

# Guideline on the Role of Dental Prophylaxis in Pediatric Dentistry

Originating Committee  
Clinical Affairs Committee

Review Council  
Council on Clinical Affairs

Adopted  
1986

Reaffirmed  
1996

Revised  
1993, 2000, 2003

## Purpose

The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD), as an advocate for optimal oral health of infants, children, and adolescents, must educate caregivers and other interested third parties on the indications for and benefits of a dental prophylaxis in conjunction with a periodic oral health assessment.

## Methods

This guideline is based on a review of current preventive, restorative, and periodontal literature, as well as the AAPD's Policy Statement on the Use of a Caries-risk Assessment Tool (CAT) for Infants, Children, and Adolescents<sup>1</sup> and the American Academy of Periodontics' (AAP) Periodontal Diseases in Children and Adolescents.<sup>2</sup>

## Background

There are several indications for a dental prophylaxis, including:

1. removal of plaque, stain, and calculus;<sup>3</sup>
2. elimination of factors that influence the build-up and retention of plaque;<sup>4-6</sup>
3. demonstration of proper oral hygiene methods to the patient/caregiver;
4. facilitation of a thorough clinical examination;
5. introduction of the child to dental procedures.

Microbial plaque is the primary etiological factor in caries and periodontal disease.<sup>7,8</sup> Although it may be possible to remove most plaque using mechanical oral hygiene aids,

many patients do not have the motivation or skill to maintain a plaque-free state for extended periods of time.<sup>9</sup> Clinical studies show that "self-administered plaque control programs alone, without periodic professional reinforcement, are inconsistent in providing long-term inhibition of gingivitis".<sup>9</sup>

The type of professional prophylaxis recommended is based on an individual patient's risk-assessment for caries and periodontal disease. The clinician should use CAT<sup>1</sup> to determine caries risk and the AAP guidelines<sup>2</sup> for periodontal risk. This assessment includes:

1. medical history/current systemic health including medications;
2. age and cooperation of the patient;
3. compliance of the patient and family;
4. past and current caries;
5. family history of caries;
6. past and current periodontal health;
7. family history of periodontal disease;
8. oral hygiene;
9. presence of plaque;
10. presence of gingivitis;
11. presence of calculus;
12. presence of extrinsic stain;
13. local factors that would influence the build-up and retention of plaque.

A prophylaxis can be performed using gauze, cloth, toothbrush, or rubber cup on the incisors of an infant only. Once the molars have begun to erupt, manual or power

toothbrush,<sup>10-12</sup> rubber cup, and/or hand instruments, followed by site-specific flossing, may be used. The benefits of each option are shown in Table 1.

The literature cites a number of studies that show a prophylaxis is not necessary prior to the application of topical fluoride.<sup>13-26</sup> Conversely,

Table 1. Benefits of Prophylaxis Options

	Plaque removal	Stain	Calculus	Polish/smooth	Education
Cloth/gauze (C/G)*	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Toothbrush (TB)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Power brush (PB)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Rubber cup (RC)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Hand instruments (HI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

\*Only on the incisors of an infant.

Christensen and Bangerter have shown in vivo that an insignificant amount of the fluoride-rich layer of enamel is removed with a rubber cup prophylaxis.<sup>27</sup>

## Recommendations

A periodic professional prophylaxis should be performed to:

1. instruct the caregiver and child or adolescent in proper oral hygiene techniques;
2. remove microbial plaque and calculus;
3. polish hard surfaces to minimize the accumulation and retention of plaque;
4. remove extrinsic stain;
5. facilitate the examination of hard and soft tissues;
6. introduce the young child and apprehensive patient to dental procedures.

In Figure 1, these indicators are known as "rationale factors".

A patient's risk for caries/periodontal disease, as determined by the patient's dental provider, should help determine the interval of the prophylaxis.

Determination of the necessity of a topical fluoride treatment is based upon the AAPD's Clinical Guideline on Fluoride Therapy.<sup>28</sup> If a rubber cup prophylaxis is performed, fluoride pastes and/or fluoride-impregnated rubber cups are recommended,<sup>29</sup> especially if it is not followed by a topical fluoride application.

If no "rationale factor" is present and the infant, child, or adolescent is at low risk for caries and periodontal disease, prophylaxis is performed at the discretion of the clinician.

## References

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Policy on use of a caries-risk assessment tool (CAT) for infants, children, and adolescents. *Pediatr Dent* 2003;25(suppl):18-20.
2. American Academy of Periodontology. Periodontal diseases of children and adolescents. *J Periodontol* 1996;67:57-62.
3. Clerehugh V, Tugnait A. Periodontal diseases in children and adolescents: 2. Management. *Dent Update* 2001;28:274-281.
4. Roulet JF, Roulet-Mehrens TK. The surface roughness of restorative materials and dental tissues after polishing with prophylaxis and polishing pastes. *J Periodontol* 1982;53:257-266.

## Decision Tree for Dental Prophylaxis




Figure 1.

5. Hosoya Y, Johnston JW. Evaluation of various cleaning and polishing methods on primary enamel. *J Pedod* 1989;13:253-269.
6. Quirynen M, Bollen CML. The influence of surface roughness and surface-free energy on supra- and subgingival plaque formation in man. A review of the literature. *J Clin Periodontol* 1995;22:1-14.
7. Stookey GK. Current status of caries prevention. *Compendium* 2000;21(10A):862-867.
8. Clerehugh V, Tugnait A. Periodontal diseases in children and adolescents: 1. Aetiology and diagnosis. *Dent Update* 2001;28:222-232.
9. American Academy of Periodontology. Treatment of plaque-induced gingivitis, chronic periodontitis, and other clinical conditions. *J Periodontol* 2001;72:1790-1800.
10. Grossman E, Cronin M, Dembling W, Proskin H. A comparative clinical study of extrinsic tooth stain removal with 2 electric toothbrushes (Braun D7 and D9) and a manual brush. *Am J Dent* 1996;9(special issue): 25-29.
11. Naresh CS, Galustians HJ, Quqish J, Cugini M. A comparison of the Braun Oral-B 3D Plaque Remover and the Sonicare Plus electric toothbrush in removing naturally occurring extrinsic staining. *Am J Dent* 2000;13:17-20.
12. CDC. Recommendations for using fluoride to prevent and control dental caries in the United States. *MMWR* 2001;50(RR-14):1-42.
13. Van der Weijden GA, Timmerman MF, Piscoer M, Ijzerman Y, van der Velden U. [abstract 175]. *J Dent Res* 2001;80(special issue):548.
14. Charlton G, Blainey B, Schamschula RG. Associations between dental plaque and fluoride in human surface enamel. *Arch Oral Biol* 1974;19:139-143.

15. Tinanoff N, Wei SHY, Parkins FM. Effect of a pumice prophylaxis on fluoride uptake in tooth enamel. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1974;88:384-389.
16. Bruun C, Stoltze K. In vivo uptake of fluoride by surface enamel of cleaned and plaque-covered teeth. *Scand J Dent Res* 1976;84:268-275.
17. Klimek J, Hellwig E, Ahrens G. Fluoride taken up by plaque, by the underlying enamel, and by clean enamel from three fluoride compounds in vitro. *Caries Res* 1982;16:156-161.
18. Ripa LW, Leske GS, Sposato A, Varma A. Effect of prior tooth cleaning on biannual professional APF topical fluoride gel-tray treatments. Results after two years. *Clin Prev Dent* 1983;5:3-7.
19. Houtp M, Koenigsberg S, Shey Z. The effect of prior tooth cleaning on the efficacy of topical fluoride treatment. Two-year results. *Clin Prev Dent* 1983; 5:8-10.
20. Ripa LW. Need for prior tooth cleaning when performing a professional topical fluoride application: Review and recommendations for change. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1984;109:281-285.
21. Katz RV, Meskin LH, Jensen ME, Keller D. Topical fluoride and prophylaxis: A 30-month clinical trial. *J Dent Res* 1984;63(special issue):256.
22. Bijella MFTB, Bijella VT, Lopes ES, Bastos JR de M. Comparison of dental prophylaxis and tooth-brushing prior to topical APF applications. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1985;13:208-211.
23. Ripa LW, Leske GS, Sposato A, Varma A. Effect of prior tooth cleaning on biannual professional acidulated phosphate fluoride topical fluoride gel-tray treatments. Results after three years. *Caries Res* 1984;18:457-464.
24. Olivier M, Brodeur J-M, Simard PL. Efficacy of APF treatments without prior tooth cleaning targeted to high-risk children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1992;20:38-42.
25. Johnston DW, Lewis DW. Three-year randomized trial of professionally applied topical fluoride gel comparing annual and biannual applications with/without prior prophylaxis. *Caries Res* 1995;29:331-336.
26. Ten Cate JM, van Loveren C. Fluoride mechanisms. *Dent Clin North Am* 1999;43:713-743.
27. Christensen RP, Bangerter VW. Immediate and long-term in vivo effects of polishing on enamel and dentin. *J Prosthet Dent* 1987;57:150-160.
28. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Clinical guideline on fluoride therapy. *Pediatr Dent* 2003;25(Suppl):67-68.
29. Zimmer S, Barthel CR, Koehler C, Roulet JF. Enamel fluoride retention after application of fluoride-containing rubber cups. *Am J Dent* 2002;15:11-14.

Copyright of Pediatric Dentistry is the property of American Society of Dentistry for Children and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.