ORAL DISEASES

Oral Diseases (2010) 16, 146–150. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2009.01620.x © 2009 John Wiley & Sons A/S All rights reserved

http://www.blackwellmunksgaard.com

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The influence of handheld mobile phones on human parotid gland secretion

O Goldwein, DJ Aframian

Salivary Gland Clinic, Saliva Diagnostic Laboratory, Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Dental Medicine, Jerusalem, Israel

BACKGROUND: Handheld mobile phones (MPHs) have become a 'cultural' accessory device, no less so than a wrist watch. Nevertheless, the use of MPHs has given rise to great concern because of possible adverse health effects from exposure to the radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitted by the device. Previous studies suggested correlation between MPH and salivary gland tumors.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate whether **MPH** induces physiologic changes in the adjacent parotid gland, located on the dominant side, in terms of secretion rates and protein levels in the secreted saliva.

MATERIALS AND METHOD: Stimulated parotid saliva was collected simultaneously from both glands in 50 healthy volunteers whose MPH use was on a dominant side of the head.

RESULTS: A significantly higher saliva secretion rate was noticed in the dominant MPH side compared with that in the non-dominant side. Lower total protein concentration was obtained in the dominant compared with the non-dominant MPH side among the right dominant MPH users.

CONCLUSIONS: Parotid glands adjacent to handheld MPH in use respond by elevated salivary rates and decreased protein secretion reflecting the continuous insult to the glands. This phenomenon should be revealed to the worldwide population and further exploration by means of large-scale longitudinal studies is warranted. Oral Diseases (2010) 16, 146–150

Keywords: saliva; parotid glands; protein secretion; Handheld mobile phones; Specific absorption rate; Radio frequency radiation

Introduction

The mobile technology has emerged into our world rapidly and has caused many changes in our lifestyles. Statistics show that 79% of the US population and 90% of European and Asian teens own an MPH (Janssens, 2005; Infoplease, 2008). MPHs have caused great concern because of the possible adverse health effects from exposure to RFR emitted by the device, which operates as a receiver and a transmitter. Its operation is based on electromagnetic waves, specifically RF waves and microwaves. The transmission is performed through a range of frequencies between 800 and 2200 MHz. The radiation emitted is non-ionizing and the rate of exposure is defined as the rate of RF energy absorption in a weight or mass unit of a biologic body. It is measured by SAR (specific absorption rate) in Watts kg⁻¹ or mW g⁻¹.

In humans, the parotid glands are the largest salivary glands, situated in front of the ear and behind the ramus of the mandible in proximity to the skin of the face. Saliva is mainly composed of water (99%); however, the smaller component but still crucial for many tasks is the bioactive molecules secreted in saliva (Baum, 1993). The parotid glands secrete a so-called serous saliva and are mainly activated after stimulation resulting from smell, taste, and mastication activity (Pedersen *et al*, 2002).

Previous studies have suggested the possible health effects involved in the use of MPHs, of which several have assessed correlations with parotid gland tumors (Johansen *et al*, 2001; Repacholi, 2001; Auvinen *et al*, 2002; Hardell *et al*, 2004; Sadetzki *et al*, 2008). However, currently the health community still has a vague idea as to the extent of the harmful effects of mobile radiation on the human body in general, and on the adjacent parotid gland physiologic function in particular.

In this study, we compared the parotid salivary secretion rate and protein concentration between dominant and less dominant sides of subjects from a healthy population who use MPHs.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study cohort comprised 50 healthy volunteers (25 men, 25 women; mean age 27 ± 3.2 years, range 19–33 years; see Table 1). Exclusion criteria included drug abuse, chronic alcohol or smoking abuse, systemic chronic diseases, past head or neck injury, trauma, pregnancy, no preferable custom

Correspondence: DJ Aframian, Salivary Gland Clinic, Department of Oral Medicine, The Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Dental Medicine, Jerusalem, Israel P.O.B 12272, Zip code 91120. Tel: 972 2 677 6140, Fax: 972 2 644 7919, E-mail: dorona@cc.huji.ac.il Received 19 May 2009; revised 19 July 2009; accepted 25 July 2009

Table 1	Demographic	profile of	participants
---------	-------------	------------	--------------

Mobile phone users' features		
Gender (#)		
Male	25	
Female	25	
Marital status (#)	Married	Single
	12	38
Age (Years)	$27 \pm 3.2, 19-33$	
(Mean \pm s.d., Range)		
Calls (Day)	No. of calls	% of
		participants
	< 2	2
	3-5	42
	> 5	56
Daily time use (Min)		
(Mean \pm s.e.m., Range, Median)		
With hands-free accessories	$28 \pm 17, 8-60, 27$	
Without hands-free accessories	$24 \pm 16, 4-60, 26$	
Mobile phone use (Years)	$7 \pm 2, 3.5 - 11, 6.8$	
(Mean \pm s.e.m., Range, Median)		
Dominant side (#)		
Right	40	
Left	10	

to side holding the MPH, and no complaint of xerostomia (dry mouth sensation). All subjects used MPHs that do not exceed the permitted SAR limits.

Study protocol

Volunteers were asked not to eat, drink, or brush their teeth an hour before saliva collection. After filling in a questionnaire regarding MPH lifestyle, the saliva secretion rate of both parotid glands was measured simultaneously between 8 and 12 AM using a modification of the Carlson-Crittenden collector (Figure 1). Prior to placement of the cup, the buccal mucosa was dried with gauze and Stensen's ducts were slightly squeezed to locate the ducts' orifices. To maintain an equal force of suction from both sides, a calibrated stopper was placed on the syringe connected to the tube. Salivary flow was stimulated with 2% citric acid, which was applied with a cotton swab on the tongue and oral part of the lower lip bilaterally at 30 s intervals for 2 min. Saliva was collected for another 3 min, adding to a total collection time of 5 min. Samples were kept on ice during and after saliva collection (Figure 1). Thereafter, the samples were centrifuged at 14 000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and protein concentration determined according to the procedure described by Bradford (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

Mean values, median standard deviation (SDM), and standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) were calculated. An incidence distribution comparison of categorical variables was carried out using the chi-squared test. For samplings that were smaller than 5, a comparison of incidence distribution was carried out using the'Fisher-Irwin Exact' tests. A comparison of mean values of continuous variables between two subgroups was performed using T tests. A comparison of medians of continuous variables between two subgroups was carried out using the 'Wilcoxon' test. The variable of daily use of MPH without a speaker was calculated by multiplying the total daily use of MPH and the percent of MPH use without a speaker. The variables of salivary flow and the amount of protein in 1 ml of saliva were assessed as a ratio between the dominant and nondominant side values.

The correlations between the variables of saliva secretion and protein per ml of saliva and the variables of MPH use and total daily time of MPH use were assessed by means of 'Pearson' correlation tests. A comparison of variable values between two subgroups of participants was obtained using the 'one-way analysis of variance'. All statistical tests were analysed to a significance level of 0.05.

Results

A total of 50 healthy individuals (25 men and 25 women, mean age 27 \pm 3.2 years) participated in the study, with a mean of 7 years of MPH use (Table 1). More than half reported using MPH at least five times a day, with only 2% using MPH twice a day. Almost half of the participants used hands-free accessories. A total of 40 participants (80%) used the right ear more frequently and the remaining the left ear.

Saliva secretion rate

In subjects whose dominant side was the right, the overall mean stimulated parotid flow rate measured was almost 1.5-fold higher than the rate from the left parotid gland (P = 0.001, Table 2). In subjects whose dominant side was left, the overall stimulated parotid flow rate



Figure 1 Picture of a participant with a Carlson–Crittenden collector (a) attached to both parotid glands' orifices (b). A modification was made to keep the samples in an ice basket (c)

Table 2 Saliva secretion rate from parotid glands (*P = 0.001)

Saliva secretion rate (ml per 5 min)	Left	Right
Dominant right		
Participants	40	40
Range, Median	0.01-0.50, 0.12	0.036-0.67, 0.24
Mean \pm s.e.m.	$0.18^* \pm 0.022$	$0.26^* \pm 0.025$
Dominant left		
Participants	10	10
Range, Median	0.023-0.644, 0.19	0.071-0.479, 0.16
Mean \pm s.e.m.	$0.25~\pm~0.067$	$0.20~\pm~0.045$
Saliva secretion ratio	D	ominant/Non-dominant
Total participants		50
Range		0.15-12.0
Mean \pm s.e.m.		$2.54~\pm~0.40$

measured was almost identical to that of the right parotid gland, respectively.

Overall, a 2.54-fold increase in salivary secretion rate was found between the dominant and non-dominant sides.

As shown in Figure 2a, a middling correlation strength was found between the dominant and non-dominant sides and the number of years of MPH use (r = -0.45, P = 0.002). No correlation was found between daily MPH time use and the saliva secretion rate (r = -0.20).

Protein concentrations

The mean protein concentration in subjects whose dominant side was right showed significantly higher concentrations in saliva secreted in the left gland (P = 0.006) (Table 3). On the other hand, no significant differences were found between the two parotid glands in subjects whose dominant side was left (Table 3). Overall, the total protein per ml concentration (n = 50) was slightly higher in the dominant side by 1.2-folds, with no significant difference.

No correlation was found between the number of years of MPH use and protein concentration (r = 0.08) or between daily MPH time use and protein concentration (r = 0.03) (Figure 2b–d).

Discussion

The objective of this study was to examine whether the use of MPH influences the parotid glands' 2 fundamental functions; rate of saliva secretion and protein concentration in saliva. The main outcome was a 2.54fold increase in the salivary secretion rate between the dominant and non-dominant sides. One may argue that as mastication forces are superior on the dominant side of the cranio-facial complex, higher secretion rates will result from the parotid gland on the equivalent side because of the masticatory-salivary reflex. Conversely, Burlage *et al* previously found no significant difference in the overall mean stimulated parotid flow rate measured in healthy subjects $(0.33 \pm 0.13 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$ and $0.33 \pm 0.14 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$ for the left and right parotid glands, respectively) (Burlage *et al*, 2005).

Salivary secretion is regulated by the autonomic parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous system. Collectively, both arms are responsible for secretion, whereas the parasympathetic pathway induces more waterish saliva and the sympathetic one generates the protein secretory component. Interestingly, in contrast to higher salivary secretion rates in the dominant side, decreased protein concentration was measured from the right dominant side compared with that from the left non-dominant MPH side.

This divergent effect on fluid *vs* protein concentrations in this study may be attributed to different effects of the MPH use on parasympathetic and sympathetic pathways. An intriguing observation was recently reported on the influence of the MPH on heart rate variability parameters in healthy volunteers (Andrzejak *et al*, 2008). This study showed that the parasympathetic tone increased while the sympathetic tone decreased during MPH use. The authors further suggested that the electromagnetic field generated by MPH may affect the autonomic nervous system by modulating the function of the circulatory system (Andrzejak *et al*, 2008).

There are two known possible effects of the mobile energy on the human body – thermal and non-thermal. The heating of biological tissue is a result of microwave energy absorption by the water content of the tissues (Hyland, 2000). Some parts of the body are more vulnerable to a small temperature rise, such as the testes and eyes. Moreover, mobile radiation can modify cutaneous blood flow (Monfrecola et al, 2003). Symptoms reported by MPH users include a feeling of warmth on the ear and behind it, and a feeling of burning and tingling on the face (Sandstrom et al, 2001). Monfrecola et al found an elevation in skin perfusion when the MPH was switched on and in the proximity of the skin. Consequently, the repetitive use of the MPHs causes an elevation in skin temperature and induces an increase in the perfusion of the tissue to cool it down. Furthermore, MPH generates heat in adjacent tissues, no greater than 0.1°C for the highestpowered models (Van Leeuwen et al. 1999). Nevertheless, continuous use results in a warm sensation on the skin adjacent to the MPH's location during transmission (Sandstrom et al, 2001; Straume et al, 2005). In our study, 44% of the participants reported a warm sensation on their cheek, 10% on their ear, and 6% on both areas. We hypothesized that the enriched capillary bed adjacent to the parotid glands may result in an increase of perfusion because of blood vessel propagation over an extensive time of exposure to heat, leading to an increase in the salivary rate flow. Another rationale for increased salivary flow from the dominant MPH side because of thermal effect may be attributed to secretory parenchymal tissue expansion. It has been shown previously that heat acclimation of rats for up to 28 days changes the ratio of weight to size in the salivary glands (Horowitz and Soskolne, 1978; David et al, 2008). Further studies should be conducted to

Mobile phones and human parotid gland secretion O Goldwein and DI Aframian



Figure 2 Correlation between (a) number of years of MPH use and saliva secretion, (b) daily MPH time use and saliva secretion, (c) number of years of MPH use and protein concentration and (d) daily MPH time use and protein concentration

Table 3 Protein concentration in secreted parotid saliva (*P = 0.006)

Protein per ml	Left	Right
Dominant right		
Participants	40	40
Range, Median	0.128-33.2, 1.19	0.095-6.562, 0.53
Mean \pm s.e.m.	$3.52^* \pm 1.18$	$1.12^* \pm 0.205$
Dominant left		
Participants	10	10
Range, Median	0.26-11.90, 0.69	0.311-4.818, 1
Mean ± s.e.m.	2.13 ± 1.13	$1.47~\pm~0.455$
Protein ratio		Dominant/Non-dominant
Total participants		50
Range		0.07-12.6
Mean \pm s.e.m.		$1.2~\pm~0.27$

assess the parenchymal volume of parotid glands to test this assumption.

The mean time of the MPH use in this study was 7 years (median 6.8 years). Interestingly, when the number of years of MPH use increased, the ratio of saliva secretion between the dominant and non-dominant sides decreased (Figure 2), r = -0.45, P = 0.002). One explanation might be a compensatory mechanism resulting from continuous insult to the dominant MPH side. Such a process is known to occur in salivary glands (Henson *et al*, 1999; Chaushu *et al*, 2001).

In conclusion, we found changes in the salivary secretion and protein concentration because of MPH use. More studies should focus on the effect of MPH use in the long run on the normal function of parotid glands, by, for instance, analyzing the protein profile of the affected glands.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Batia Zaks for her excellent assistance in this study.

Author contribution

This study was prepared as part of Dr Goldweins' D. M. D. thesis mentored by Dr Aframian.

References

- Andrzejak R, Poreba R, Poreba M *et al* (2008). he Influence of the call with a mobile phone on heart rate variability parameters in healthy volunteers. *Ind Health* **46**: 409–417.
- Auvinen A, Hietanen M, Luukkonen R et al (2002). Brain Tumors and salivary gland cancers among cellular telephone users. Epidemiology 13: 356–359.
- Baum BJ (1993). Principles of saliva secretion. Ann N Y Acad Sci 694: 17–23.
- Burlage FR, Pijpe J, Coppes RP *et al* (2005). Variability of flow rate when collecting stimulated human parotid. *Eur J Oral Sci* **113**: 386–390.
- Chaushu G, Dori S, Sela B *et al* (2001). Salivary flow dynamics after parotid surgery: a preliminary report. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* **124**: 270–273.
- David R, Shai E, Aframian DJ, Palmon A (2008). Isolation and cultivation of integrin alpha(6)beta(1)-expressing salivary gland graft cells: a model for use with an artificial salivary gland. *Tissue Eng Part A* **14**: 331–337.

149

- Hardell L, Hallquist A, Mild K *et al* (2004). No association between the use of cellular or cordless telephones and salivary gland tumors. *Occu Environ Med* **61:** 675–679.
- Henson BS, Eisbruch A, D'Hondt E, Ship JA (1999). Two year longitudinal study of parotid salivary flow rates in head and neck cancer patients receiving unilateral neck parotid-sparing radiotherapy treatment. *Oral Oncol* **35:** 234– 241.
- Horowitz M, Soskolne WA (1978). Cellular dynamics of rats' submaxillary gland during heat acclimatization. *J Appl Physiol* **44**: 21–24.
- Hyland GJ (2000). Physics and biology of mobile telephony. *Lancet* **356**: 1833–1836.
- Infoplease. (2008). Cell phone use. Retrieved July 6, 2008, from http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0873825.html.
- Janssens JP (2005). Mobile phones and cancer? *Eur J Cancer Prev* 14: 81–82.
- Johansen C, Boice J, McLaughlin J *et al* (2001). Cellular telephones and cancer a nationwide cohort study in Denmark. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **93**: 203–207.
- Monfrecola G, Moffa G, Procaccini EM (2003). Non ionizing electromagnetic radiations, emitted by a cellular phone, modify cutaneous blood flow. *Dermatology* **207:** 10–14.

- Pedersen AM, Bardow A, Jensen SB, Nauntofte B (2002). Saliva and gastrointestinal functions of taste, mastication, swallowing and digestion. *Oral Dis* 8: 117–129.
- Repacholi MH (2001). Health risks from the use of mobile phones. *Toxicol Lett* **120**: 323–331.
- Sadetzki S, Chetrit A, Jarus-Hakak A *et al* (2008). Mobile phone use and risk of benign and malignant parotid gland tumors-a nationwide case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* **167:** 457–467.
- Sandstrom M, Wilen J, Mild KH (2001). Mobile phone use and subjective symptoms comparison of symptoms experienced by users of analogue and digital mobile phones. *Bioelectromagnetics* **51**: 25–35.
- Straume A, Oftedal G, Johnson A (2005). Skin temperature increase caused by a mobile phone: a methodological infrared camera study. *Bioelectromagnetics* **26:** 510–519.
- Van Leeuwen GM, Lagendijk JJ, Van Leersum BJ, Zwamborn AP, Hornsleth SN, Kotte AN (1999). Calculation of change in brain temperatures due to exposure to a mobile phone. *Phys Med Biol* **44**: 2367–2379.

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Blackwell Publishing Limited and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.