

# Are Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms and Temporomandibular Pain Associated?

## Findings from a Community-Based Twin Registry

### **Niloofer Afari, PhD**

Assistant Professor  
Department of Psychiatry  
University of California  
San Diego, California

Staff Psychologist  
San Diego VA Healthcare System  
San Diego, California

### **Yang Wen, MS**

Research Scientist  
University of Washington Twin Registry  
Department of Medicine  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington

### **Dedra Buchwald, MD**

Professor  
University of Washington Twin Registry  
Department of Medicine  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington

### **Jack Goldberg, PhD**

Research Professor  
Department of Epidemiology  
University of Washington and Vietnam  
Era Twin Registries  
Seattle, Washington

### **Octavia Plesh, DDS, MD**

Professor  
Department of Preventive and  
Restorative Dental Sciences  
University of California  
San Francisco, California

### **Correspondence to:**

Dr Niloofer Afari  
University of California, San Diego  
Department of Psychiatry  
9500 Gilman Drive  
Mail Code 0738  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0738  
Fax: +858 822 3777  
Email: nafari@ucsd.edu

*Portions of this research were presented at the First Annual National Institutes of Health Pain Consortium Symposium, Bethesda, Maryland, April 2006.*

**Aims:** To determine whether symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are related to the pain of temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in a community-based sample of female twin pairs, and if so, to ascertain whether the association is due to the presence of chronic widespread pain (CWP) and familial/genetic factors. **Methods:** Data were obtained from 630 monozygotic and 239 dizygotic female twin pairs participating in the University of Washington Twin Registry. PTSD symptoms were assessed with the Impact of Events Scale (IES), with scores partitioned into terciles. TMD pain was assessed with a question about persistent or recurrent pain in the face, jaw, temple; in front of the ear; or in the ear during the past 3 months. CWP was defined as pain located in 3 body regions during the past 3 months. Random-effects regression models, adjusted for demographic features, depression, CWP, and familial/genetic factors, were used to examine the relationship between the IES and TMD pain. **Results:** IES scores were significantly associated with TMD pain ( $P < .01$ ). Twins in the highest IES tercile were almost 3 times more likely than those in the lowest tercile to report TMD pain, even after controlling for demographic factors, depression, and CWP. After adjustment for familial and genetic factors, the association of IES scores with TMD pain remained significant in dizygotic twins ( $P_{trend} = .03$ ) but was not significant in monozygotic twins ( $P_{trend} = .30$ ). **Conclusion:** PTSD symptoms are strongly linked to TMD pain. This association could be partially explained by genetic vulnerability to both conditions but is not related to the presence of CWP. Future research is needed to understand the temporal association of PTSD and TMD pain and the genetic and physiological underpinnings of this relationship. J OROFAC PAIN 2008;22:41–49

**Key words:** chronic widespread pain, genetic factors, post-traumatic stress disorder, temporomandibular disorder, twins

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) are a group of conditions characterized by signs and symptoms of the muscles of mastication, temporomandibular joint, and related structures. Chronic pain is the most common symptom of TMD and the primary reason for seeking treatment. TMD are the most frequent diagnoses among patients with chronic orofacial pain problems.<sup>1</sup> The estimated prevalence of painful TMD is 10% to 12%, and the conditions affect predominantly young women.<sup>2–4</sup> TMD also share characteristics with other types of chronic pain, including behavioral and psychosocial dysfunction.<sup>5</sup> Further, the chronic regional pain associated with TMD may become general-

ized and extend beyond the facial region.<sup>6–8</sup> In these cases, patients may experience chronic widespread pain (CWP) and fibromyalgia, a common form of nonarticular rheumatism characterized by diffuse musculoskeletal pain and tender points on examination.<sup>9</sup> Several studies have documented the high comorbidity of TMD pain and CWP or fibromyalgia.<sup>10–15</sup>

Although the etiology and pathophysiology of TMD pain are likely multifactorial, there is a substantial body of literature on the relationship between TMD symptoms, specifically TMD pain, and psychosocial factors.<sup>16,17</sup> Several studies have focused on the prevalence of stressful life events in TMD patients.<sup>18–24</sup> For example, in a recent study of 1,221 patients with chronic TMD pain conditions, close to 50% reported having experienced at least 1 traumatic stressor.<sup>20</sup> Several studies also have reported that patients with TMD pain conditions exhibit the symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), a psychiatric condition that requires exposure to a traumatic event.<sup>18,21,23,24</sup> For example, PTSD appears to be the second most common psychiatric diagnosis among chronic orofacial pain patients after depression.<sup>18</sup> Likewise, the association between PTSD, CWP, and fibromyalgia is well-documented in clinical as well as community samples.<sup>25–30</sup>

Taken together, these previous studies suggest substantial comorbidity between TMD pain and traumatic stressors or PTSD in clinic-based or tertiary care patient samples, which may be biased by issues of clinical ascertainment from a treatment-seeking population. Additionally, no studies to date have addressed whether the association of PTSD with TMD could be partially explained by the coexistence of TMD with CWP and fibromyalgia. The aim of the present study was to determine whether symptoms of PTSD were related to TMD pain in a community-based sample of female twin pairs and, if so, to ascertain whether the association was due to the presence of CWP and familial/genetic factors.

## Materials and Methods

### Sample

The University of Washington Twin Registry is a community-based sample of twins derived from the drivers' license applications of the Washington State Department of Licensing. In Washington, drivers' license numbers are derived from a person's name and date of birth; thus, the Department

of Licensing asks every new applicant if he or she is a twin to avoid issuing duplicate license and identification numbers to twins. Because state agencies in Washington are permitted by law to share data, the Department of Licensing has provided a list of all new drivers' license applicants who are twins to the University of Washington since 1998. Upon receiving the names from the Department of Licensing, the University of Washington Twin Registry staff sends each twin an invitation to join, a brief survey to complete, and an incentive. If the twin does not respond within 1 month, a second invitation and survey are mailed. The co-twin is mailed a survey using contact information provided by the index twin. Full details of the construction and characteristics of the University of Washington Twin Registry are described elsewhere.<sup>31</sup> The University of Washington Human Subjects Review Committee approved the procedures for establishing the twin registry and all data collection involved in this study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Because TMD and CWP disproportionately affect women, the present analyses were limited to female twins.

### Survey

The brief survey contains items on demographics; symptoms; physician-diagnosed health conditions such as depression, PTSD, and TMD; habits; health-care use; and various abridged, standardized measures of physical and mental health.

**Zygoty Assignment.** As part of the mailed questionnaire, all twins were asked questions about childhood similarity to assess zygoty. Studies in both US and Scandinavian twin registries have repeatedly demonstrated that questions about childhood similarity in twin pairs can be used to correctly classify zygoty with an accuracy of 95% to 98% compared with zygoty determined by biologic indicators.<sup>32–35</sup> Responses to these similarity questions were used in a multi-step process to assign zygoty, which identified 738 monozygotic (MZ) and 296 dizygotic (DZ) female twin pairs eligible for the current study.

**Sociodemographic Factors and Clinical Conditions.** Sociodemographic factors collected in the survey included age, gender, race, education, and marital status. One question inquired about diagnosis of depression. Questions about CWP were adapted from the self-report form of the London Fibromyalgia Epidemiology Study Screening Questionnaire.<sup>36</sup> The CWP questions were similar to those administered by mail and telephone in several European population-based

studies conducted to ascertain the prevalence of chronic pain.<sup>37–41</sup> Twins were asked about body pain in 3 regions: (1) shoulders, arms, or hands; (2) legs or feet; and (3) neck, chest, or back. For each region, information was obtained on whether the pain lasted at least 1 week during the past 3 months. CWP was defined as pain experienced in all 3 regions.

**PTSD.** PTSD occurs when an overwhelming traumatic event results in intense fear, helplessness, horror, intrusive thoughts, and avoidance of stimuli associated with the trauma.<sup>42</sup> PTSD symptoms were identified using the Impact of Events Scale (IES), which assesses current distress resulting from a stressful life event.<sup>43</sup> The IES captures qualities of conscious experiences that encompass stressful life events, such as bereavement or personal injuries from accidents, violence, illness, or surgery.<sup>43</sup> In previous studies, the IES was strongly correlated with a diagnosis of PTSD,<sup>44</sup> even though the IES measures only the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) intrusion and avoidance symptom criteria for PTSD.<sup>42</sup> As a screening measure for PTSD, the sensitivity of the IES ranges from 0.94 to 1.00, and the specificity ranges from 0.78 to 0.84.<sup>45</sup>

Eleven of the 15 IES items were used; the 4 items that correlated most poorly with the IES intrusion and avoidance subscales in the cluster analysis conducted by the developers of the IES were deleted.<sup>43</sup> Internal reliability of the IES was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, and validity was evaluated by its concordance with a self-report of PTSD diagnosed by a physician. For the 11 IES items used in this analysis, the Cronbach's alpha was 0.90, indicating a high degree of internal consistency. Likewise, the validity of the IES was demonstrated by a significant trend based on a simple logistic regression between the terciles of the IES score and a doctor diagnosis of PTSD ( $\beta = 0.06$ ,  $P < .001$ ).

Each IES item has 4 response categories, and values are summed to create an overall score (range, 0 to 55). The scores were grouped into terciles representing increasing levels of current distress: 0 to 10 (lowest distress), 11 to 27 (moderate distress), and 28 or more (highest distress). Only twins who answered at least 6 of the 11 IES items were included. Missing values were imputed using the respondent's average score across completed items and published methods.<sup>46</sup>

**Temporomandibular Pain.** The survey included a question derived from the Life Pain Questionnaire, which was developed by researchers to screen for common chronic pain conditions such as TMD and headaches.<sup>2</sup> Twins were asked about TMD pain as

follows: "In the past 3 months, have you had persistent or recurrent pain in the face, jaw, temple, in front of the ear, or in the ear?" This question has been validated and successfully used by other investigators to screen for TMD. The calculated sensitivity for a diagnosis of TMD ranges from 89% to 100%; specificity ranges from 37% to 69%.<sup>47,48</sup> In the twin sample, there was a modest association between the TMD pain question and a self-reported doctor diagnosis of TMD ( $\kappa = 0.22$ ).

## Statistical Analysis


Descriptive analyses examined the distribution of sociodemographic factors, depression, CWP, and IES scores according to TMD pain status. The difference across TMD pain status of these variables was statistically examined with a chi-square test for proportions or a *t* test for means. To investigate the association of the IES with TMD pain, a random-effects model was fitted to the twin data, which accounts for the lack of independence of twins within a twin pair.<sup>49</sup>

The association between IES and TMD pain was initially modeled to estimate the overall effect in all female twin pairs; the effect in MZ and DZ pairs was then modeled separately.<sup>50</sup> In the analysis, indicator variables were created for each tercile of the IES, with the lowest tercile serving as the reference level, to obtain odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). To statistically test for trend, an analysis was conducted using the ordinal IES scores. Follow-up analyses included age, marital status, education, race, depression, and CWP as covariates in the regression models to adjust for their potentially confounding effects. This first set of analyses did not control for familial or genetic contribution.

Next, a second set of regression analyses was conducted. Within-pair effects were specified separately for MZ and DZ pairs. The DZ within-pair ORs were adjusted for familial and some genetic influences; DZ twins share a similar family environment but, on average, only 50% of their genes. Because MZ twins share identical genes, the within-pair effect is an estimate adjusted for both familial and genetic factors. If adjusting for factors twins share, such as familial environment and genetics, eliminates the within-pair association between IES and TMD pain (in contrast with the first set of analyses, which did not adjust for familial and genetic factors), it can then be concluded that shared factors contribute to the IES-TMD pain association. Alternately, if the adjusted within-pair

**Table 1** Demographic and Clinical Characteristics for Female Twins by Temporomandibular Pain Status

| Characteristics             | All Twins<br>(n =1,738) | Temporomandibular pain |                  | P     |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------|
|                             |                         | No<br>(n = 1,486)      | Yes<br>(n = 252) |       |
| Demographic                 |                         |                        |                  |       |
| Age (mean years ± SD)       | 33 ± 14                 | 34 ± 15                | 32 ± 13          | .04   |
| Married (%)                 | 34                      | 34                     | 30               | .15   |
| White (%)                   | 85                      | 85                     | 81               | .07   |
| Education (mean years ± SD) | 14 ± 2                  | 14 ± 2                 | 13 ± 2           | .01   |
| MZ (%)                      | 73                      | 72                     | 74               | .51   |
| Clinical                    |                         |                        |                  |       |
| Depression (%)              | 25                      | 23                     | 39               | < .01 |
| CWP (%)                     | 8                       | 6                      | 17               | < .01 |
| IES (mean score ± SD)       | 19 ± 14                 | 18 ± 14                | 26 ±14           | < .01 |

**Fig 1** Prevalence of temporomandibular pain by IES score. Lowest tertile, score of 0 to 10; middle tertile, score of 11 to 27; highest tertile, score of 28 to 55.

effects are attenuated but still significant, it suggests the IES-TMD pain association is only partly explained by shared familial factors in DZ twins and familial and genetics factors in MZ twins. Additionally, greater within-pair effects for DZ than MZ twins suggest shared genetic influence on both IES scores and TMD pain. Lastly, if the within-pair association between IES and TMD pain remains robust (ie, similar to the first set of analyses, which did not adjust for familial and genetic factors), then the hypothesis that familial and genetic factors play a role in the association between TMD pain and PTSD symptoms could be rejected. In this set of analyses, the resulting ORs were adjusted for age, marital status, education,

race, depression, and CWP. Stata/SE software version 9.0 was used for all statistical analyses.<sup>51</sup>

## Results

Of the 738 MZ and 296 DZ female twin pairs enrolled in the registry, 630 MZ and 239 DZ female pairs had complete data on all study variables and were included in the analyses (1,738 individual twins). Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of these twins by their TMD pain status. More than 70% of twins were MZ. Among all twins, the mean age was 33 years. Eighty-five percent were white, 34%

**Table 2** The Overall Unadjusted and Adjusted Associations of the IES and Temporomandibular Pain in Female MZ and DZ Twins

| IES score*            | All Twins                       |           | MZ Twins                        |           | DZ Twins                        |           |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|                       | OR <sup>2</sup>                 | 95% CI    | OR                              | 95% CI    | OR                              | 95% CI    |
| Unadjusted            |                                 |           |                                 |           |                                 |           |
| Lowest tercile        | 1.0                             | —         | 1.0                             | —         | 1.0                             | —         |
| Middle tercile        | 1.7                             | 1.1–2.6   | 1.8                             | 1.1–2.8   | 1.5                             | 0.7–3.4   |
| Highest tercile       | 3.8                             | 2.6–5.6   | 3.4                             | 2.1–5.3   | 5.3                             | 2.5–11.3  |
|                       | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           |
| Adjusted <sup>†</sup> |                                 |           |                                 |           |                                 |           |
| Lowest tercile        | 1.0                             | —         | 1.0                             | —         | 1.0                             | —         |
| Middle tercile        | 1.5                             | 1.0 – 2.2 | 1.6                             | 1.0 – 2.6 | 1.2                             | 0.5 – 2.6 |
| Highest tercile       | 2.8                             | 1.9 – 4.2 | 2.6                             | 1.6 – 4.1 | 3.8                             | 1.8 – 7.9 |
|                       | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           | <i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> < .01 |           |

\*Lowest tercile of IES, score of 0 to 10; middle tercile, score of 11 to 27; highest tercile, score of 28 to 55.

<sup>†</sup>For sociodemographic characteristics (age, marital status, education, and race), depression, and CWP.

**Table 3** The Unadjusted and Adjusted Within-Pair Associations of the IES and Temporomandibular Pain in Female MZ and DZ Twins

| IES score*                                     | MZ within-pair |                          | DZ within-pair           |          |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
|                                                | OR             | 95% CI                   | OR                       | 95% CI   |
| Unadjusted                                     |                |                          |                          |          |
| Lowest tercile                                 | 1.0            | —                        | 1.0                      | —        |
| Middle tercile                                 | 1.1            | 0.6–2.1                  | 1.3                      | 0.4–3.8  |
| Highest tercile                                | 1.7            | 0.8–3.2                  | 3.8                      | 1.3–11.2 |
|                                                |                | $P_{\text{trend}} = .11$ | $P_{\text{trend}} < .01$ |          |
| $P_{\text{within (MZ) vs. within (DZ)}} = .18$ |                |                          |                          |          |
| Adjusted†                                      |                |                          |                          |          |
| Lowest tercile                                 | 1.0            | —                        | 1.0                      | —        |
| Middle tercile                                 | 1.0            | 0.5–1.9                  | 0.9                      | 0.3–2.7  |
| Highest tercile                                | 1.4            | 0.7–2.7                  | 2.7                      | 0.9–7.9  |
|                                                |                | $P_{\text{trend}} = .30$ | $P_{\text{trend}} = .03$ |          |
| $P_{\text{within (MZ) vs within (DZ)}} = .13$  |                |                          |                          |          |

\*Lowest tercile of IES, score of 0 to 10; middle tercile, score of 11 to 27; highest tercile, score of 28 to 55.

<sup>†</sup>For sociodemographic characteristics (age, marital status, education, and race), depression, and CWP.

were married, and the average length of schooling was 14 years. Twins with TMD pain were younger ( $P = .04$ ), had fewer years of education ( $P = .01$ ), were more likely to report depression and CWP, and had higher IES scores ( $P < .01$ ) than twins without TMD pain.

Of 1,738 twins, 252 (14.5%) reported experiencing TMD pain in the preceding 3 months. Figure 1 shows the prevalence rates of TMD pain by IES severity. The prevalence of TMD pain increased with increasing IES terciles, from 8% and 7% in the lowest terciles to 23% and 26% in the highest terciles for MZ and DZ, respectively.

Table 2 presents the unadjusted and adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for the association of the IES

with TMD pain in all twins and separately for MZ and DZ pairs, without adjustment for familial or genetic contribution. Even after the analysis was adjusted for sociodemographic factors, self-reported depression, and CWP, IES score and TMD pain were associated in all twins ( $P_{\text{trend}} < .01$ ). Compared to those in the lowest IES tercile, twins in the highest tercile were 2.8 times more likely to report TMD pain. A similar pattern was observed in both MZ and DZ twin pairs.

Table 3 displays the unadjusted and adjusted within-pair associations of the IES and TMD pain separately in MZ and DZ pairs. After the analysis was adjusted for sociodemographic factors, depression, CWP, and familial and genetic influences,

within-pair trends were not significant in MZ twins ( $P_{\text{trend}} = .30$ ). However, they remained significant in DZ pairs ( $P_{\text{trend}} = .03$ ). ORs at the highest tercile were 1.4 and 2.7 in MZ and DZ pairs, respectively. Although the within-pair effect was much larger in DZ than MZ twins, the difference did not reach statistical significance ( $P = .13$ ).

## Discussion

Symptoms of PTSD, as measured by the IES, were strongly related to the presence of TMD pain. Further, the increased prevalence of TMD pain across terciles of increasing IES scores was strong and significant even after adjusting for sociodemographic factors, depression, and CWP. Because these findings from a community-based sample were consistent with those from clinically ascertained samples,<sup>18,21,24</sup> the relationship between PTSD symptoms and TMD pain does not appear to be an artifact of clinical ascertainment. Additionally, this association was not affected by the co-occurrence of CWP with TMD pain.

This is the first study of PTSD symptoms and TMD pain in a twin population. It examined whether the relationship between PTSD symptoms and TMD pain was due to shared familial/genetic factors. After shared familial and genetic factors had been controlled for, no association was found between PTSD symptoms and TMD pain in MZ twin pairs; furthermore, the association was attenuated in DZ twin pairs. Additionally, the within-pair effect was diminished in MZ pairs compared with DZ pairs, although the difference was not statistically significant. Taken together, these findings suggest that confounding due to genetic influences may partially explain the strong association between PTSD symptoms and TMD.

These results are interesting in light of the literature on the familial/genetic contributions to both PTSD and TMD. There is substantial evidence from twin studies that genetics plays a moderate but significant role in trauma exposure and PTSD.<sup>52–56</sup> However, findings on TMD have been equivocal. For example, in 1 of only a handful of twin studies, MZ twins were not more similar on various TMD signs and symptoms than DZ twins.<sup>57</sup> Heritability of TMD pain was estimated at 24%, but it was not significant. Thus, it was concluded that individualized environmental factors were the major determinants of variance.<sup>57</sup> Further, a family study observed symptoms of myofascial TMD and other musculoskeletal conditions were not greater in first-degree relatives of

probands with TMD than controls.<sup>58</sup> Alternately, another twin study of TMD symptoms in a small adolescent twin sample found higher MZ than DZ concordance rates for jaw pain.<sup>59</sup> In the largest twin study of TMD to date, structural equation modeling was used to estimate the variance components of TMD pain due to additive genetic, common environmental, and unique environmental factors.<sup>60</sup> The best-fitting model in female twin pairs included additive genetic and unique environmental factors (25% of variance and 75% of variance, respectively). These findings support other research in which variants of catecholamine-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene have been associated with pain sensitivity and increased risk of developing TMD.<sup>61–63</sup> Taken together, these findings suggest that genes may play a modest but significant role in increasing vulnerability to TMD and influencing symptom severity.<sup>64</sup> Twin and family studies of other pain conditions also have demonstrated familial/genetic influences, which supports this hypothesis.<sup>65–67</sup>

The mechanisms responsible for the association between chronic pain conditions such as TMD, CWP, and PTSD are unknown.<sup>68</sup> The present findings suggest that the shared-genetic-susceptibility hypothesis may be worthy of further analysis. Models of mutual maintenance and shared vulnerability also have gained some acceptance in recent years.<sup>69,70</sup> Pre-existing abnormalities in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal and autonomic function could also predispose an individual to chronic pain conditions, PTSD, or both after a traumatic event.<sup>71</sup> Brain-imaging studies have found that psychological trauma and pain are processed in overlapping areas of the brain.<sup>72,73</sup> Understanding the underlying physiology of this overlap in the brain regions involved in the experience of trauma and pain could shed light on this intriguing association. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, however, the present findings underscore the need to recognize the link between PTSD and TMD, assess patients with either condition for comorbidity, and treat the symptoms of both disorders.

This study had several limitations. First, although the IES is used extensively as a measure of PTSD symptoms, the complete 15-question version was not included. However, the internal consistency and validity of the 11 questions that were retained were high. Second, the IES records PTSD symptoms that are not anchored to a specific traumatic experience, so the impact of a specific event is unclear. Thus, the IES may be measuring a “trait” rather than a “state,” that is, a stereotypical way of responding to traumatic events rather than

a specific response to a single event. Third, the sample predominately comprised MZ twins. Finally, measurement of TMD pain was based on a single question, which had a modest association with self-reported doctor diagnosis of TMD. This study should be replicated using a comprehensive assessment of TMD pain.

In conclusion, in our large, unselected sample of twins, PTSD symptoms and TMD pain were strongly associated and not explained by coexisting CWP. This relationship could be partially explained by shared genetic vulnerability to both conditions. Previous studies of PTSD and TMD typically have involved clinical samples with unknown selection biases and did not control for the presence of generalized pain conditions such as CWP. Future studies need to examine the viability of mutual maintenance and shared genetic and environmental vulnerability models, as well as the central nervous system mechanisms that may play a role in the link between PTSD and pain.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health awards 5 U19 AI38429-08 (Dr Buchwald) and R55AR051524 (Dr Afari). Dr Afari is supported by National Institutes of Health award R01 AR051524 and the VA Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental Health.

## References

1. Dworkin SF. Personal and social impact of orofacial pain. In: Friction JR, Dubner R (eds). *Orofacial Pain and Temporomandibular Disorders*. New York: Raven Press, 1995:15–32.
2. Von Korff M, Dworkin SF, Le Resche L, Kruger A. An epidemiologic comparison of pain complaints. *Pain* 1988;32:173–183.
3. Dworkin SF, Huggins KH, LeResche L, et al. Epidemiology of signs and symptoms in temporomandibular disorders: Clinical signs in cases and controls. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1990;120:273–281.
4. LeResche L. Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders: Implications for the investigation of etiologic factors. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 1997;8:291–305.
5. Dworkin SF. Behavioral characteristics of chronic temporomandibular disorders: Diagnosis and assessment. In: Sessle BJ, Bryant PS, Dionne RA (eds). *Temporomandibular Disorders and Related Pain Conditions*. Seattle: IASP Press, 1995:175–192.
6. Plesh O, Crawford PB, Gansky SA. Chronic pain in a biracial population of young women. *Pain* 2002;99:515–523.
7. Turp JC, Kowalski CJ, Stohler CS. Generic pain intensity scores are affected by painful comorbidity. *J Orofac Pain* 2000;14:47–51.
8. Yap AU, Chua EK, Dworkin SF, Tan HH, Tan KB. Multiple pains and psychosocial functioning/psychologic distress in TMD patients. *Int J Prosthodont* 2002; 15:461–466.
9. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia. Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33:160–172.
10. Aaron LA, Buchwald D. Fibromyalgia and other unexplained clinical conditions. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2001;3:116–122.
11. Aaron LA, Buchwald D. Chronic diffuse musculoskeletal pain, fibromyalgia and co-morbid unexplained clinical conditions. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2003;17: 563–574.
12. Aaron LA, Herrell R, Ashton S, et al. Comorbid clinical conditions in chronic fatigue: A co-twin control study. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:24–31.
13. Leblebici B, Pektas ZO, Ortancil O, Hurcan EC, Bagis S, Akman MN. Coexistence of fibromyalgia, temporomandibular disorder, and masticatory myofascial pain syndromes. *Rheumatol Int* 2007;27:541–544.
14. Plesh O, Wolfe F, Lane N. The relationship between fibromyalgia and temporomandibular disorders: Prevalence and symptom severity. *J Rheumatol* 1996;23: 1948–1952.
15. Raphael KG, Marbach JJ, Klausner J. Myofascial face pain. Clinical characteristics of those with regional vs. widespread pain. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2000;131:161–171.
16. Auvenshine RC. Temporomandibular disorders: Associated features. *Dent Clin North Am* 2007;51: 105–127.
17. Gameiro GH, da Silva Andrade A, Nouer DF, Ferraz de Arruda Veiga MC. How may stressful experiences contribute to the development of temporomandibular disorders? *Clin Oral Investig* 2006;10:261–268.
18. Aghabeigi B, Feinmann C, Harris M. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in patients with chronic idiopathic facial pain. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1992;30: 360–364.
19. Curran SL, Sherman JJ, Cunningham LL, Okeson JP, Reid KI, Carlson CR. Physical and sexual abuse among orofacial pain patients: Linkages with pain and psychologic distress. *J Orofac Pain* 1995;9:340–346.
20. De Leeuw R, Bertoli E, Schmidt JE, Carlson CR. Prevalence of traumatic stressors in patients with temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;63: 42–50.
21. De Leeuw R, Bertoli E, Schmidt JE, Carlson CR. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in orofacial pain patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005;99:558–568.
22. Korszun A. Facial pain, depression and stress—Connections and directions. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2002;31: 615–619.
23. Lindroth JE, Schmidt JE, Carlson CR. A comparison between masticatory muscle pain patients and intracapsular pain patients on behavioral and psychosocial domains. *J Orofac Pain* 2002;16:277–283.
24. Sherman JJ, Carlson CR, Wilson JF, Okeson JP, McCubbin JA. Post-traumatic stress disorder among patients with orofacial pain. *J Orofac Pain* 2005;19: 309–317.
25. Arguelles LM, Afari N, Buchwald DS, Clauw DJ, Furner S, Goldberg J. A twin study of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and chronic widespread pain. *Pain* 2006;124: 150–157.

26. Benjamin S, Morris S, McBeth J, Macfarlane GJ, Silman AJ. The association between chronic widespread pain and mental disorder: A population-based study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:561–567.
27. Buchwald D, Goldberg J, Noonan C, Beals J, Manson S. Relationship between post-traumatic stress disorder and pain in two American Indian tribes. *Pain Med* 2005;6:72–79.
28. Cohen H, Neumann L, Haiman Y, Matar MA, Press J, Buskila D. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in fibromyalgia patients: Overlapping syndromes or post-traumatic fibromyalgia syndrome? *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2002;32:38–50.
29. Roy-Byrne P, Smith WR, Goldberg J, Afari N, Buchwald D. Post-traumatic stress disorder among patients with chronic pain and chronic fatigue. *Psychol Med* 2004;34:363–368.
30. White KP, Nielson WR, Harth M, Ostbye T, Speechley M. Chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain with or without fibromyalgia: Psychological distress in a representative community adult sample. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:588–594.
31. Afari N, Noonan C, Goldberg J, et al. University of Washington Twin Registry: Construction and characteristics of a community-based twin registry. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2006;9:1023–1029.
32. Eisen S, Neuman R, Goldberg J, Rice J, True W. Determining zygosity in the Vietnam Era Twin Registry: An approach using questionnaires. *Clin Genet* 1989;35:423–432.
33. Magnus P, Berg K, Nance WE. Predicting zygosity in Norwegian twin pairs born 1915–1960. *Clin Genet* 1983;24:103–112.
34. Reed T, Plassman BL, Tanner CM, Dick DM, Rinehart SA, Nichols WC. Verification of self-report of zygosity determined via DNA testing in a subset of the NAS-NRC twin registry 40 years later. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2005;8:362–367.
35. Torgersen S. The determination of twin zygosity by means of a mailed questionnaire. *Acta Genet Med Gemellol (Roma)* 1979;28(3):225–236.
36. White KP, Harth M, Speechley M, Ostbye T. Testing an instrument to screen for fibromyalgia syndrome in general population studies: The London Fibromyalgia Epidemiology Study Screening Questionnaire. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:880–884.
37. Allison TR, Symmons DP, Brammah T, et al. Musculoskeletal pain is more generalised among people from ethnic minorities than among white people in Greater Manchester. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:151–156.
38. Croft P, Rigby AS, Boswell R, Schollum J, Silman A. The prevalence of chronic widespread pain in the general population. *J Rheumatol* 1993;20:710–713.
39. Macfarlane GJ, McBeth J, Silman AJ. Widespread body pain and mortality: Prospective population based study. *BMJ* 2001;323(7314):662–665.
40. Papageorgiou AC, Silman AJ, Macfarlane GJ. Chronic widespread pain in the population: A seven year follow up study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61(12):1071–1074.
41. Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, Penny K. The impact of chronic pain in the community. *Fam Pract* 2001;18:292–299.
42. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV)*. Washington, DC: Author, 1994.
43. Horowitz M, Wilner N, Alvarez W. Impact of Event Scale: A measure of subjective stress. *Psychosom Med* 1979;41:209–218.
44. Taal LA, Faber AW. Burn injuries, pain and distress: Exploring the role of stress symptomatology. *Burns* 1997;23:288–290.
45. Wohlfarth TD, van den Brink W, Winkel FW, ter Smitten M. Screening for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: An evaluation of two self-report scales among crime victims. *Psychol Assess* 2003;15:101–109.
46. Ware JE, Davies-Avery A, Brook RH. *Conceptualization and Measurement of Health for Adults in the Health Insurance Study: Model of Health and Methodology* (publication no. R-1987/1-HEW). Santa Monica, CA: Rand, 1980.
47. Nilsson IM, List T, Drangsholt M. The reliability and validity of self-reported temporomandibular disorder pain in adolescents. *J Orofac Pain* 2006;20:138–144.
48. Plesh O, Sinisi SE, Crawford PB, Gansky SA. Diagnoses based on the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders in a biracial population of young women. *J Orofac Pain* 2005;19:65–75.
49. Goldstein H. *Multilevel Statistical Models*. New York: Halstead Press, 1995.
50. Begg MD, Parides MK. Separation of individual-level and cluster-level covariate effects in regression analysis of correlated data. *Stat Med* 2003;22:2591–2602.
51. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 9*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 2005.
52. Chantarujikapong SI, Scherrer JF, Xian H, et al. A twin study of generalized anxiety disorder symptoms, panic disorder symptoms and post-traumatic stress disorder in men. *Psychiatry Res* 2001;103(2–3):133–145.
53. Lyons MJ, Goldberg J, Eisen SA, et al. Do genes influence exposure to trauma? A twin study of combat. *Am J Med Genet* 1993;48:22–27.
54. Stein MB, Jang KL, Taylor S, Vernon PA, Livesley WJ. Genetic and environmental influences on trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A twin study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159:1675–1681.
55. True WR, Rice J, Eisen SA, et al. A twin study of genetic and environmental contributions to liability for posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1993;50:257–264.
56. Xian H, Chantarujikapong SI, Scherrer JF, et al. Genetic and environmental influences on posttraumatic stress disorder, alcohol and drug dependence in twin pairs. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2000;61(1):95–102.
57. Michalowicz BS, Pihlstrom BL, Hodges JS, Bouchard TJ Jr. No heritability of temporomandibular joint signs and symptoms. *J Dent Res* 2000;79:1573–1578.
58. Raphael KG, Marbach JJ, Gallagher RM, Dohrenwend BP. Myofascial TMD does not run in families. *Pain* 1999;80:15–22.
59. Matsuka Y, Nagamatsu C, Itoh S, et al. Comparison of inter-twin concordance in symptoms of temporomandibular disorders: A preliminary investigation in an adolescent twin population. *Cranio* 2007;25:23–29.
60. Plesh O, Afari N, Noonan C, Arguelles LM, Goldberg J, Buchwald D. TMJMD-type pain: A twin study. *J Dent Res* 2007;86(special issue A):0263.
61. Diatchenko L, Slade GD, Nackley AG, et al. Genetic basis for individual variations in pain perception and the development of a chronic pain condition. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005;14:135–143.

62. Nackley AG, Tan KS, Fecho K, Flood P, Diatchenko L, Maixner W. Catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibition increases pain sensitivity through activation of both beta2- and beta3-adrenergic receptors. *Pain* 2007;128:199–208.
63. Zubietta JK, Heitzeg MM, Smith YR, et al. COMT val158met genotype affects mu-opioid neurotransmitter responses to a pain stressor. *Science* 2003;299:1240–1243.
64. Stohler CS. TMJD 3: A genetic vulnerability disorder with strong CNS involvement. *J Evid Based Dent Pract* 2006;6: 53–57.
65. Arnold LM, Hudson JL, Hess EV, et al. Family study of fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:944–952.
66. Buskila D, Neumann L, Hazanov I, Carmi R. Familial aggregation in the fibromyalgia syndrome. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1996;26:605–611.
67. MacGregor AJ, Andrew T, Sambrook PN, Spector TD. Structural, psychological, and genetic influences on low back and neck pain: A study of adult female twins. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;51:160–167.
68. Otis JD, Keane TM, Kerns RD. An examination of the relationship between chronic pain and post-traumatic stress disorder. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2003;40:397–405.
69. Asmundson GJ, Coons MJ, Taylor S, Katz J. PTSD and the experience of pain: Research and clinical implications of shared vulnerability and mutual maintenance models. *Can J Psychiatry* 2002;47:930–937.
70. Sharp TJ, Harvey AG. Chronic pain and posttraumatic stress disorder: Mutual maintenance? *Clin Psychol Rev* 2001;21:857–877.
71. McLean SA, Clauw DJ, Abelson JL, Liberzon I. The development of persistent pain and psychological morbidity after motor vehicle collision: Integrating the potential role of stress response systems into a biopsychosocial model. *Psychosom Med* 2005;67:783–790.
72. Coghill RC, Sang CN, Maisog JM, Iadarola MJ. Pain intensity processing within the human brain: A bilateral, distributed mechanism. *J Neurophysiol* 1999;82: 1934–1943.
73. Shin LM, McNally RJ, Kosslyn SM, et al. A positron emission tomographic study of symptom provocation in PTSD. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1997;821:521–523.