

# Policy on Alternative Restorative Treatment (ART)

Originating Council  
Council on Clinical Affairs

Review Council  
Council on Clinical Affairs

Adopted  
2001

Revised  
2004

## Purpose

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) recognizes that unique clinical circumstances can result in challenges in restorative care for infants, children, adolescents, and persons with special health care needs. When circumstances do not permit traditional cavity preparation and/or placement of traditional dental restorations, use of an alternative restorative treatment (ART)<sup>1</sup> may be beneficial.

## Methods

This policy is based upon a review of current dental literature. A MEDLINE search was performed using key words "dental caries", "atraumatic restorative treatment", and "glass ionomer cement".

## Background

Alternative restorative treatment, formerly known as atraumatic restorative treatment, is defined as "a dental caries treatment procedure involving the removal of soft, demineralized tooth tissue using hand instrument alone, followed by restoration of the tooth with an adhesive restorative material, routinely glass ionomer".<sup>2</sup> This technique may be modified by the use of rotary instruments. It has been endorsed by the World Health Organization and the International Association for Dental Research as a means of restoring and preventing caries. ART may be used to restore and prevent caries in young patients, uncooperative patients, or patients with special health care needs or when traditional cavity preparation and/or placement of traditional dental restorations are not feasible.

Success rates for ART restorations depend on the material used, training of the operator, and extent of caries.<sup>3-7</sup> Glass ionomer cement is the material of choice for ART because of its bonding to enamel and dentin, fluoride release, and ease of use.<sup>8,9</sup> Resin-modified glass ionomer material has been shown to have a higher success rate than low-viscosity glass ionomer cements due to increased strength and greater resistance to loss.<sup>5,8,10</sup> ART has the greatest success when applied to single surface or small 2 surface restorations. Inadequate cavity preparation with subsequent lack of retention and insufficient bulk can lead to failure.<sup>5</sup> Use of a slow-speed rotary instrument may be indicated to enhance cavity preparation and restorative retention. Fol-

low-up care with topical fluorides and oral hygiene instruction improve the treatment outcome of high caries-risk dental populations.

## Policy statement

The AAPD recognizes ART as an acceptable treatment for the management of caries when traditional cavity preparation and/or placement of traditional dental restorations are not possible.

## References

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Clinical guideline on pediatric restorative dentistry. *Pediatr Dent* 2004;26(suppl):106-114.
2. Yip HK, Smales RJ, Ngo HC, Tay FR, Chu F. Selection of restorative materials for the atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) approach: A review. *Spec Care Dent* 2001;21:216-221.
3. Louw AJ, Sarvan I, Chikte UME, Honkala E. One-year evaluation of atraumatic restorative treatment and minimum intervention techniques on primary teeth. *South African Dent J* 2002;57:366-371.
4. Lo ECM, Holmgren CJ. Provision of atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) restorations to Chinese preschool children: A 30-month evaluation. *International J Pediatr Dent* 2001;11:3-10.
5. Mandari GJ, Frencken JE, van't Hof MA. Six-year success rates of occlusal amalgam and glass-ionomer restorations placed using three minimal intervention approaches. *Caries Res* 2003;37:246-253.
6. Castro A, Geigal R. Microleakage of a new improved glass ionomer restorative material in primary and permanent teeth. *Pediatr Dent* 2002;24:23-28.
7. Grossman ES, Mickenautsch S. Microscope observations of ART excavated cavities and restorations. *South African Dent J* 2002;57:359-363.
8. Croll TP, Nicholson JW. Glass ionomer cements in pediatric dentistry: Review of the literature. *Pediatr Dent* 2002;24:423-429.
9. Berg JH. Glass ionomer cements. *Pediatr Dent* 2002; 24:430-437.
10. Castro A, Feigal RF. Microleakage of a new improved glass ionomer material in primary and permanent teeth. *Pediatr Dent* 2002;24:23-27.

Copyright of Pediatric Dentistry is the property of American Society of Dentistry for Children and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.