Oral Diseases (2011) 17, 771–778 doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2011.01833.x © 2011 John Wiley & Sons A/S All rights reserved

www.wiley.com

REVIEW ARTICLE

DNA methylation in oral squamous cell carcinoma: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications

I González-Ramírez, C García-Cuellar, Y Sánchez-Pérez, M Granados-García

Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Subdirección de Investigación Básica, México City, México

DNA methylation is an important regulator of gene transcription, and its role in carcinogenesis has been a topic of considerable interest in the last few years. Of the all epigenetic modifications, methylation, which represses transcription of the promoter region of tumor suppressor genes leading to gene silencing, has been most extensively studied. Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) has long been known to be the endpoint of many genetic changes, not only genomic mutations but also abnormal epigenetic modifications, as such, promoter methylation, contribute to development of this tumors. Recent studies have shown that promoter methylation of tumor suppressor genes is an important factor in carcinogenesis of OSCC. Some of the main genes that frequently showed promoter methylation in OSCC are those that participate in diverse processes such as regulation of the cell cycle, DNA repair, proliferation, and apoptosis. The aim of this review is to assess the current state of knowledge regarding promoter methylation of diverse genes in OSCC.

Oral Diseases (2011) 17, 771–778

Keywords: oral carcinoma; DNA methylation; biomarker; therapy

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the sixth most common malignancy in the world today; approximately 405 000 cases of OSCC are diagnosed each year, with a rising incidence in many countries (Marsh *et al*, 2011). Accounting for between 90% and 95% of all malignant lesions of the mouth, OSCC has become practically synonymous with oral cancer.

Early detection of OSCC is important to reduce mortality rates and to help provide successful cancer treatment. The etiology of OSCC is multifactorial and involves intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Although it is well known that tobacco and alcohol are the two primary environmental risk factors associated with the development of OSCC (Hashibe *et al*, 2009), it is now recognized that HPV infection plays an important role in the pathogenesis of oral cancer, although it is higher for the oropharyngeal subset (Dayyani *et al*, 2010; Machado *et al*, 2010).

Methylation is a common epigenetic mechanism that leads to gene silencing in tumors and could be a useful biomarker in OSCC; thus, the promoter methylation of many tumor suppressor genes has been reported (Ha and Califano, 2006; Shaw, 2006; Perez-Sayans *et al*, 2009; Diez-Perez *et al*, 2011).

The aim of this review is to analyze the current state of DNA methylation in OSCC as well as to emphasize the important role of epigenetic biomarker usage in the prognosis, diagnosis, and therapy associated with OSCC.

DNA methylation

DNA methylation is an epigenetic modification that is significant in controlling gene activity as well as in cell structure (Esteller, 2008). DNA methylation usually takes place at the 5' position of the cytosine ring within CpG nucleotides, and its consequence is the silencing of genes and noncoding genomic regions. The CpG dinucleotides are found in 1 per 80 dinucleotides in 98% of the human genome; when they are grouped in small fragments of DNA, they are called CpG islands, which cover from 1% to 12% of the total human genome; CpG islands are located throughout one of the DNA chains, usually placed near a promoter gene or in regions of large repetitive sequences (e.g., centromeric repeats, retrotransposon elements, rDNA, etc.) (Kargul and Laurent, 2009; Sharma et al, 2010). Although, in the latter case, most of the CpGs are methylated to prevent chromosome instability, the majority of CpG islands remain unmodified during development and in differentiated tissues (Suzuki and Bird, 2008). Recent findings also suggest that extensive DNA methylation

Correspondence: Imelda González-Ramírez, San Fernando No. 22, Tlalpan, 14080 México City, México. Tel: +52 55 5628 0462, Fax: +52 55 5628 0432, E-mails: zoegon14@hotmail.com, imeldagr14@ gmail.com

Received 12 May 2011; revised 18 June 2011; accepted 20 June 2011

changes caused by differentiation take place at CpG island "shores", regions of comparatively low CpG density close to CpG islands (Meissner *et al*, 2008; Doi *et al*, 2009).

The enzymes directly responsible for CpG island hypermethylation of tumor-suppressor genes are known as DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), and there are three main DNMTs: DNMT1, which maintains the existing methylation patterns following DNA replication, and DNMT3A and DNMT3B, de novo enzymes that target previously unmethylated CpGs⁵ (Rodriguez-Paredes and Esteller, 2011). The DNA methylation code has to be read by cell; the information stored by hypermethylation CpG islands is in part interpreted by methyl-CpG binding proteins (MBDs). MBDs are important "translators" between DNA methylation and histone-modifier genes that establish a transcriptionally inactive chromatin environment. This family of proteins consist of five well-characterized members (MeCP2, MBD1, MBD2, MBD3, and MBD4) (Ballestar and Esteller, 2005). MBDs proteins are associated with hypermethylated CpG island promoters of tumorsuppressor genes and their transcriptional silencing (Ballestar et al, 2003; Lopez-Serra et al, 2006). The finding that MeCP2 represses transcription of methylated DNA through the recruitment of a histone deacetylase-containing complex established for the first time a mechanistic connection between DNA methylation and transcriptional repression by the modification of chromatin (Jones *et al*, 1998).

Methylation is an important mechanism in transcriptional regulation and an actual correlation has been observed between the density of methylated cytosine residues and transcriptional activity (Jones and Baylin, 2007; Kargul and Laurent, 2009). Epigenetic changes in cancer have traditionally been evaluated by measuring the status of the CpG island cytosine methylation of a particular gene; the molecular techniques used to detect methylation have evolved from the Southern blot to more sensitive quantitative-PCR techniques. To date, diverse techniques used at present to detect methylation provide good sensitivity, specificity, and speed, as shown in Table 1.

Impact of diet, lifestyle, and environmental factors in DNA methylation

Dietary, lifestyle, and other environmental factors induce epigenetics alterations that may have important consequences for cancer development. The concept that carcinogens and lifestyle factors contribute to tumorigenesis through epigenetic mechanisms is critical and holds great promise in disease prevention and treatment (Marsit *et al*, 2009). Existing evidence supports the

Table 1 Molecular techniques for detecting DNA methylation

Method	Description	Limitations		
Nearest neighbor analysis (Gruenbaum <i>et al</i> , 1981)	Residue detection 3'of methylated cytosines in the whole genome	No connection to genes or methylated positions in the DNA		
Restriction digestion–Southern blot (SB) (Singer-Sam <i>et al</i> , 1990)	Methylation-sensitive and-insensitive restriction enzyme digestion and SB hybridization	For specific sites only, requires abundant DNA, relatively low sensitivity		
Methylated CpG island amplification (Toyota <i>et al</i> , 1999)	Methylation-sensitive enzyme cutting and subtractive hybridization of normal and tumor DNA samples	Limited specificity owing to partial digestion, ligation or hybridization		
Genomic bisulfite sequencing (BS) (Frommer <i>et al</i> , 1992)	Sodium bisulfate conversion of cytosine (but not methylcytosine) to uracil, PCR amplification and sequencing	Complicated procedure limiting efficiency		
Combined bisulfite restriction analysis (Xiong & Laird, 1997)	As in BS but direct sequencing replaced by restriction digestion of sites potentially affected by bisulfite	For specific sites only		
Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) (Herman <i>et al</i> , 1996)	As in BS but using PCR amplification with primers differentiating between cytosine and methylcytosine	Mainly for surveying CpG-rich regions of DNA (CpG island)		
Methylation-sensitive single nucleotide primer extension (Gonzalgo & Jones, 1997)	Sodium bisulfate treatment with primer extension reaction involving radioactive labeled cytosine or thymidine	Slow in large scales, only few cytosines can be analyzed simultaneously		
MSP with efficient detection (MethyLight) (Eads <i>et al</i> , 2000)	Sodium bisulfate treatment, MSP primer reaction, real-time fluorescence analysis	Mainly for surveying CpG-rich regions of DNA (CpG island)		
Restriction landmark genomic scanning (Hatada <i>et al</i> , 1991)	Methylation-sensitive and-insensitive restriction digestions, isotope labeling, 2-D electrophoresis	Requires appropriate enzymes and relatively abundant DNA		
Differential methylation hybridization (Huang <i>et al</i> , 1999)	Methylation-sensitive enzyme cutting, hybridization of PCR amplified and labeled normal and tumor DNA on array of fragments from CpG island genomic library	Requires appropriate enzymes and relatively abundant DNA		
Methylation-specific oligonucleotide microarray method (MSO) (Gitan <i>et al</i> , 2002)	Sodium bisulfite treatment, PCR amplification, hybridization on glass slide array of oligonucleotides that discriminate between converted and unconverted CpG	Can miss sparse methylation		
Microarray-based DNA methylation analysis (Adorjan <i>et al</i> , 2002)	As MSO but using PCR amplification with primers not overlapping the CpG dinucleotides of the target genes	Limited choice of suitable PCR primers		

772

notion that all recognized epigenetic marks, such as DNA methylation, are influenced by environmental exposure, including diet, tobacco, alcohol, physical activity, stress, environmental carcinogens, genetic factors, and infectious agents, which play important roles in the etiology of cancer (Chen and Xu, 2010; Mathers *et al*, 2010; Ross, 2010).

Folate, found in fresh fruits and vegetables, is essential in the conversion of methionine to S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), the principle methyl donor for methylation (Ross, 2010). Alcohol intake has also been reported to impair folate absorption, increase folate excretion, and interfere with one carbon metabolism (Mason and Choi, 2005). Researchers recently have evaluated whether diet and multivitamin use influences the prevalence of gene promoter methylation in cells exfoliated from the aerodigestive tract of current and former smokers (Stidley et al, 2010). In this study, the promoter methylation of eight genes was analyzed; methylation status was categorized as low (fewer than two genes methylated) or high (two or more genes methylated); significant protection against methylation was observed for consumers of leafy green vegetables and folate as well as for current users of multivitamins. These findings support the concept that novel interventions to prevent cancer could be explored based on the ability of diet and dietary supplements to affect reprogramming of the epigenome (Stidley et al, 2010).

The folates function in cells as their reduced form conjugated with a polyglutamate chain; they have a single type of function in that they can accept so-called C1 units from various donors and pass them on in various biosynthetic reactions (Scott and Weir, 1994; Scott, 1999). Thus, in cells folates will be a mixture of polyglutamyl tetrahydrofolates and various C1 forms of tetrahydrofolate (e.g., 10-formyl-, 5, 10 methylene-and 5-methyltetrahydrofolate), depending on which C group is attached to them. Alternatively, the form of folate (5.10-methylenetetrahydrofolate) used for thymidylate synthase can be channeled up to the "methylation cycle". This cycle performs important function; it ensures that the cell always has an adequate supply of SAM, which is an activated form of methionine acting as a methyl donor to a whole range of methyltransferases. Other methyltransferases down-regulate DNA and suppress cell division, methylate 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine and lipids, etc. (Scott, 1999).

On the other hand, the relationship among tobacco, alcohol, HPV infection, and methylation in OSCC is not clear. Tobacco and alcohol use are the most important known risk factors for the development of OSCC, suggesting that the exposure to these risk factors may increase the predisposition for genetic and epigenetic alterations such as DNA methylation. Guerrero-Preston *et al* (2009) reported that global DNA methylation index was shown to vary for oral cancer cases with different etiologies. In this study, predictive simulations were performed to explore associations between etiological factors and global DNA methylation in OSCC samples. The global methylation index was found to be 4.28 (95% CI, 4.1-4.4) in an oral cancer series, without statistically significant differences between the global DNA methylation levels of patients who had smoking (P = 0.21), drinking (P = 0.31), and HPV insertion (P = 0.34) compared with patients who did not. According to predictive simulations, an inverse association between smoking and DNA methylation was observed; as the probability of smoking increases, the probability of DNA methylation decreases, and on the other hand, no associations between the probability of DNA methylation and drinking or DNA methylation and HPV insertion also were observed. Finally, no difference in global DNA methylation levels between cases with different etiologies was observed. Global genomic DNA hypomethylation may precede and subsequently coexist with gene-specific promoter hypermethylation and hypomethylation in cancer (Guerrero-Preston et al, 2009).

Nevertheless, Smith *et al* (2007) reported in squamous cell head and neck cancer (HNC) samples that about 67% of tumors showed a degree of global hypomethylation that exceeded the measure of any normal mucosal specimen; these results suggest that, despite promoter hypermethylation of individual tumor suppressor genes, HNC are global hypomethylated. In relation with smoking status and global status of methylation in tumors, tobacco exposure may be causing genome-wide damage apparent in this epigenetic assay; finally, global methylation mean levels were reduced in advanced clinical stage (IV/45.7), compared with the others clinical stages (I/48.4, II/47.0, III/48.8), suggesting this epigenetic change worsens as tumorigenesis progresses (Smith *et al*, 2007).

Moreover, according to a recent study (González-Ramírez et al, 2011), the promoter methylation of the hMLH1 gene was not associated with alcohol and tobacco consumption in OSCC, in agreement with others reported date (Taioli et al, 2009). Nevertheless, Sengupta et al (2007) found that a significant proportion of smokers exhibited hMLH1 methylation compared with non-smokers (35%) (P = 0.001) in head and neck carcinoma and oral leucoplakia samples (Sengupta et al, 2007). Additionally, de Freitas Cordeiro-Silva et al (2011) analyzed the methylation status of cancer-related genes by methylation-specific PCR (MSP) in normal oral exfoliated cells from patients with OSCC and their probable association with tobacco and alcohol consumption; the results showed no association between methylation and smoking/drinking habits (de Freitas Cordeiro-Silva et al, 2011).

On other hand, von Zeidler *et al* (2004) evaluated the methylation status of the $p16^{INK4A}$ gene in potentially malignant oral lesions with DNA samples from normal mucosa and the posterior tongue border from 258 smokers without oral cancer. Using methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes and PCR amplification, MSP, or direct DNA sequence of bisulfate modified DNA, hypermethylation was detected in 9.7% of the cases analyzed; these findings confirmed that methylation in tumor suppressor genes is an early event that might confer cell growth advantages contributing to the tumorigenic process. Thus, the detection of an abnormal

 $p16^{INK4A}$ methylation pattern may be a valuable tool for early oral cancer detection in people with risk factors for the development of oral cancer (von Zeidler *et al*, 2004).

In relation to infectious agents, HPV was detected in about 20% of all HNC; while there is strong evidence for a causal etiological role in the case of tonsillar carcinomas, there is no conclusive evidence of a causal association with malignant lesions of the oral cavity (Machado *et al*, 2010). A previous study (Balderas-Loaeza *et al*, 2007) reported hypermethylation of important segments of the viral DNA in 10 of 12 HPV-16-positive oral carcinomas from Mexican patients. These data indicate epigenetic changes of HPV-16 in oral carcinomas similar to those of other carcinomas related to HPV, suggesting carcinogenic processes under the influence of HPV-16 in most, if not all, of these oral malignant lesions.

Silencing of genes by promoter methylation in OSCC

Several studies have shown that promoter methylation of many genes is an important factor in the carcinogenesis of OSCC. As shown in Table 2, many genes have been tested for methylation in OSCC tissue, and it is important to note numerous studies in head and neck cancer, including the subset of the oral cavity. These

tumor-suppressor genes all have a mechanistic basis for their role in carcinogenesis and are generally implicated in other tumor types (Ha and Califano, 2006). Some of the main genes that frequently showed promoter methvlation in OSCC are those that participate in the different cellular pathways such as: regulation of the cell cycle, such as the $p16^{INK4A}$ gene, an inhibitor of kinase dependent on the cyclin, located in the 9p21 chromosome; this gene was first identified as a putative tumor-suppressor gene. The $p16^{INK4A}$ gene has been reported as methylated in OSCC at frequencies of 23– 67% (Viswanathan et al, 2003; Kulkarni and Saranath, 2004). The alterations in this gene affect cell cycle regulation, specifically when suppressing the G1 phase. Other participant genes in cell cycle regulation are $p14^{\text{ARF}}$ and $p15^{INK4B}$, having reported methylated rates ranging from 14% to 43% (Ogi *et al*, 2002; Ishida *et al*, 2005) for $p14^{\text{ARF}}$ and from 9% to 23% for $p15^{INK4B}$ (Ogi et al, 2002; Viswanathan et al, 2003). Existing date suggest that co-methylation of $p16^{INK4A}$ and $p14^{ARF}$ is associated with tumor progression. Ishida *et al* (2005) detected correlating of $p16^{INK4A}/p14^{ARF}$ co-methylation with lymph node metastasis and tumor clinical stage. Hypermethylation of $p14^{ARF}$ alone also appeared to be significantly associated with clinical stage (Ishida et al. 2005).

The genes involved in DNA repair are also affected by methylation; for example, the genes of the system of

Table 2 Promoter methylation in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and head and neck carcinoma

References	Tissue	n	$p14^{ARF}$ (%)	p15 ^{INK4B} (%)	p16 ^{INK4A} (%)	E-cadherin (%)	DAPK (%)	MGMT (%)	MLH1 (%)
Nakahara et al (2001)	OSCC	32	NT	NT	50	NT	NT	NT	NT
Chang et al (2002)	OSCC	70	NT	NT	NT	64	NT	NT	NT
Huang et al (2002)	OSCC	48	NT	NT	42	NT	NT	NT	NT
Ogi et al (2002)	OSCC	96	14	9	29	NT	7	NT	0
Yeh et al (2002)	OSCC	48	NT	NT	NT	85	NT	NT	NT
Viswanathan et al (2003)	OSCC	99	NT	23	23	35	NT	41	8
Kulkarni and Saranath (2004)	OSCC	60	NT	NT	67	NT	68	52	NT
Ishida et al (2005)	OSCC	49	20	NT	35	NT	NT	12	NT
Tran et al (2005)	OSCC	27	NT	NT	63	NT	NT	NT	NT
Kato <i>et al</i> (2006)	OSCC	55	NT	NT	51	NT	NT	56	NT
Czerninski et al (2009)	OSCC	28	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	17
Ohta <i>et al</i> (2009)	OSCC	44	NT	NT	64	NT	NT	NT	NT
Supic et al (2009)	OSCC	77	NT	NT	58	43	37	34	NT
Kordi-Tamandani et al (2010)	OSCC	76	NT	NT	NT	62	NT	74	NT
González-Ramírez et al (2011)	OSCC	50	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	76
Sanchez-Cespedes et al (2000)	HNC	95	NT	NT	27	NT	18	33	NT
Rosas et al (2001)	HNC	30	NT	NT	47	NT	33	23	NT
Hasegawa et al (2002)	HNC	80	NT	NT	32	36	24	NT	NT
Liu et al (2002)	HNC	62	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	92
Liu et al (2003)	HNC	78	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	50
Maruya et al (2004)	HNC	32	20	NT	33	2	25	37	NT
Puri et al (2005)	HNC	62	NT	NT	36	NT	NT	30	23
Demokan et al (2006)	HNC	116	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	47
Calmon et al (2007)	HNC	43	30	NT	63	88	81	NT	NT
Righini et al (2007)	HNC	90	11	18	29	36	27	29	2
Sengupta et al (2007)	HNC	99	NT	23	23	35	NT	41	8
De Schutter et al (2009)	HNC	46	NT	NT	5	13	11	42	NT
Zuo et al (2009)	HNC	120	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	32
Tawfik et al (2011)	HNC	49	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	87

NT = no tested, HNC included OSCC samples.

774

DNA mismatch reparation, such as hMLH1, that contribute to the maintenance of genetic sequence, minimizing cell death, mutation rates, replication errors, DNA damage persistence, and genomic instability. The hMLH1 gene is located in the 3p21 chromosome and is expressed in constantly renewed cells such as the epithelial cells of the oral mucosa and the gastrointestinal tract. This gene has reported methylation rates ranging from 8% to 76% in OSCC (Viswanathan et al, 2003; González-Ramírez et al. 2011). The silencing of this gene through methylation avoids the elimination of base-base likings, facilitating malignant transformations by genetic mutation accumulation. Recently, González-Ramírez et al (2011), in a case-control study among Mexican people with 50 patients with OSCC and 200 control samples of healthy individuals, found that promoter methylation of hMLH1 was detected in 38 (76%) patients with OSCC but in none of the control samples; additionally, the study showed a high frequency of methylation cases with negative expression for the hMLH1 protein corresponding to an early clinical stage. These results suggest that promoter methylation of hMLH1 is an early event in oral cancer (González-Ramírez et al, 2011).

Another important DNA repair gene is the MGMT (O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase), gene located at the 9p21 chromosome. MGMT is a detoxifying agent of DNA adducts. It is important in preventing alkylation and, thus, could be predictive of chemosensibility (Ha and Califano, 2006). The importance of the MGMT gene lies in the repairing of the genome when it suffers damage from by alkylation because it can eliminate the alkyl groups found in position O^6 of guanine, which safeguards genomic stability (Kato et al, 2006). This gene has a reported methylation rate of 26-74% in OSCC cases (Ishida et al, 2005; Kordi-Tamandani et al, 2010). Kato et al (2006) described that MGMT promoter hypermethylation and loss of their protein expression can be used as reliable and prognostic predictors for tumor recurrence and patient survival in HNC (Kato et al, 2006). Moreover, Kordi-Tamandani et al (2010) recently showed that MGMT methylation may be considered as a potential molecular marker for the poor survival in advanced OSCC (Kordi-Tamandani et al, 2010).

The role of MGMT in the resistance to alkylating chemotherapy is associated with the silencing of the MGMT gene by promoter methylation that results in decreased MGMT expression in tumor cells (Qian and Brent, 1997). This is then followed by the removal of the methyl group from the O⁶ position of guanine, thereby restoring the nucleotide to its native form without causing any DNA strand breaks. On transfer of the alkyl group to an internal cysteine residue in the active site of MGMT (Hegi *et al*, 2008). Although the O⁶ position of guanine is not the most common target of alkylating agents, the resulting promutagenic lesions act as an important trigger for cytotoxicity and apoptosis (Ochs and Kaina, 2000).

A positive mediator in apoptosis induction is the protein produced by the DAPK gene, which, in OSCC cases, has been found to be methylated at rates ranging from 7% to 68% (Ogi *et al*, 2002; Kulkarni and Saranath, 2004). Interestingly, for head and neck cancer, a correlation between the methylation of DAPK and the presence of metastatic lymph nodes has been reported (Sanchez-Cespedes *et al*, 2000).

The promoter methylation patterns of the MGMT, $p16^{INK4A}$, and DAPK genes have been used as a molecule marker in neoplastic cells and in other human fluids. A previous research study reported that in 50 patients with head and neck cancer, including OSCC, there was 55% aberrant methylation in the promoter of the MGMT, $p16^{INK4A}$, and DAPK genes; the same methylation pattern was detected in the corresponding serum DNA (42%) of the cases (Sanchez-Cespedes *et al*, 2000). The epigenetic silencing of the MGMT, $p16^{INK4A}$, and DAPK genes imply alterations in the DNA reparation process, the cell cycle, and the processes related to metastasis, respectively (Ha and Califano, 2006). Table 3 shows a selected list of genes frequently methylated in OSCC, with their principal characteristics.

Epigenetic therapy in OSCC

The reversible nature of the profound epigenetic changes that occur in cancer has led to the possibility of epigenetic therapy as a treatment option. The aim of epigenetic therapy is to reverse the causal epigenetic

Gene	Function	Location	Consequences
p14 ^{ARF}	MDM2 inhibitor	9p21	Degradation of p53
$p15^{INK4B}$	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor	9p21	Entrance in cell cycle
p16 ^{INK4A}	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor	9p21	Entrance in cell cycle
MLH1	DNA mismatch repair	3p21.3	Frameshift mutations
Mut L homologue 1	*	<u>^</u>	
MGMT	DNA repair of	10q26	Mutations, chemosensitivity
O-6 methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase	O6-alkyl-guanine	<u>^</u>	
CDHÍ	Increasing proliferation,	16q24	Dissemination
E-cadherin	invasion		
DAPK	Pro-apoptotic	9q34.1	Resistance to apoptosis
Death-associated protein kinase	· ·	Â	

 Table 3 Selected genes that undergo methylated in oral squamous cell carcinoma
 aberrations that occur in cancer, leading to the restoration of a "normal epigenome". Many epigenetic drugs have been discovered in the recent past that can effectively reverse DNA methylation that occurs in cancer (Esteller, 2008; Sharma *et al*, 2010).

DNA methylation inhibitors were the first epigenetic drugs proposed for use as cancer therapeutics; this druginduced reduction of DNA methylation causes growth inhibition in cancer cells by activating tumor suppressor genes that are aberrantly silenced in cancer (Yoo and Jones, 2006). Demethylating therapy has been used in solid tumors and in some other types of hematological malignancies, such as myelodysplastic syndrome and leukemia; in both cases, the 5-Aza-CR (azacitidine) and 5-aza-CdR (decitabine) have been FDA approved for use in treatment (Rudek *et al*, 2005; Mack, 2006; Plimack *et al*, 2007).

The information about demethylation induction en OSCC is scarce; nevertheless, recently, Brieger et al (2010) analyzed the methylation status and expression of hicl, a potential tumor suppressor gene frequently hypermethylated in several HNC, including OSCC. In this study, 21 of 22 analyzed primary tumor samples methylated, as well as in the three analyzed cell lines, suggesting the inactivation of this gene. In this study, the three cell lines were treated with the demethylating agent 5-azacytidine (5-Aza) for 72 h; methylation analysis of the promoter confirmed the demethylating activity of the treatment. The hicl-expression was restored after demethylation treatment in the previously methylated cell lines at both the mRNA and protein level and was accompanied by a significant decrease in proliferative activity and clonogenic survival (Brieger et al, 2010). Reactivation of silenced tumor suppressor gene by pharmacologic unmasking might therefore become an option in OSCC treatment.

Conclusions

Oral carcinogenesis is a multifactorial process that can alter the functions of oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, and other related molecules. The determination of promoter methylation status may be a useful molecular target for identifying tumor cells in patients at risk of OSCC and has shown promise in detecting oral cancer from tissue, saliva, and serum samples and in real time analysis of margins during surgery. The creation of methylation gene panels could be useful for OSCC screening to assist in early detection, monitoring, and treatment.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by CONACyT-Mexico grants 45987-M, 43183-M, AC-2006-52830, and CB-81745.

Author contributions

The categories for author contributions are as follows: I González Ramírez was responsible for conception, intellectual design, preparation, editing and review of the manuscript; C García Cuellar was responsible for conception, intellectual design, editing and review of the manuscript; Y Sánchez Pérez and M Granados García were responsible for manuscript concepts review.

References

- Adorjan P, Distler J, Lipscher E *et al* (2002). Tumour class prediction and discovery by microarray-based DNA methylation analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**: e21.
- Balderas-Loaeza A, Anaya-Saavedra G, Ramirez-Amador VA *et al* (2007). Human papillomavirus-16 DNA methylation patterns support a causal association of the virus with oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Int J Cancer* **120**: 2165–2169.
- Ballestar E, Esteller M (2005). Methyl-CpG-binding proteins in cancer: blaming the DNA methylation messenger. *Biochem Cell Biol* **83:** 374–384.
- Ballestar E, Paz MF, Valle L *et al* (2003). Methyl-CpG binding proteins identify novel sites of epigenetic inactivation in human cancer. *EMBO J* **22**: 6335–6345.
- Brieger J, Pongsapich W, Mann SA *et al* (2010). Demethylation treatment restores hic1 expression and impairs aggressiveness of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* **46**: 678–683.
- Calmon MF, Colombo J, Carvalho F *et al* (2007). Methylation profile of genes CDKN2A (p14 and p16), DAPK1, CDH1, and ADAM23 in head and neck cancer. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* **173**: 31–37.
- Chang HW, Chow V, Lam KY, Wei WI, Yuen A (2002). Loss of E-cadherin expression resulting from promoter hypermethylation in oral tongue carcinoma and its prognostic significance. *Cancer* **94:** 386–392.
- Chen J, Xu X (2010). Diet, epigenetic, and cancer prevention. *Adv Genet* **71:** 237–255.
- Czerninski R, Krichevsky S, Ashhab Y, Gazit D, Patel V, Ben-Yehuda D (2009). Promoter hypermethylation of mismatch repair genes, hMLH1 and hMSH2 in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Dis* **15:** 206–213.
- Dayyani F, Etzel CJ, Liu M, Ho CH, Lippman SM, Tsao AS (2010). Meta-analysis of the impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) on cancer risk and overall survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). *Head Neck Oncol* **2**: 15.
- De Schutter H, Geeraerts H, Verbeken E, Nuyts S (2009). Promoter methylation of TIMP3 and CDH1 predicts better outcome in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma treated by radiotherapy only. *Oncol Rep* **21**: 507–513.
- Demokan S, Suoglu Y, Demir D, Gozeler M, Dalay N (2006). Microsatellite instability and methylation of the DNA mismatch repair genes in head and neck cancer. *Ann Oncol* 17: 995–999.
- Diez-Perez R, Campo-Trapero J, Cano-Sanchez J *et al* (2011). Methylation in oral cancer and pre-cancerous lesions (Review). *Oncol Rep* **25**: 1203–1209.
- Doi A, Park IH, Wen B *et al* (2009). Differential methylation of tissue- and cancer-specific CpG island shores distinguishes human induced pluripotent stem cells, embryonic stem cells and fibroblasts. *Nat Genet* **41**: 1350–1353.
- Eads CA, Danenberg KD, Kawakami K *et al* (2000). MethyLight: a high-throughput assay to measure DNA methylation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28: E32.
- Esteller M (2008). Epigenetics in cancer. N Engl J Med 358: 1148–1159.
- de Freitas Cordeiro-Silva M, Oliveira ZF, de Podesta JR, Gouvea SA, Von Zeidler SV, Louro ID (2011). Methylation analysis of cancer-related genes in non-neoplastic cells from patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Biol Rep.* DOI:10.1007/s11033-011-0698-1.

- Frommer M, McDonald LE, Millar DS *et al* (1992). A genomic sequencing protocol that yields a positive display of 5-methylcytosine residues in individual DNA strands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89:** 1827–1831.
- Gitan RS, Shi H, Chen CM, Yan PS, Huang TH (2002). Methylation-specific oligonucleotide microarray: a new potential for high-throughput methylation analysis. *Genome Res* 12: 158–164.
- González-Ramírez I, Ramirez-Amador V, Irigoyen-Camacho ME *et al* (2011). hMLH1 promoter methylation is an early event in oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* **47:** 22–26.
- Gonzalgo ML, Jones PA (1997). Rapid quantitation of methylation differences at specific sites using methylationsensitive single nucleotide primer extension (Ms-SNuPE). *Nucleic Acids Res* 25: 2529–2531.
- Gruenbaum Y, Stein R, Cedar H, Razin A (1981). Methylation of CpG sequences in eukaryotic DNA. *FEBS Lett* **124**: 67–71.
- Guerrero-Preston R, Baez A, Blanco A, Berdasco M, Fraga M, Esteller M (2009). Global DNA methylation: a common early event in oral cancer cases with exposure to environmental carcinogens or viral agents. *P R Health Sci J* **28**: 24–29.
- Ha PK, Califano JA (2006). Promoter methylation and inactivation of tumour-suppressor genes in oral squamous-cell carcinoma. *Lancet Oncol* **7**: 77–82.
- Hasegawa M, Nelson HH, Peters E, Ringstrom E, Posner M, Kelsey KT (2002). Patterns of gene promoter methylation in squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Oncogene* 21: 4231–4236.
- Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang SC *et al* (2009). Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 18: 541–550.
- Hatada I, Hayashizaki Y, Hirotsune S, Komatsubara H, Mukai T (1991). A genomic scanning method for higher organisms using restriction sites as landmarks. *Proc Natl* Acad Sci U S A 88: 9523–9527.
- Hegi ME, Liu L, Herman JG *et al* (2008). Correlation of O6-methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation with clinical outcomes in glioblastoma and clinical strategies to modulate MGMT activity. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 4189–4199.
- Herman JG, Graff JR, Myohanen S, Nelkin BD, Baylin SB (1996). Methylation-specific PCR: a novel PCR assay for methylation status of CpG islands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**: 9821–9826.
- Huang MJ, Yeh KT, Shih HC *et al* (2002). The correlation between CpG methylation and protein expression of P16 in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Int J Mol Med* **10**: 551–554.
- Huang TH, Perry MR, Laux DE (1999). Methylation profiling of CpG islands in human breast cancer cells. *Hum Mol Genet* 8: 459–740.
- Ishida E, Nakamura M, Ikuta M *et al* (2005). Promotor hypermethylation of p14ARF is a key alteration for progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* **41**: 614–622.
- Jones PA, Baylin SB (2007). The epigenomics of cancer. *Cell* **128:** 683–692.
- Jones PL, Veenstra GJ, Wade PA *et al* (1998). Methylated DNA and MeCP2 recruit histone deacetylase to repress transcription. *Nat Genet* **19**: 187–191.
- Kargul J, Laurent GJ (2009). Epigenetics and human disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol **41:** 1.
- Kato K, Hara A, Kuno T *et al* (2006). Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of p16 and MGMT genes in oral squamous cell carcinomas and the surrounding normal mucosa. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* **132**: 735–743.

- Kordi-Tamandani DM, Moazeni-Roodi AK, Rigi-Ladiz MA, Hashemi M, Birjandian E, Torkamanzehi A (2010). Promoter hypermethylation and expression profile of MGMT and CDH1 genes in oral cavity cancer. *Arch Oral Biol* **55**: 809–814.
- Kulkarni V, Saranath D (2004). Concurrent hypermethylation of multiple regulatory genes in chewing tobacco associated oral squamous cell carcinomas and adjacent normal tissues. *Oral Oncol* **40**: 145–153.
- Liu K, Huang H, Mukunyadzi P, Suen JY, Hanna E, Fan CY (2002). Promoter hypermethylation: an important epigenetic mechanism for hMLH1 gene inactivation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* **126**: 548–553.
- Liu K, Zuo C, Luo QK, Suen JY, Hanna E, Fan CY (2003). Promoter hypermethylation and inactivation of hMLH1, a DNA mismatch repair gene, in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Diagn Mol Pathol* **12:** 50–56.
- Lopez-Serra L, Ballestar E, Fraga MF, Alaminos M, Setien F, Esteller M (2006). A profile of methyl-CpG binding domain protein occupancy of hypermethylated promoter CpG islands of tumor suppressor genes in human cancer. *Cancer Res* 66: 8342–8346.
- Machado J, Reis PP, Zhang T *et al* (2010). Low prevalence of human papillomavirus in oral cavity carcinomas. *Head Neck Oncol* **2:** 6.
- Mack GS (2006). Epigenetic cancer therapy makes headway. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **98:** 1443–1444.
- Marsh D, Suchak K, Moutasim KA *et al* (2011). Stromal features are predictive of disease mortality in oral cancer patients. *J Pathol* **223**: 470–481.
- Marsit CJ, Christensen BC, Houseman EA *et al* (2009). Epigenetic profiling reveals etiologically distinct patterns of DNA methylation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* **30:** 416–422.
- Maruya S, Issa JP, Weber RS *et al* (2004). Differential methylation status of tumor-associated genes in head and neck squamous carcinoma: incidence and potential implications. *Clin Cancer Res* **10**: 3825–3830.
- Mason JB, Choi SW (2005). Effects of alcohol on folate metabolism: implications for carcinogenesis. *Alcohol* **35**: 235–241.
- Mathers JC, Strathdee G, Relton CL (2010). Induction of epigenetic alterations by dietary and other environmental factors. *Adv Genet* **71:** 3–39.
- Meissner A, Mikkelsen TS, Gu H *et al* (2008). Genome-scale DNA methylation maps of pluripotent and differentiated cells. *Nature* **454**: 766–770.
- Nakahara Y, Shintani S, Mihara M, Ueyama Y, Matsumura T (2001). High frequency of homozygous deletion and methylation of p16(INK4A) gene in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Cancer Lett* **163**: 221–228.
- Ochs K, Kaina B (2000). Apoptosis induced by DNA damage O6-methylguanine is Bcl-2 and caspase-9/3 regulated and Fas/caspase-8 independent. *Cancer Res* **60**: 5815–5824.
- Ogi K, Toyota M, Ohe-Toyota M *et al* (2002). Aberrant methylation of multiple genes and clinicopathological features in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 8: 3164–3171.
- Ohta S, Uemura H, Matsui Y *et al* (2009). Alterations of p16 and p14ARF genes and their 9p21 locus in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* **107:** 81–91.
- Perez-Sayans M, Somoza-Martin JM, Barros-Angueira F, Reboiras-Lopez MD, Gandara Rey JM, Garcia-Garcia A (2009). Genetic and molecular alterations associated with oral squamous cell cancer (Review). *Oncol Rep* **22**: 1277– 1282.

- Plimack ER, Kantarjian HM, Issa JP (2007). Decitabine and its role in the treatment of hematopoietic malignancies. *Leuk Lymphoma* **48**: 1472–1481.
- Puri SK, Si L, Fan CY, Hanna E (2005). Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Am J Otolaryngol 26: 12–17.
- Qian XC, Brent TP (1997). Methylation hot spots in the 5' flanking region denote silencing of the O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene. *Cancer Res* 57: 3672–3677.
- Righini CA, de Fraipont F, Timsit JF *et al* (2007). Tumorspecific methylation in saliva: a promising biomarker for early detection of head and neck cancer recurrence. *Clin Cancer Res* **13**: 1179–1185.
- Rodriguez-Paredes M, Esteller M (2011). Cancer epigenetics reaches mainstream oncology. *Nat Med* **17**: 330–339.
- Rosas SL, Koch W, da Costa Carvalho MG *et al* (2001). Promoter hypermethylation patterns of p16, O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase, and death-associated protein kinase in tumors and saliva of head and neck cancer patients. *Cancer Res* **61**: 939–942.
- Ross SA (2010). Evidence for the relationship between diet and cancer. *Exp Oncol* **32:** 137–142.
- Rudek MA, Zhao M, He P *et al* (2005). Pharmacokinetics of 5-azacitidine administered with phenylbutyrate in patients with refractory solid tumors or hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol* **23**: 3906–3911.
- Sanchez-Cespedes M, Esteller M, Wu L *et al* (2000). Gene promoter hypermethylation in tumors and serum of head and neck cancer patients. *Cancer Res* **60**: 892–895.
- Scott JM (1999). Folate and vitamin B12. *Proc Nutr Soc* 58: 441–448.
- Scott J, Weir D (1994). Folate/vitamin B12 inter-relationships. *Essays Biochem* 28: 63–72.
- Sengupta S, Chakrabarti S, Roy A, Panda CK, Roychoudhury S (2007). Inactivation of human mutL homolog 1 and mutS homolog 2 genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma tumors and leukoplakia samples by promoter hypermethylation and its relation with microsatellite instability phenotype. *Cancer* **109**: 703–712.
- Singer-Sam J, LeBon JM, Tanguay RL, Riggs AD (1990). A quantitative HpaII-PCR assay to measure methylation of DNA from a small number of cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 18: 687.
- Sharma S, Kelly TK, Jones PA (2010). Epigenetics in cancer. *Carcinogenesis* **31:** 27–36.
- Shaw R (2006). The epigenetics of oral cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 35: 101–108.
- Smith IM, Mydlarz WK, Mithani SK, Califano JA (2007). DNA global hypomethylation in squamous cell head and neck cancer associated with smoking, alcohol consumption and stage. *Int J Cancer* **121**: 1724–1728.

- Stidley CA, Picchi MA, Leng S *et al* (2010). Multivitamins, folate, and green vegetables protect against gene promoter methylation in the aerodigestive tract of smokers. *Cancer Res* **70**: 568–574.
- Supic G, Kozomara R, Brankovic-Magic M, Jovic N, Magic Z (2009). Gene hypermethylation in tumor tissue of advanced oral squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Oral Oncol* 45: 1051– 1057.
- Suzuki MM, Bird A (2008). DNA methylation landscapes: provocative insights from epigenomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**: 465–476.
- Taioli E, Ragin C, Wang XH *et al* (2009). Recurrence in oral and pharyngeal cancer is associated with quantitative MGMT promoter methylation. *BMC Cancer* **9**: 354.
- Tawfik HM, El-Maqsoud NM, Hak BH, El-Sherbiny YM (2011). Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: mismatch repair immunohistochemistry and promoter hypermethylation of hMLH1 gene. *Am J Otolaryngol*. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjoto.2010.11.005.
- Toyota M, Ho C, Ahuja N *et al* (1999). Identification of differentially methylated sequences in colorectal cancer by methylated CpG island amplification. *Cancer Res* **59**: 2307–2312.
- Tran TN, Liu Y, Takagi M, Yamaguchi A, Fujii H (2005). Frequent promoter hypermethylation of RASSF1A and p16INK4a and infrequent allelic loss other than 9p21 in betel-associated oral carcinoma in a Vietnamese non-smoking/non-drinking female population. *J Oral Pathol Med* 34: 150–156.
- Viswanathan M, Tsuchida N, Shanmugam G (2003). Promoter hypermethylation profile of tumor-associated genes p16, p15, hMLH1, MGMT and E-cadherin in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 105: 41–46.
- Xiong Z, Laird PW (1997). COBRA: a sensitive and quantitative DNA methylation assay. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**: 2532–2534.
- Yeh KT, Shih MC, Lin TH *et al* (2002). The correlation between CpG methylation on promoter and protein expression of E-cadherin in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* **22**: 3971–3975.
- Yoo CB, Jones PA (2006). Epigenetic therapy of cancer: past, present and future. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **5:** 37–50.
- von Zeidler SV, Miracca EC, Nagai MA, Birman EG (2004). Hypermethylation of the p16 gene in normal oral mucosa of smokers. *Int J Mol Med* 14: 807–811.
- Zuo C, Zhang H, Spencer HJ *et al* (2009). Increased microsatellite instability and epigenetic inactivation of the hMLH1 gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 141: 484–490.

778

Copyright of Oral Diseases is the property of Wiley-Blackwell and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.