Traumatic Dental Injuries in the First Year of Life and Associated Factors in Brazilian Infants

Carlos A. Feldens, MSc Paulo F. Kramer, MSc, PhD Simone G. Vidal, MSc Italo M. Faraco Junior, MSc, PhD Márcia R. Vítolo, MSc, PhD

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this study, was to investigate the incidence of traumatic dental injuries in the first year of life and their associated factors.

Methods: Five hundred children were recruited at the outset of the cohort (at birth); 397 had an initial assessment at 6 months (investigation of demographic, socioeconomic, and environmental variables), and 378 were examined for dental trauma at the 1-year assessment (12-16 months); 122 children were lost in the follow-up, and 2 edentulous children were excluded from analysis.

Results: Approximately 15% of the children (54/376) had dental trauma, with the most common type of injury being enamel fracture. The main reported causes of dental injuries were falls from the same level, furniture, and strollers or walkers. Logistic regression after adjusting for confounding showed that the odds of dental trauma was 2.6 times higher for children whose mothers with higher education compared to those with a lower education level (odds ratio [OR]=2.61; 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.41-4.84). Family structure was associated with dental trauma, with an adjusted model showing higher odds for children from non-nuclear families compared to those from nuclear families (OR=2.28; 95% CI=1.18-4.39).

Conclusions: These results demonstrated a high incidence of dental trauma in the first year of life, improving knowledge for future development of preventive strategies to reduce risk of dental traumatic injuries. (J Dent Child 2008;75:7-13) Received October 12, 2006 | Accepted December 1, 2006.

Keywords: Trauma, dental injuries, risk factors, infant, facial injuries

Traumatic dental injuries in preschool children are a public health problem worldwide because of their prevalence, impact on the quality of life of children and treatment expense. The frequency of injuries in deciduous teeth is high, with epidemiological studies revealing that approximately one third of children are affected.¹⁻⁵ Dental trauma, with financial implications for families and society as a whole, may lead to pain, chewing difficulties, speech problems and aesthetic and psychological problems.⁵⁻¹⁰Moreover, injuries to the primary dentition have the potential

for affecting the development of the permanent teeth and the developing occlusion, with these sequelae sometimes being severe and extremely difficult and expensive to treat, mainly in the early years.¹¹⁻¹³

As a public health problem, dental trauma requires the implementation of educational and preventive programs based on a comprehensive understanding of the condition.^{8,10,14-16} Unfortunately, few studies have investigated the occurrence of dental trauma in the first year of life, and none of them have evaluated associated factors. Thus, there is insufficient scientific evidence on which to base public health interventions to prevent dental trauma in primary dentition.

This study's aim was to evaluate the prevalence of dental trauma in the first year of life and its association with demographic, socioeconomic, and environmental variables in infants who were born and currently live in São Leopoldo, RG, Brazil.

Drs. Feldens, Kramer, Vidal, and Faraco Junior are professors, all in the Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Lutheran University of Brazil, Canoas, Brazil; Dr. Vitolo is professor of public health, Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

Correspond with Dr. Feldens at cafeldens@terra.com.br.

METHODS

SUBJECTS AND STUDY DESIGN

This cohort study was based on a randomised trial, which investigated the effectiveness of nutritional advice about breast-feeding and healthy weaning¹⁷ based on World Health Organization recommendations in the city of São Leopoldo, RG, Brazil, which has a population of approximately 200,000. Children were recruited in the maternity clinic of the town's only publicly funded hospital, which mainly serves low-income population.

A sample of 350 1-year-old-children was estimated in the larger study to detect the effect of the intervention during the first year. Then, allowing for confounding and losses of 25% during the follow-up, 500 children were recruited at the trial's outset (at birth). This sample size (N=350) was compatible with the sample size required to estimate the prevalence of traumatic dental injuries in the first year of life (N=341) if the following parameters were adopted: (1) a standard error of 3.0% or less; (2) a confidence level of 95%; and (3) a prevalence of 10%, based on a similar population.⁴

From October 2001 to June 2002, all mothers who gave birth to an apparently normal, single, full-term (\geq 37 weeks) and normal birth-weight (\geq 2,500 g) baby and who did not have an impediment to breast-feeding (such as HIV/AIDS) were invited to participate in the larger study; 90% of them agreed to participate after being informed of the research procedures.

RESEARCH ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRES

At 6 months, 16 field workers carried out face-to-face structured interviews with mothers. Demographic, socioeconomic, and environmental variables were investigated, including child's gender, mother's education (in number of years at school), family income per capita, mother's occupational status, family structure, and number of people living in the house. Family structure was considered: (1) nuclear (child living with mother and father); or (2) non-nuclear (child not living with both). Family income per capita was calculated dividing the family's per capita monthly wage by the current Brazilian minimum wage (approximately \$100 US).

The questionnaire was tested, and modified accordingly, through a pilot study of 16 mothers of 12-month-old children attending primary care services.

CLINICAL DENTAL EXAMINATION

Visual examination of the erupted teeth, defined as any part of the dental crown appearing on the mucosa, was conducted in the 1-year assessment. Dental examinations were conducted at a municipal health centre São Leopoldo, RG, Brazil, by a pediatric dentist who was blinded to the children's baseline variables. The teeth were inspected under natural light, with the help of a mouth mirror and by having the child lay on a stretcher. Initially, the teeth were brushed and dried with gauze and the mouth was checked for dental caries and traumatic dental injury. Traumatic injuries were recorded, as described by Andreasen.⁵ These criteria included crown discoloration, enamel fracture, enamel/dentin fracture with or without pulp exposure, subluxation, lateral luxation, intrusive luxation, and avulsion. If any type of dental injury was detected, mothers were asked by the examiner about how trauma had occurred (possible cause) and the response was registered on the dental record. Since no children were affected at the cohort's outset, the frequency of children presenting dental trauma at the 1-year assessment represented the cumulative incidence, defined as the proportion of a fixed population that becomes diseased in a stated period of time.¹⁸

Intraexaminer reproducibility was previously assessed in 2 dental examinations conducted 10 days apart in 28 children 10 to 18 months old (κ =0.84).

ETHICAL ASPECTS

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The study's procedures, possible discomforts, and possible benefits were explained fully to parents, and their informed consent was obtained prior to the investigation. Children with dental trauma or caries requiring any professional intervention were referred for treatment at the pediatric dental clinic of the Lutheran University of Brazil, Canoas, Brazil At the 1-year assessment, all children had nutritional evaluation (anthropometric measurements, blood haemoglobin measurement) and development examinations. Children with anaemia, overweight, wasting, stunting, or developmental problems were referred to their primary care paediatricians for further assessment and treatment.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data analysis was carried out using the SPSS for Windows 8.0 software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). Since this study was based in a randomized trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a nutritional program on other outcomes, incidence of dental trauma at 1 year had to be compared between groups (intervention vs control) to demonstrate the assumed study hypothesis that the intervention had no effect on the odds of dental injuries. The confirmation of this hypothesis would allow that children from the intervention group could be analysed together, increasing the study power. Afterwards, the analysis included simple and multiple logistic regression following a hierarchical approach to determine the factors associated with dental trauma. The variables were grouped in 3 levels representing distal, mediating, and proximate determinants of traumatic dental injuries:

- 1. The first level included the child demographic variables and maternal educational level.
- 2. The second level included family income per capita and maternal occupation.
- 3. The third level represented the immediate social environment of the child including family structure and number of people living in the house.

Stepwise logistic regression analysis was carried out to select the best predictors at each level, with the probabilities being 0.25 to enter and 0.10 for removal from the equation. Selected variables at each level were included in the final model. Since the study hypothesis assumes that the odds of dental trauma in infants increases with the number of erupted teeth, this variable was also included in the final model, independent of its statistical significance. Therefore, the final model estimates odds ratios for the selected variables after adjusting for the variables of the same level or upper selected in the final model and number of erupted teeth.

A 2-tailed *P*-value of less than .05 was used for a result to be considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Among the 500 children initially recruited, 397 received the 6-month research assessment and oral examinations were carried out on 378 of them at the 1-year assessment. The reason for losses to follow-up were address not found (N=31), refusal (N=22), family moved no another city (N=43), infant given for adoption (N=1), genetic illness in the child (N=2), child death (N=2), severe illness of the mother (N=1), maternal death (N=1), and children who did not attend dental examination (N=19). Two children were excluded from the study because they were edentulous. Of the 376 analysed children:

- 1. there were 220 boys (59%) and 156 girls (42%);
- 2. age varied from 12 to 16 months, with 86% of them being examined between 12 and 14 months of age; and
- 3. the number of teeth varied from 1 to 16 per child (meaN=7.55±3.17 SD).

Approximately 15% (54/376) of the children had dental trauma; 50 of them had only 1 traumatized tooth, and the others (4) had 2 teeth with dental trauma. Thus, there were 58

Teeth and Classification		
Teeth/classification	Ν	(%)
Affected teeth		
61	26	45
51	25	43
71	3	5
81	2	3
82	2	3
Type of injury		
Enamel fracture	47	81
Enamel/dentin fracture	1	2
Subluxation	1	2
Lateral luxation	5	9
Avulsion	1	2
Crown discoloration	1	2
Combined trauma	2	3

traumatized teeth. The teeth most frequently affected were, in order, the: (1) maxillary central incisor (88%); (2) mandibular central incisor (9%); and (3) mandibular lateral incisors (3%; Table 1).

Table 1 also shows that the most common types of injury were, in order: (1) enamel fracture (81%); (2) followed by lateral luxation (9%); and (3) the combination of both (3%). Other types of traumatic injuries were: (1) crown discoloration; (2) enamel/dentin fracture; (3) subluxation; and (4) avulsion.

The main reported causes of dental traumatic injuries were, in order:

- same-level falls while standing up, walking, or running (14/54: 26%);
- 2. falls from strollers or walkers (6/54: 11%);
- 3. falls from beds or cribs (5/54: 9%);
- 4. balance loss while crawling (3/54: 6%); and

5. other causes (5/54: 9%).

The mothers of 21 children (39%) did not know or remember the cause of dental injury.

There was no difference in dental trauma incidence between intervention and control groups (23/157: 15% vs 31/219: 14%), demonstrating no effect on the intervention in the odds of dental injuries (chi-square test: P=.893).

Table 2 presents the crude and adjusted odds ratios of dental trauma after multivariate analysis. There was no evidence of difference between gender, age, income per capita, and mother's occupation. The results of stepwise logistic regression selected the mother's education in the first level and the family structure and number of people living in the house in the third level as the best predictors for traumatic dental injuries (P<.25). None of the variables of the second level were significantly related to dental trauma (P>.25).

The odds of dental trauma were higher when maternal schooling was higher than 8 years compared to 8 years or less. After adjusting, the difference remained significant, with the odds of dental trauma being 2.6 times higher for children whose mothers studied more than 8 years compared to those with lower maternal education. Family structure was associated with dental trauma. Both crude (odds ratio [OR]=2.70; 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.49-4.90) and adjusted (OR=2.28; 95% CI=1.18-4.39) models showed higher odds of traumatic dental injuries for children from non-nuclear families, compared to nuclear families.

The crude model revealed higher odds of dental trauma for children living in houses with 6 people or more compared to 3 people or less. The difference between groups, however, was not significant after adjusting, suggesting a confounding effect of the number of teeth, mother's education, and/or family structure.

DISCUSSION

This study presents the distribution of dental traumatic injuries in the first year of life and also shows factors associated with this outcome. An important aspect of this study's validity is that the numerator data were obtained from a

Table 2. Logistic Regression: Crude and Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for the Association Between Independent Variables With Dental Trauma									
		Trauma			<i>P-</i>			Р-	
Variables	Ν	N (%)	OR	(95% CI)	values	OR*	(95% CI)	values	
Level 1: Demographic variables and mother's education									
Sex									
Male	220	33 (15)	1.13	(0.63-2.05)	.675				
Female	156	21 (14)	1.00				#		
Age (mos)									
12-13	241	31 (13)	1.00						
14-16	135	23 (17)	1.39	(0.77-2.50)	.270		#		
Mother's education level (ys)									
≤8	270	30 (11)	1.00			1.00			
>8	103	23 (22)	2.30	(1.26-4.19)	.006	2.61	(1.41-4.84)	.002	
Level 2: Income and mother's occupation									
Income percapita (BMW [†])									
<0.5	120	18 (15)	1.00						
0.5-1.0	170	23 (14)	0.89	(0.45-1.73)	.723		#		
>1.0	73	13 (18)	1.23	(0.56-2.68)					
Mother's occupation									
Yes	129	22 (17)	1.34	(0.74-2.42)	.328		#		
No	241	32 (13)	1.00						
Level 3: Immediate social environment									
Family structure									
Nuclear	267	28 (11)	1.00			1.00			
Non-nuclear	104	25 (24)	2.70	(1.49-4.90)	.001	2.28	(1.18-4.39)	.013	
No. of people living in the house									
≤3	94	10 (11)	1.00			1.00			
4-5	201	27 (13)	1.30	(0.60-2.82)	.500	1.11	(0.49-2.51)	.794	
≥6	77	17 (22)	2.38	(1.02-5.56)	.045	2.04	(0.80-5.23)	.135	

* Level 1=adjusted for no. of teeth in eruption; level 3=adjusted for no. of teeth in eruption, maternal education, and the other variable of the level.

† BMW=Brazilian minimum wage.

=variables not included in the final model.

cohort which was followed up in the first year of life. Different from data from hospitals and trauma centres, where the trauma register depends on the request for dental or medical assistance, selection bias in this study is unlikely to be a problem. Minor traumas are largely missed in data from trauma services, especially enamel and enamel/dentin fractures. On the other hand, some information bias is likely to have occurred, since signs and/or symptoms of minor luxations or subluxations may not be evident weeks or months after the injury. The effect of this bias is not expected to be large, since the period between possible injuries and dental examination was short.

This study's main result was the fairly high incidence of dental trauma in the first year of life. Cross-sectional studies have demonstrated a higher prevalence (>25%) among 3- to 5-year-old preschool children,^{2,3,19} but this fact does not mean that they are more vulnerable. The cumulative measurement of dental trauma indicates that a significant number of injuries registered at 3 years of age or later may have occurred at younger ages. The first 3 years of life have been identified

as unique in terms of rapid growth and development changes, influencing the risk for general injuries.²⁰ An analysis of 23,173 injury hospitalizations or deaths from US children revealed that 1-year-old or younger children had the highest injury rates before age 15 years. In that population, the injuries began at age 3 to 5 months and increased rapidly with increased age, peaking at 15 to 17 months.²⁰ This study's findings are in line with these data and suggest the need for strategies to prevent traumatic events in the first year of life.

The finding that crown fractures were the most common type of trauma is also in line with previous studies in the primary dentition,^{3,4,21,22} but differed from others,^{19,23,24} which found a higher proportion of luxation injuries. The possible explanation for this fact is the subregister of enamel and enamel/dentin fractures in studies conducted in hospitals and clinics. In these situations, numerator data are obtained from a trauma registry, which contains only data on patients who had significant injuries.²⁵

The most common mechanisms of dental injury in this population were falls from the same level, furniture, and strollers/walkers. This finding is not surprising and corroborates other studies which investigated general injuries at the same age group.^{20,26} Since a high proportion of injuries to infants is associated with falls and because even low-level falls may lead to serious head and abdominal injuries,^{26,27} fall preventing counselling should be considered as a priority in health promotion programs targeted to parents and/or caregivers. The orientation needs to account for the various motor developmental stages during the first year of life, including the process of learning to walk and exploring the surrounding environment at the age of 10 to 12 months.²⁰

The present study demonstrated higher odds of dental trauma in children from non-nuclear families. Some investigations have identified this category as a risk factor for dental trauma²⁸, injuries to the face^{29,30}, femur fracture²⁵ or even unintentional death.^{31,32} This finding may be explained, at least in part, by the more effective visual supervision, auditing supervision, and physical proximity among nuclear families and fact that children from non-nuclear families are more prone to neglect.^{25,28,29}

The physical proximity has been related as an aspect of supervision behaviour that serves a protective function,^{33,34} with parents who remained close to their children having children with decreased risk taking. Moreover, parents who showed more engagement with their child (a variable related to physical proximity) had children with fewer injuries.³³ A recent study with 405 cases of accidental injury in infants younger than 1 year in Singapore showed that parents were the caregivers at the time of injury in 76% of the cases.²⁶ Nevertheless 41% of injuries occurred when infants were left alone and the event resulting in injury was not witnessed. This finding confirms the importance of physical proximity to avoid accidents at this age group.

The higher odds of dental trauma observed in children from mothers with a higher education level should be analysed

with caution. Maternal education level is a widely used indicator of socioeconomic status (SES). Previous studies in developed countries^{29,35} have demonstrated that children from a lower SES present higher rates of dental injuries. Investigations in developing countries,^{36,37} however, have shown a reverse association (in line with this study's findings) with children from a higher SES presenting higher odds of dental trauma. The higher risk of dental injuries among higher SES adolescents in developing countries may be associated with greater access to bicycles, skateboards, swimming pools, and other risk conditions in unsafe environments.³⁶ The role of maternal education on dental trauma among younger children (as in this study's sample) is even more difficult to explain. It is possible that mothers with higher education levels are more involved in other activities than caring for their children, determining less physical proximity. This study's results, however, cannot help explain how a mother's education affects the occurrence of dental trauma.

This study's findings suggest the implementation of programs commencing in the first year of age to educate parents and caregivers on supervising infants and providing home safety to prevent falls, particularly those from non-nuclear families and mothers with high education levels. Moreover, these results reinforce the need for preschool- and community-based programs to improve safety in the first years, including anticipation of risks, limitation of access to hazards, and protection from heights.^{25,34,28} Any educational program designed to prevent injuries should recognize risky environments and behaviors and the understanding of accidents as something that can be avoided. Some specific messages for the prevention of traumatic injuries in the first year have been suggested, such as the use of stair gates and the elimination of infant walkers.^{20,39}

It is possible that some of the traumatic dental injuries diagnosed in this study may have occurred because of neglect or abuse. It is recognized that head, face, and dental injuries are related to child abuse and neglecting,⁴⁰ with family environment being related to the occurrence of dental injuries through physical abuse.²⁸ Health professionals should be aware of this possibility and collaborate to increase the prevention and detection of these conditions.⁴⁰

In an economic perspective, safety interventions cost less than the medical/dental or other resources they save.^{6,8,9} It is possible that benefits obtained by health promotion behaviours and practices in the first year of life are maintained in the following years, with a positive effect on oral health up to school age.

Further research is needed to explore the association between dental trauma in the first year of life and other covariates not measured in this study, such as lip coverage and overjet and clarify the association of socioeconomic status and dental trauma in this population.

Also, research is required to evaluate the effectiveness of community-based injury prevention programs. Although these programs have become a broadly accepted strategy among safety promotion specialists, there is still a lack of consensus concerning the real effect of this approach.^{14,41,42} This could help the development of more cost-effective programs to prevent dental and general trauma.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on this study's results, the following conclusions can be made:

- 1. The incidence of traumatic dental injuries in the first year of life is high, indicating the need for preventive programs to educate parents and caregivers on supervising infants and providing home safety.
- 2. Any educational program designed to prevent injuries in the first year of life should include orientation to prevent falls, the main cause of injuries identified in this study.
- 3. Children from non-nuclear families and from mothers with a high education level are at a higher risk of traumatic dental injuries in this population, suggesting directions for future preventive strategies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holm AK, Arvidsson S. Oral health in preschool Swedish children. Odontol Revy 1974;25:81-98.
- 2. Fergusson FS, Ripa LW. Prevalence and type of traumatic injuries to the anterior teeth of preschool children. J Pedod 1979;4:3-8.
- Garcia-Godoy R, Morbán-Laucer F, Corominas LR, Franjul RA, Noyola M. Traumatic dental injuries in preschool children from Santo Domingo. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1983;11:127-30.
- Kramer PF, Zembruski C, Ferreira SH, Feldens CA. Traumatic dental injuries in Brazilian preschool children. Dent Traumatol 2003;19:299-303.
- Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM. *Textbook and Color Atlas of Traumatic Injuries to the Teeth.* 3rd ed. Copenhagen, Denmark: Munksgaard; 1994:771.
- Borum MK, Andreasen JO. Therapeutic and economic implications of traumatic dental injuries in Denmark: An estimate based on 7,549 patients treated at a major trauma centre. Int J Paediatr Dent 2001;11:249-58.
- Cortes MI, Marcenes W, Sheiham A. Impact f traumatic injuries to the permanent teeth on the oral healthrelated quality of life in 12- to 14-year-old children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2002;30:193-8.
- 8. Lee JY, Bouwens TJ, Savage MF, Vann WF Jr. Examining the cost-effectiveness of early dental visits. Pediatr Dent 2006;28:102-5.
- 9. Miller TR, Romano EO, Spicer RS. The cost of childhood unintentional injuries and the value of prevention. Future Child 2000;10:137-63.
- 10. Al-Jundi SH. Type of treatment, prognosis, and estimation of time spent to manage dental trauma in late presentation cases at a dental teaching hospital: A longitudinal and retrospective study. Dent Traumatol 2004;20:1-5.

- 11. Christophersen P, Freund M, Harild L. Avulsion of primary teeth and sequelae on the permanent successors. Dent Traumatol 2005;21:320-3.
- 12. Von Arx T. Developmental disturbances of permanent teeth following trauma to the primary dentition. Aust Dent J 1993;38:1-10.
- 13. Fried I, Erickson P. Anterior trauma in the primary dentition: Incidence, classification, treatment methods, and sequelae: A review of the literature. J Dent Child 1995;62:256-61.
- 14. Spinks A, Turner C, McClure R, Nixon J. Community-based prevention programs targeting all injuries for children. Inj Prev 2004;10:180-5.
- 15. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. *Clinical Guideline on Periodicity of Examination, Preventive Dental Services, Anticipatory Guidance, and Oral Treatment for Children.* Chicago, Ill: AAPD; 2003.
- 16. Watt RG. Strategies and approaches in oral disease prevention and health promotion.Bull World Health Organ 2005;83:711-8.
- Vítolo MR, Bortolini GA, Feldens CA, Drachler ML. Impacts of the 10 steps for healthy feeding in infants: A randomized field trial. Cad Saude Publica 2005;21: 448-57.
- Rothman KJ, Greenland S. *Modern Epidemiology*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1998.
- 19. Andreasen JO, Ravn JJ. Epidemiology of traumatic dental injuries to primary and permanent teeth in a Danish population sample. Int J Oral Surg 1972; 1:235-9.
- 20. Agran PF, Anderson C, Winn D, Trent R, Walton-Haynes L, Thayer S. Rates of pediatric injuries by 3-month intervals for children 0 to 3 years of age. Pediatrics 2003; 111:683-92.
- 21. Zadik D. A survey of traumatized primary anterior teeth in Jerusalem preschool children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1976;4:149-51.
- 22. Hargreaves JA, Cleaton-Jones PE, Roberts GJ, Williams S, Matejka JM. Trauma to primary teeth of South African preschool children. Endod Dent Traumatol 1999;15:73-6.
- 23. Forsberg CM, Tedestam G. Traumatic injuries to teeth in Swedish children living in urban area. Swed Dent J 1990;14:115-22.
- 24. Onetto JE, Flores MT, Garbarino ML. Dental trauma in children and adolescents in Valparaíso, Chile. Endod Dent Traumatol 1994;10:223-7.
- 25. Rewers A, Hedegaard H, Lezotte D, Meng K, Battan FK, Emery K, Hamman RF. Childhood femur fractures, associated injuries, and sociodemographic risk factors: A population-based study. Pediatrics 2005;115:543-52.
- 26. Snodgrass AM, Ang A. Unintentional injuries in infants in Singapore. Singapore Med J 2006;47:376-82.

- Wang MY, Kim KA, Griffith PM, Summers S, Mc-Comb JG, Levy ML, Mahour GH. Injuries from falls in the pediatric population: An analysis of 729 cases. J Pediatr Surg 2001;36:1528-34.
- 28. Nicolau B, Marcenes W, Sheiham. The relationship between traumatic dental injuries and adolescents development along the life course. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2003;31:306-13.
- 29. Lalloo R. Risk factors for major injuries to the face and teeth. Dent Traumatol 2003;19:12-4.
- Wadsworth J, Burnell I, Taylor B, Butler N. Family type and accidents in preschool children. J Epidemiol Community Health 1983;37:100-4.
- Siegel CD, Graves P, Maloney K, Norris JM, Calonge BN, Lezotte D. Mortality from intentional and unintentional injury among infants of young mothers in Colorado, 1986 to 1992. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1996;150:1077-83.
- 32. Roberts I. Sole parenthood and the risk of child pedestrian injury. J Paediatr Child Health. 1994;30:530-2.
- 33. Morrongiello BA, House K. Measuring parent attributes and supervision behaviors relevant to child injury risk: Examining the usefulness of questionnaire measures. Inj Prev 2004;10:114-8.
- 34. Flavin MP, Dostaler SM, Simpson K, Brison RJ, Pickett W. Stages of development and injury patterns in the early years: A population-based analysis. BMC Public Health 2006;6:187.

- Marcenes W, Murray S. Social deprivation and traumatic dental injuries among 14-year-old schoolchildren in Newham, London. Dent Traumatol 2001;17:17-21.
- Cortes MIS, Marcenes W, Sheiham A. Prevalence and correlates of traumatic injuries to the permanent teeth of school-children aged 9-14 years in Belo Horizonte, Brazil. Dent Traumatol 2001;17:22-6.
- 37. Jamani KD, Fayyad MA. Prevalence of traumatized permanent incisors in Jordanian children. Odontostomatol Trop 1991;14:17-20.
- Li G, Baker SP, DiScala C, Fowler C, Ling J, Kelen GD. Factors associated with the intent of firearm-related injuries in pediatric trauma patients. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1996;150:1160-5.
- 39. Shields BJ, Smith GA. Success in the prevention of infant walker-related injuries: An analysis of national data, 1990-2001. Pediatrics 2006;117:452-9.
- 40. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, AAPD, AAPD Council on Clinical Affairs. Guideline on oral and dental aspects of child abuse and neglect. Reference Manual 2005-06. Pediatr Dent. 2005;27:64-7.
- 41. McClure R, Nixon J, Spinks A, Turner C. Communitybased programmes to prevent falls in children: A systematic review. J Paediatr Child Health 2005;41:465-70.
- 42. Nilsen P. What makes community based injury prevention work? In search of evidence of effectiveness. Inj Prev 2004;10:268-74.