.
  - Mandel ID, Barr CE, Turgeon L (1992). Longitudinal study of parotid saliva in HIV-1 infection. J Oral Pathol Med 21: 209–213.
  - Nagashunmugam T, Friedman HM, Davis C *et al* (1997). Human submandibular saliva specifically inhibits HIV type 1. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* **13**: 371–376.
  - Navazesh M, Mulligan RA, Komaroff E *et al* (2000). The prevalence of xerostomia and salivary gland hypofunction in a cohort of HIV-positive and at-risk women. *J Dent Res* **79**: 1502–1507.
  - Navazesh M, Mulligan RA, Barron Y *et al* (2003). A 4-year longitudinal evaluation of xerostomia and salivary gland hypofunction in the Women's Interagency HIV Study participants. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **95:** 693–698.
  - Navazesh M, Mulligan RA, Pogoda J *et al* (2005). The effect of HAART on salivary microbiota in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **100**: 701–708.

- Nielsen PA, Mandel U, Therkildsen MH *et al* (1996). Differential expression of human high-molecular-weight salivary mucin (MG1) and low-molecular-weight salivary mucin (MG2). *J Dent Res* **75**: 1820–1826.
- Nielsen PA, Bennett EP, Wandall HH et al (1997). Identification of a major human high molecular weight salivary mucin (MG1) as tracheobronchial mucin MUC5B. *Glycobiology* 7: 413–419.
- Ogasawara A, Komaki N, Akai H *et al* (2007). Hyphal formation of *Candida albicans* is inhibited by salivary mucin. *Biol Pharm Bull* **30**: 284–286.
- Ohmit SE, Sobel JD, Schuman P *et al* (2003). Longitudinal study of mucosal Candida species colonization and candidiasis among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-seropositive and at-risk HIV-seronegative women. *J Infect Dis* **188**: 118–127.
- Patel M, Shackleton JT, Coogan MM (2006). Effect of antifungal treatment on the prevalence of yeasts in HIV-infected subjects. *J Med Microbiol* **55**: 1279–1284.
- Pollock JJ, Santarpia RP 3rd, Heller HM *et al* (1992). Determination of salivary anticandidal activities in healthy adults and patients with AIDS: a pilot study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **5**: 610–618.
- Rayment SA, Liu B, Offner GD *et al* (2000). Immunoquantification of human salivary mucins MG1 and MG2 in stimulated whole saliva: factors influencing mucin levels. *J Dent Res* **79**: 1765–1772.
- Reichart PA (2003). Oral manifestations in HIV infection: fungal and bacterial infections, Kaposi's sarcoma. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 192: 165–169.
- Scannapieco FA (1994). Saliva–bacterium interaction in oral microbial ecology. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* **5:** 203–248.
- Schneyer LH (1956). Source of resting total mixed saliva of man. J Appl Physiol 9: 79-81.
- Situ H, Wei G, Smith CJ *et al* (2003). Human salivary MUC7 mucin peptides: effect of size, charge and cysteine residues on antifungal activity. *Biochem J* **375**: 175–182.
- Tabak LA (1990). Structure and function of human salivary mucins. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 1: 229–234.
- Teanpaisan R, Nittayananta W (1998). Prevalence of *Candida* species in AIDS patients and HIV-free subjects in Thailand. *J Oral Pathol Med* **27:** 4–7.
- Thornton DJ, Khan N, Mehrotra R *et al* (1999). Salivary mucin MG1 is compromised almost entirely of different glycosylated form of the MUC5B gene product. *Glycobiology* **9**: 293–302.
- Troxler RF, Iontcheva I, Oppenheim FG *et al* (1997). Molecular characterization of a major high molecular weight mucin from human sublingual gland. *Glycobiology* **7**: 965–973.
- Tsang CS, Samaranayake LP (2000). Oral yeasts and coliforms in HIV-infected individuals in Hong Kong. *Mycoses* **43**: 303–308.
- Yang YL, Lo HJ, Hung CC *et al* (2006). Effect of prolonged HAART on oral colonization with *Candida* and candidiasis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* **6**: 8–11.
- Yeh CK, Fox PC, Ship JA *et al* (1988). Oral defense mechanisms are impaired early in HIV-1 infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1: 361–366.
- Yeh CK, Handelman B, Fox PC *et al* (1992). Further studies of salivary inhibition of HIV-1 infectivity. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **5:** 898–903.
- Zalewska A, Zwierz K, Zolkowska K *et al* (2000). Structure and biosynthesis of human salivary mucins. *Acta Biochim Pol* **47**: 1067–1079.

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.