

the AAPD members' (both diplomate and nondiplomate) recognition of and adherence to these policies. In doing so it has positively influenced the practices of all pediatric dentists. Clearly, this survey verifies that many of the pediatric dentists surveyed have established a high level of practice proficiency. Therefore, it is my contention that the ABPD credentialing process is fulfilling its charge from the Council on Dental Education and Licensure of the American Dental Association. It would have been nice if the authors had reported this finding in their conclusions and not minimized the importance of ABPD certification.

In short, when asked if we adhere to the profession's treatment guidelines, would not most of us, diplomates or nondiplomates be tempted to "self-report" in the most favorable manner? Trusting the accuracy of self-reported responses is nice and easy, but providing a method of unbiased verification would be more valid. I would prefer to see the publication of articles more critically reviewed.

**Paul O. Walker, DDS, MS**

University of Minnesota

Minneapolis, Minn

Correspond with Dr. Walker at [walker001@umn.edu](mailto:walker001@umn.edu)

---

**T**hank you for the opportunity to respond to Dr. Paul Walker's letter regarding our recently published manuscript, *Board Certification Status and Dentist's Practice Characteristics* (Pediatr Dent 2005;27:12-18). We are pleased that this report has generated interest among the readership. Dr. Walker raises some questions about our methodology. We wish to respond and clarify those for the readership.

This was an institutionally approved study, and we believe that we did address more than two dozen areas of practice in our study. We also collected basic demographic data and professional variables including board certification status and years in practice. We chose board certification as a variable to report because, as stated in the results, we found no differences for gender or time in practice. Had we done so, these would have been reported and discussed.

We did our best to clarify how our sample was selected after referees questioned this process in review. While not randomized because of necessary pairing of subjects by program, the sample was simply chosen in alphabetical order from a list of US programs, taking into consideration adequate time in practice to make the results more meaningful. In our analysis, however, time in practice did not seem to matter.

The gender issue is an interesting one and was problematic to us in sample selection because of the dearth of females early in the time period studied, while almost in equality with males in pediatric dentistry at the end. Because much has been said about differences in male and female pediatric practice patterns, we looked at this variable and were pleased to find that quality (at least as measured by our admittedly limited characteristics) appears not to be a chromosomal-linked phenomenon!

Dr. Walker correctly points out that we asked 30 questions. We decided that one question with several parts was poorly structured, not a quality indicator, and thus could

not be used. As with many studies, the data reportable often far exceeds the space available and we chose not to report that data set.

We also recognize that many of the nondiplomates might have been in the process of certification and agree that the pursuit of certification may have elevated their practices to a higher level. Hundreds of pediatric dentists are "in process," and many may have dropped out of the process. The influence on our results of continuing or past engagement in board certification can only be guessed. We agree with Dr. Walker that the American Board of Pediatric Dentistry certification process has ratcheted up our specialty's awareness of American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry policies and other markers of quality dental practice, and will continue to do so.

Our last comment relates to the weaknesses of self-report vs objective measurement in scientific studies. In our discussion, we spoke to that point and advised readers to consider this when reading the report. We also suggested that outcomes of care would provide more valid measures and hope that at some point, someone can look at quality of practice with "harder" measures.

We hope that these responses clarify our methodology and findings and are happy to discuss this report with other interested readers.

**Homa Amini, DDS, MS, MPH**

**Ashok Kumar, DDS, MS**

**Dennis J. McTigue, DDS, MS**

**Michael F. Beck, DDS, MA**

**Paul S. Casamassimo, DDS, MS**

The Ohio State University

Columbus Children's Hospital

Columbus, Ohio

Correspond with Dr. Amini at [aminih@chi.osu.edu](mailto:aminih@chi.osu.edu)

Copyright of Pediatric Dentistry is the property of American Society of Dentistry for Children. The copyright in an individual article may be maintained by the author in certain cases. Content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.